



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jpaa

Hilbert curves of 3-dimensional scrolls over surfaces

Antonio Lanteri

Dipartimento di Matematica "F. Enriques", Università degli Studi di Milano, Via C. Saldini, 50, I-20133 Milano, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 September 2016

Received in revised form 19

February 2017

Available online xxxx

Communicated by S. Kovács

MSC:

Primary: 14C20; secondary: 14J60;

14J30

ABSTRACT

Let (X, L) be a 3-dimensional scroll over a smooth surface Y . Its Hilbert curve is an affine plane cubic consisting of a given line and a conic. This conic turns out to be the Hilbert curve of the \mathbb{Q} -polarized surface $(Y, \frac{1}{2} \det \mathcal{E})$, where \mathcal{E} is the rank-2 vector bundle obtained by pushing down L via the scroll projection, if and only if \mathcal{E} is properly semistable in the sense of Bogomolov.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

0. Introduction

The Hilbert curve of a polarized manifold was introduced in [5] and its study has been continued in [10,11,4]. The natural expectation is that several properties of the polarized manifold are encoded by this object. In fact a relevant property of the Hilbert curve is its sensitivity with respect to fibrations that suitable adjoint linear systems to the polarizing line bundle may induce on the manifold [5, Theorem 6.1]. The case of projective bundles over a smooth curve, with special emphasis on scrolls, has been widely discussed in [10]. Other examples with special regard to threefolds are presented in [5]. However, the case of scrolls over a surface is not yet discussed in the literature, not even for dimension three. Filling this gap is exactly the aim of this paper. Moreover, confining to threefolds we get a precise parallel with the case of quadric fibrations over a smooth curve studied in [5, Proposition 4.8]. Recall that these two types of varieties play a similar role in adjunction theory. In particular, in the setting we consider, a precise answer is given to a problem raised in [5].

Here is a summary of the content. Let (X, L) be a 3-dimensional scroll over a smooth surface Y , and let $\mathcal{E} = \pi_* L$, where $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is the scroll projection. According to [5, Theorem 6.1], the Hilbert curve $\Gamma_{(X,L)}$ of (X, L) is reducible into a given line ℓ and a conic, say G . In Section 2 we determine explicitly its canonical equation. The problem whether the resulting conic G itself can in turn be the Hilbert curve of any \mathbb{Q} -polarized surface seems not affordable in the general case, due to a too large number of variables. In

E-mail address: antonio.lanteri@unimi.it.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2017.03.008>

0022-4049/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

fact, in Section 3, we present some elementary examples illustrating a range of possibilities. This suggests to confine the problem to the case where the underlying surface is the base itself, Y , of the scroll. In this context, the Hodge index theorem provides a necessary condition: an upper bound expressed in terms of K_Y and of the ample rank-2 vector bundle \mathcal{E} , that the Bogomolov number of \mathcal{E} has to satisfy. On the other hand, the base surface Y is endowed with a natural polarization, namely $\det \mathcal{E}$. Addressing the specific question raised in [5, Problem 6.6 (2)], we can then ask whether the conic G is the Hilbert curve of Y with some \mathbb{Q} -polarization related to $\det \mathcal{E}$. What we prove in Section 4 is that G is the Hilbert curve of $(Y, \frac{1}{2} \det \mathcal{E})$ up to HC-equivalence (see [11]), if and only if \mathcal{E} is properly semistable (in the sense of Bogomolov).

1. Background material

Varieties considered in this paper are defined over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. We use the standard notation and terminology from algebraic geometry. A manifold is any smooth projective variety; a surface is a manifold of dimension 2. The symbol \equiv will denote numerical equivalence. With a little abuse, we adopt the additive notation for the tensor products of line bundles. The pullback of a vector bundle \mathcal{F} on a manifold X by an embedding $Y \hookrightarrow X$ is simply denoted by \mathcal{F}_Y . We denote by T_X and K_X the tangent bundle and the canonical bundle of a manifold X , respectively. A polarized manifold is a pair (X, L) consisting of a manifold X and an ample line bundle L on X . The word scroll has to be intended in the classical sense. We denote by $\mathbb{F}_e := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-e))$ the Segre–Hirzebruch surface of invariant e ($e \geq 0$), and C_0 and f will stand for the tautological section and a fiber respectively, as in [8, p. 373]. Clearly, $(\mathbb{F}_0, [aC_0 + bf]) = (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(a, b))$.

For the notion and the general properties of the Hilbert curve associated to a polarized manifold we refer to [5], see also [10]. Here we just recall some basic facts. Let (X, L) be a polarized manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$: if $\text{rk}\langle K_X, L \rangle = 2$ we can consider $N(X) := \text{Num}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ as a complex affine space and inside it the plane $\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathbb{C}\langle K_X, L \rangle$, generated by the classes of K_X and L . For any line bundle D on X the Riemann–Roch theorem provides an expression for the Euler–Poincaré characteristic $\chi(D)$ in terms of D and the Chern classes of X . Let p denote the complexified polynomial of $\chi(D)$, when we set $D = xK_X + yL$, with x, y complex numbers, namely $p(x, y) = \chi(xK_X + yL)$. The Hilbert curve of (X, L) is the complex affine plane curve $\Gamma = \Gamma_{(X,L)} \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ of degree n defined by $p(x, y) = 0$ [5, Section 2]. Taking into account that $c := \frac{1}{2}K_X$ is the fixed point of the Serre involution $D \mapsto K_X - D$ acting on $N(X)$, it is convenient to represent Γ in terms of affine coordinates $(u = x - \frac{1}{2}, v = y)$ centered at c instead of (x, y) . In other words, rewrite our divisor as $D = \frac{1}{2}K_X + E$, where $E = uK_X + vL$. Then Γ can be represented with respect to these coordinates by $p(\frac{1}{2} + u, v) = 0$. An obvious advantage is that, due to Serre duality, Γ is symmetric with respect to c (the origin in the (u, v) -plane). We refer to $p(\frac{1}{2} + u, v) = 0$ as the *canonical equation* of Γ . Another consequence of Serre duality is that $c \in \Gamma$ if n is odd, while if n is even and $\Gamma \ni c$, then c is a singular point of Γ [5, Section 2].

According to the above, $\chi(D)$ can be re-expressed in terms of E and the Chern classes of X in a nice way. In particular, for $n = 2$ we get

$$\chi(D) = \frac{1}{2}E^2 + (\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - \frac{1}{8}K_X^2). \tag{1}$$

If $n = 3$, recalling that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = -\frac{1}{24}K_X \cdot c_2$, where $c_2 = c_2(X)$, the usual expression of the Riemann–Roch theorem (e. g., see [8, p. 437]) takes the more convenient form

$$\chi(D) = \frac{1}{6}E^3 + \frac{1}{24}E \cdot (2c_2 - K_X^2). \tag{2}$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5772844>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5772844>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)