



Actions to improve documented pain assessment in adult patients with injury to the upper extremities at the Emergency Department – A cross-sectional study



L. Sturesson RN ^{a,*}, V. Lindström PhD, RN (Coordinator Academic EMS) ^{b,c},
M. Castrén MD, PhD (Professor) ^{a,d}, L. Niemi-Murola MD, PhD (Researcher) ^{b,e},
A.-C. Falk RN, CCRN, PhD ^{f,g}

^a Department of Clinical Science and Education, Section of Emergency Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Södersjukhuset, Stockholm, Sweden

^b Department of Clinical Science and Education, Karolinska Institutet, Södersjukhuset, Stockholm, Sweden

^c Academic EMS, Stockholm, Sweden

^d HUCH Emergency Care, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki University, Finland

^e Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Helsinki University Hospital, Finland

^f Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Division of Nursing, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden

^g Intensive Care Unit, Karolinska University Hospital, Solna, Sweden

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 February 2015

Received in revised form 16 June 2015

Accepted 17 June 2015

Keywords:

Emergency care
Acute pain
Pain management
Pain assessments
Guidelines
Evidence based care
Documentation

ABSTRACT

Background: Pain is one of the most common symptoms in the Emergency Department (ED) and is the cause of more than half of the visits to the ED. Several attempts to improve pain management have been done by using, for example, standards/guidelines and education. To our knowledge no one has investigated if and how different actions over a longitudinal period affect the frequency of pain documentation in the ED. Therefore the aim of this study was to describe the frequency of documented pain assessments in the ED.

Method: A cross-sectional study during 2006–2012 was conducted. The care of patients with wrist/arm fractures or soft tissue injuries on upper extremities was evaluated.

Result: Despite various actions our result shows that mandatory pain assessment in the patient's computerized medical record was the only successful intervention to improve the frequencies of documentation of pain assessment during care in the ED. During the study period, no documentation of reassessment of pain was found despite the fact that all patients received pain medication.

Conclusion: To succeed in increasing the frequency of documented pain assessment, mandatory pain rating is a successful action. However, the re-evaluation of documented pain assessment was nonexistent.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Pain is one of the most common symptoms treated in the emergency department (ED) and is the cause of more than half of the visits to the ED (Cordell et al., 2002; Wilson and Pendleton, 1989). Previous studies have concluded that pain management is inadequate and oligoanalgesia is reported to be a problem in the ED (Fosnocht et al., 2005; Niruban et al., 2010; Todd et al., 2007). Barriers to achieving adequate pain relief for patients in the ED have been shown to be: failure to assess and acknowledge pain, lack of

guidelines, failure to document pain, failure to assess treatment correctly, attitudes and inadequate knowledge among personnel (Motov and Khan, 2008). Since oligoanalgesia may be associated with increased risk of complications such as sleep disturbance, delirium, depression, and decreased response to interventions for other illnesses, especially among the elderly (Abou-Setta et al., 2011), the patient's pain should be considered as the fifth vital sign (Purser et al., 2014). Several attempts to improve pain management in the ED have been made by using guidelines (Eder et al., 2003), implementing assessment using pain scales (Ritsema et al., 2007), and by education of the personnel (Decosterd et al., 2007). Documentation of pain assessment conducted in the ED has been shown to have an effect on pain management (Vazirani and Knott, 2012), but there is a large variation, with a range of 57–94 per cent in the frequency of documented pain assessment (Brown et al., 2003; Eder et al., 2003; Purser et al., 2014). In Sweden there are no national regulatory requirements for pain management in the ED, but local

* Corresponding author. Department of Clinical Science and Education and Section of Emergency Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Akutmottagningen, Södersjukhuset AB, Sjukhusbacken 6, S – 118 83 Stockholm, Sweden. Tel.: +4686162107; fax: +4686161333.

E-mail address: lars.sturesson@sodersjukhuset.se (L. Sturesson).

guidelines concerning pain management have been developed. All the above described attempts have, to some extent, improved pain management in the ED, but pain management is still reported to be insufficient (Lewén et al., 2010). To our knowledge, no one has investigated if and how different interventions over a long period affect the frequency of pain documentation in the ED. Therefore, the aim of this study was to describe the frequency of documented pain assessments in the emergency department.

2. Material and methods

A cross-sectional study of medical records was conducted from 2006 to 2012. The study was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee, Stockholm, Sweden (2008/991-31/3).

2.1. Setting

The study was conducted on patients with wrist/arm fractures or soft tissue injuries in a 24-hour adult ED (>15 years) at a public urban teaching hospital in Stockholm. Each year, the hospital receives approximately 7300 patients with acute life-threatening situation/condition by ambulance. In the health care system in Stockholm, patients with orthopaedic and/or surgical injuries >15 years are always treated at an adult ED. During the study period the number of patients attending the ED increased from 79,277 in 2006 to 109,982 in 2012 without the ED being expanded. Moreover, the patients with wrist/arm fractures or soft tissue injuries increased from 1353 to 1657. The hospital beds decreased from 560 to 505 over the same period. The ED serves all adult patients with surgical cardiology, orthopaedics and internal medicine requirements, and no referral is needed. The ED has transfer agreements for patients requiring more comprehensive care such as neurosurgery and burn injuries. The personnel working with direct patient care in the ED are: physicians specializing in cardiology, surgery, orthopaedics, internal medicine or emergency medicine; and registered nurses (RN) and RN with additional training in emergency care. There are approximately 250 RN and nurse assistants working at the ED. The RNs perform the first triage, supported by standardized protocols. The aim of this first triage is to identify the patients' need of care based on the acuity of their condition. During the study period, patients with wrist/arm fractures or soft tissue injuries were treated by orthopaedic surgeons or emergency physicians, and by nurses with or without additional emergency care training.

2.2. Data collection and variables

Patients' medical records were used for data collection. To assess the frequencies of pain rating over time, data were collected from patients' medical records. Inclusion criteria were: The first ten medical records in the admission system for the ED of adult patients (>15-years or older) every month 2006–2012 (=120 patients/year) with wrist/arm fractures or soft tissue injuries on the upper extremities. Since we wanted to investigate the frequency of documentation regarding pain assessment we included patients that had received analgesics. Patients with wrist/arm fractures or soft tissue injuries on the upper extremities were selected since they are a common group of patients and, among fractures, wrist fractures are the most frequently treated in the ED (Court-Brown and Caesar, 2006). Such patients can also be easily identified by the triage nurse (an RN with additional training in emergency care). Also, in accordance with local guidelines implemented in year 2002, the RN is able to administer oral and/or intravenous pain medication at admission to this group of patients by using the nurse-initiated analgesic protocols. The protocol states that the RN should assess the patients' pain using a pain rating scale (NRS), including documentation of pain assessment before and after administering

analgesics. The authors reviewed the patients' medical record and collected variables of documented pain ratings according to NRS, reassessment of pain rating (yes or no), and demographic characteristics such as gender and age. All collected variables were manually entered into excel. No data were missing.

2.3. Interventions to improve assessment and documentation of pain

The interventions aiming to improve the pain assessment and documentation in the ED during the study period were as follows:

- In 2002 a guideline describing a pain policy programme was published and implemented in the ED. Overall, the policy programme clearly stated that all patients with pain should have their pain assessed and documented. The only implementation of this guideline was hospital management giving written information to the ED personnel that a new pain assessment guideline was in place.
- In 2006 Stockholm County Council (SCC) published a report on pain (Stockholm County Council 2006). The aim of the report was to get health care personnel focused on pain and its treatment. The report was available to all the personnel in the ED.
- In September 2007 a group of personnel with a special interest in and knowledge of pain was formed by the first author (LS) in the ED. The group consisted of six RNs and nurse assistants all working in the ED. The overall purpose of the group was to educate and facilitate other personnel in the ED in increasing pain assessment and documentation. The group communicated their message through various types of staff meetings.
- At the beginning of 2008, the heads of personnel in the ED decided that the Numeric Rating Scale (NRS) should be used as the pain rating scale since it is a validated pain rating scale for use in the ED (Berthier et al., 1998; Bijur et al., 2003). In addition to the introduction of, and education about, the NRS the RNs were instructed to note the NRS score in the patient's medical record when the patient arrived at the ED.
- In May 2008, to make pain management more visible for the RNs, a reminder of pain assessment and the use of the NRS were noted in the patients' medical records.
- In January 2009, aiming to remind the RNs to assess and document pain, the patients were informed by the triage nurse about the pain rating. The information was meant to be a reminder to the RNs to perform and document pain assessment.
- In October 2010, the patient medical report was computerized and pain assessment became mandatory.

2.4. Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using Microsoft Office, Excel 2007. The results are presented using descriptive statistics (numbers and per cent).

3. Results

During the study period a total of 840 (120/year) patients with wrist/arm fractures or soft tissue injuries and who had received analgesics at the ED were included. The medical records were examined regarding documentation of pain assessment and reassessment post-analgesia. Patients included in the study were predominantly older females (Table 1).

During 2006, the guidelines concerning assessment and documentation of pain were implemented in the ED, but adherence to guidelines was poor, as shown in Table 2. In 2007 a group of personnel with special interest in pain was put together and the frequency of documented assessed pain increased for a short period. Despite various actions, there was no sustained effect until the documentation was mandatory and computerized during 2010, as

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5863302>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5863302>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)