

Progress toward the prevention and treatment of atrial fibrillation: A summary of the Heart Rhythm Society Research Forum on the Treatment and Prevention of Atrial Fibrillation, Washington, DC, December 9–10, 2013

David R. Van Wagoner, PhD, FHRS,^{1*,¶} Jonathan P. Piccini, MD, MHS, FHRS,^{2,¶} Christine M. Albert, MD, MPH,³ Mark E. Anderson, MD, PhD, FHRS,⁴ Emelia J. Benjamin, MD, ScM,⁵ Bianca Brundel, PhD,⁶ Robert M. Califf, MD,⁷ Hugh Calkins, MD, FHRS,⁸ Peng-Sheng Chen, MD, FHRS,⁹ Nipavan Chiamvimonvat, MD,¹⁰ Dawood Darbar, MD, FHRS,¹¹ Lee L. Eckhardt, MD, FHRS,¹² Patrick T. Ellinor, MD, PhD,¹³ Derek V. Exner, MD, MPH, FHRS,¹⁴ Richard I. Fogel, MD, FHRS, FACC,¹⁵ Anne M. Gillis, MD, FHRS,¹⁶ Jeff Healey, MD, MSc, FHRS,¹⁷ Stefan H. Hohnloser, MD, FHRS,¹⁸ Hooman Kamel, MD,¹⁹ David A. Lathrop, PhD,²⁰ Gregory Y.H. Lip, MD,²¹ Reena Mehra, MD, MS,²² Sanjiv M. Narayan, MD, PhD, FHRS,²³ Jeffrey Olgin, MD, FHRS,²⁴ Douglas Packer, MD, FHRS,²⁵ Nicholas S. Peters, MD, FHRS,²⁶ Dan M. Roden, MD, FHRS,²⁷ Heather M. Ross, DNP, ANP-BC, FHRS,²⁸ Robert Sheldon, MD, PhD, FHRS, FRCPC,²⁹ Xander H.T. Wehrens, MD, PhD, FHRS³⁰

From ¹Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case Western Research University, ²Duke University Medical Center, Duke Clinical Research Institute, ³Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, ⁴Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, ⁵Boston University Schools of Medicine & Public Health, ⁶University Medical Center Groningen, ⁷Duke Translational Medicine Institute, ⁸Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, ⁹Krannert Institute of Cardiology, Indiana University School of Medicine, ¹⁰University of California, Davis and the Department of Veterans Affairs, Northern California Health Care System, ¹¹Vanderbilt University Medical Center, ¹²University of Wisconsin-Madison, ¹³Massachusetts General Hospital, Broad Institute, and Harvard Medical School, ¹⁴Libin Cardiovascular Institute of Alberta, University of Calgary, ¹⁵St.Vincent Medical Group, ¹⁶Libin Cardiovascular Institute of Alberta, University of Calgary, ¹⁷Hamilton Health Sciences, McMaster University, ¹⁸J.W. Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany, ¹⁹Weill Cornell Medical College, ²⁰National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, ²¹University of Birmingham, United Kingdom, and Aalborg University, ²⁴University of California San Francisco, ²⁵Mayo Clinic, ²⁶Imperial College & Imperial NHS Trust, London, ²⁷Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, ²⁸Arizona State University, ²⁹Libin Cardiovascular Institute of Alberta, University of College of Medicine.

ABBREVIATIONS ACEi = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; AF=atrial fibrillation; A-II=angiotensin-II; ANP=atrial natriuretic peptide; ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker; BP = blood pressure; BMI = body mass index; BNP = brain natriuretic peptide; CaMKII = calmodulin-dependent kinase II; DAD = delayed afterdepolarization; EAD = early afterdepolarization; ECM = electrocardiographic mapping; EHR = electronic health record; ET-1 = endothelin-1; GGA = geranyl-geranylacetone; GP = ganglionated plexi; HDAC6=histone deacetylase-6; HF=heart failure; HSP=heat shock protein; LA = left atrium; LAA = left atrial appendage; LGE-CMR = late gadolinium enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance imaging; LV = left ventricle; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; NIH = National Institutes of Health; NOAC = non-vitamin K-dependent oral anticoaqulant; NP = natriuretic peptide; OAC = oral anticoagulant; **PAC** = premature atrial contraction; **pAF** = paroxysmal atrial fibrillation; **PV** = pulmonary vein; **PVI** = pulmonary vein isolation; **RAAS** = renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system; **RCT**=randomized controlled trial; **RSD**=renal sympathetic denervation; **SDB** = sleep disordered breathing; **SR** = sarcoplasmic reticulum; **TGF** = transforming growth factor; **TTR** = time in therapeutic range (Heart Rhythm 2015;12:e5-e29)

[¶]Co-first authors; equal contributions to manuscript preparation.

Developed in collaboration with and endorsed by the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) and the Canadian Heart Rhythm Society (CHRS). Address reprint requests and correspondence: *Forum Chair and Communicating Author: Dr. David R. Van Wagoner, Department of Molecular Cardiology, NE-61, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195. E-mail address: vanwagd@ccf.org.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	e6
Scope of the problem	e6
Recent progress with respect to funda-	
mental AF mechanisms, key knowledge	
gaps, and therapeutic opportunities	e6
AF risk prediction	e10
Advancing AF prevention efforts by	
focusing on risk modification	e10
Prevention of AF recurrence, progression,	
and sequelae	e13
AF-related stroke prevention	e16
The need for innovative research structures	
and methods	e17
Key research priorities	e19
Integrating and coordinating the activity	
of HRS members and global collaborators	e20
Consensus top priorities for AF research.	e21
Conclusion	e22

Introduction

The Heart Rhythm Society convened a research symposium on December 9-10, 2013, in Washington, DC, that focused on the prevention of atrial fibrillation (AF) as well as AFrelated stroke and morbidity. Attendees sought to summarize advances in understanding AF since a 2008 National Institutes of Health (NIH) conference on this topic¹ and to identify continued knowledge gaps and current research priorities. The research symposium also sought to identify key deficiencies and opportunities in research infrastructure, operations, and methodologies. The committee sought to identify both basic research targets and how clinical AF research could be improved in the current health care environment. This whitepaper summarizes our deliberations in an effort to accelerate progress toward preventing AF and its consequences. Although largely focused on primary prevention of AF, the paper also addresses some aspects of secondary prevention of recurrent AF due to the continuum of risk factors that contribute to arrhythmogenesis, permissive left atrial (LA) substrates, and the emergence of AF.

Scope of the problem

More than 33 million individuals worldwide have AF, and there are approximately 5 million new cases each year.² AF incidence and prevalence increase with age; the prevalence of AF increases 3-fold between the 6th and 8th decade of life. The increasing frequency of AF is particularly notable in industrialized nations where the increment in AF is more than 2-fold greater than in developing nations.² Based on estimates from the Framingham Study, the lifetime risk of developing AF has been estimated at 1 in 4.³ Accordingly, treatment of AF has been targeted as a top priority for

comparative effectiveness research by the Institute of Medicine.⁴

AF leads to a 5-fold increased risk of stroke, a 2-fold risk of dementia, as well as increased risk of myocardial infarction, heart failure (HF), and death.⁵ Over the past 2 decades, hospital admissions for AF have increased by two-thirds. Direct expenditures related to AF cost Medicare at least \$6 billion annually.⁵ In addition to higher morbidity and mortality, AF-related strokes are associated with 20% greater health care costs relative to non-AF strokes.⁶ Currently approved AF therapies are only partially effective and are associated with substantial morbidity and mortality. Whereas many studies have focused on the treatment of AF, relatively few have been directed at prevention of AF. In contrast to coronary heart disease and stroke, preventive strategies and therapies for AF remain unproven.¹

Analysis of clinical practice guidelines reveals a gap between the need for evidence and its availability.⁷ Patients seek evidence-based guidance related to diet, exercise, and lifestyle for risk factor modification and AF prevention, but systematic studies in these areas are currently quite limited. More research is required to support evidence-based recommendations as part of a comprehensive approach to prevention and treatment of AF. Developing an evidence base from which we can adequately predict and prevent AF is an important public health goal.

Most trials are underpowered to answer clinically meaningful questions, and many critical trials funded by industry and the NIH are not published.^{8,9} These findings suggest that the clinical research enterprise lacks effective prioritization. There is currently no (1) consensus-derived list of top priorities for research in AF nor (2) a systematic portfolio analysis focused on benefit to patients or providers. This manuscript seeks to begin to address these gaps.

Recent progress with respect to fundamental AF mechanisms, key knowledge gaps, and therapeutic opportunities

Relation of atrial ectopy to development of AF

Frequent atrial ectopy (premature atrial contractions [PACs]) during 24-hour Holter recordings has been identified as a strong predictor of AF development, with discriminatory power similar to the Framingham risk score over 5 to 10 years of follow-up and even better at 15 years.¹⁰ Atrial ectopy has significant prognostic potential that may help to identify patients at greatest risk for AF and for whom early treatment may enable primary prevention of AF. PAC frequency has been positively associated with age, height, and brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) levels; PAC frequency has been inversely related to HDL levels and physical activity.¹¹ Studies testing the causal link(s) between PAC burden and incident AF are needed. Studies testing the hypothesis that early treatment in these patients is beneficial are also warranted, but the current lack of therapies that are both highly effective and safe remains an obstacle. Safety is a critical consideration in preventive medicine.¹²

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5960052

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5960052

Daneshyari.com