ARTICLE IN PRESS

NeuroImage xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

NeuroImage



urolmage

YNIMG-12670; No. of pages: 12; 4C: 3, 5, 7, 9

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg

Role of the human retrosplenial cortex/parieto-occipital sulcus in perspective priming

Valentina Sulpizio ^{a,b,*}, Giorgia Committeri ^c, Simon Lambrey ^{d,e}, Alain Berthoz ^d, Gaspare Galati ^{a,b} 02

^a Department of Psychology, Sapienza Università di Roma, Italy 4

^b Laboratory of Neuropsychology, Fondazione Santa Lucia IRCCS, Roma, Italy 5

^c Department of Neuroscience, Imaging and Clinical Sciences, University G. d'Annunzio, and ITAB, Institute for Advanced Biomedical Technologies, G. d'Annunzio Foundation, Chieti, Italy 03

7 ^d LPPA, Collège de France–CNRS, Paris, France

^e Service de Psychiatrie Adulte, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, France 04

1.0 ARTICLE INFO

11 Article history:

9

- Received 4 September 2015 12Accepted 15 October 2015 13
- 14Available online xxxx
- 15 Keywords:
- Spatial memory 16
- 17 Perspective priming
- Viewpoint change 18 19
- Retrosplenial cortex/parieto-occipital sulcus (RSC/POS)
- 20
- Functional magnetic resonance (fMRI) 21
- 22Virtual reality

ABSTRACT

The ability to imagine the world from a different viewpoint is a fundamental competence for spatial reorientation 23 and for imagining what another individual sees in the environment. Here, we investigated the neural bases of 24 such an ability using functional magnetic resonance imaging. Healthy participants detected target displacements 25 across consecutive views of a familiar virtual room, either from the perspective of an avatar (primed condition) or 26 in the absence of such a prime (unprimed condition). In the primed condition, the perspective at test always 27 corresponded to the avatar's perspective, while in the unprimed condition it was randomly chosen as 0, 45 or 28 135 deg of viewpoint rotation. We observed a behavioral advantage in performing a perspective transformation 29 during the primed condition as compared to an equivalent amount of unprimed perspective change. Although 30 many cortical regions (dorsal parietal, parieto-temporo-occipital junction, precuneus and retrosplenial cortex/ 31 parieto-occipital sulcus or RSC/POS) were involved in encoding and retrieving target location from different per- 32 spectives and were modulated by the amount of viewpoint rotation, the RSC/POS was the only area showing de- 33 creased activity in the primed as compared to the unprimed condition, suggesting that this region anticipates the 34 upcoming perspective change. The retrosplenial cortex/parieto-occipital sulcus appears to play a special role in 35 36

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc.

40 Introduction 42

37 39

Human ability to recognize scenes from different perspectives is a 43 fundamental competence in every day life. It allows, for instance, to re-44 45 member spatial locations despite intervening changes in the point of view and ensures to keep oriented in a large-scale space. 46

This ability has been experimentally measured by asking observers 47 to study a scene from a given perspective, and then, after a true, imag-48 49 ined, or virtual self displacement, to detect whether a given object has been moved, or to point to a memorized location (Diwadkar and 50McNamara, 1997; Simons and Wang, 1998, 1999; Wang and Simons, 51521999; Mou and McNamara, 2002; Amorim, 2003; Schmidt et al., 2007; Lambrey et al., 2008, 2011; Sulpizio et al., 2013; Montefinese et al., in 53 press). Behaviorally, these experiments support the existence of view-5455dependent representations by showing a chronometric relationship be-56tween reaction times (RTs) and amount of perspective rotation. Such a 57viewpoint dependency is partially abolished when a prior mental trans-58formation on the scene is allowed in advance, for example by using a

E-mail address: valentina.sulpizio@uniroma1.it (V. Sulpizio).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.040 1053-8119/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc.

priming paradigm in which the next perspective is primed by an avatar 59 (Amorim, 2003; Lambrey et al., 2008; Sulpizio et al., 2015).

Concerning the neural correlates, previous imaging literature has 61 demonstrated the involvement of a dorsal fronto-parietal network, 62 and a specific recruitment of the lingual/parahippocampal gyrus and 63 of the retrosplenial cortex/parieto-occipital sulcus during spatial 64 updating of target locations from a shifted perspective (Committeri 65 et al., 2004; Schmidt et al., 2007; Lambrey et al., 2011; Sulpizio et al., 66 2013; Zhang and Ekstrom, 2013; Dhindsa et al., 2014). In particular, 67 two scene-selective regions have been identified within the posterior 68 parahippocampal and anterior lingual/medial fusiform gyrus (the 69 "parahippocampal place area" or PPA; Epstein and Kanwisher, 1998) 70 and within the retrosplenial, posterior cingulate, and medial parietal 71 cortex (the "retrosplenial complex" or RSC; Epstein, 2008). Lambrey 72 et al. (2011) explored the neural bases of spatial updating of multiple 73 object locations during imagined changes of the current perspective 74 and during an equivalent amount of object rotation. The basic demand 75 of encoding and retrieving the object locations produced the activation 76 of a set of regions (medial, inferior, and superior parietal), which are 77 often associated with spatial navigation (Maguire et al., 1998; Hartley 78 et al., 2003; Iaria et al., 2007). The additional request of imagining the 79 viewpoint rotation towards a virtual observer, as compared to the 80

Please cite this article as: Sulpizio, V., et al., Role of the human retrosplenial cortex/parieto-occipital sulcus in perspective priming, NeuroImage (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.040

the allocentric coding of heading directions.

Corresponding author at: Valentina Sulpizio, Department of Psychology, Sapienza University, Via dei Marsi 78, Roma 00185, Italy,

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

equivalent amount of object rotation, elicited activation in the RSC. 81 82 Sulpizio et al. (2013) explored perceptive transformations relative to either an environmental or an object-based frame of reference. A selective 83 84 recruitment of PPA and RSC was found both during memory encoding and when updating memorized locations after a viewpoint change, es-85 pecially when the established reference frame included stable environ-86 mental features. They also found that fronto-parietal regions are 87 involved in preparing and then implementing the update of egocentric 88 89 coordinates, which is needed to compensate for viewpoint changes, 90 but irrespective of the kind of frame of reference relative to which the 91perspective transformation is performed (Sulpizio et al., 2013). This in-92terpretation is in line with the general idea of purely egocentric spatial 93 maps in the parieto-frontal cortex (Berthoz, 1997; Kravitz et al., 2011), 94which get dynamically updated when the subject moves. These representations remain however transient, and the updating process alone 95 would not allow the build-up of stable allocentric representations (see 96 also Burgess, 2006; Byrne et al., 2007). 97

Recent neuroimaging evidence (Marchette et al., 2014; Sulpizio 98 et al., 2014) supports the idea that RSC is part of a neural circuit 99 supporting the neural representation of allocentric heading. We recent-100 ly demonstrated that scene-selective regions are automatically recruit-101 ed while participants view pictures taken from a specific location 102 103 (place), view and heading within a familiar virtual environment, even in the absence of any explicit navigational demand. In particular, we 104 found that the RSC is critical for combining place and view information, 105compatibly with the recent idea that this region anchors internal spatial 106 representations to local topographical features, like an internal compass 107108 that represents one's heading/direction and updates this quantity as we move. Also Doeller et al. (2010) observed, within the RSC, neural adap-109tion to absolute running directions in a navigational task. Evidence of 110 how this internal compass is implemented at the neuronal level 111 112comes from previous neurophysiological experiments showing the ex-113istence, in the retrosplenial cortex of rodents, of "head-direction cells" which encode the animal's heading or orientation within the environ-114 ment (Chen et al., 1994; Taube, 2007), independently from its location. 05 Furthermore, neuropsychological results as well as theoretical models 116 (Burgess, 2006; Byrne et al., 2007) support the idea that the RSC is cru-117 118 cial for the neural representation of heading directions. RSC lesions in humans lead to heading disorientation, a form of topographic disorien-119 tation in which patients are unable to derive directional information 120from landmarks they can recognize (Aguirre and D'Esposito, 1999) 121 122and, in some cases, to describe routes through maps of familiar places they can draw (Ino et al., 2007). Taken together, these pieces of evi-123 dence support a recent theoretical model (BBB model) suggesting that 124 125the RSC is part of a circuitry (transformation circuit) specialized in transforming the allocentric codes (mediated by the medial temporal 126127lobe and suitable to build-up a stable allocentric/enduring representation of the environment) into egocentric codes (mediated by the poste-128rior parietal cortex), and vice versa. A central prediction of the BBB 129model is that these transformations can be accomplished very simply 130if absolute heading direction is known in advance. As predicted by this 131132model, the RSC should use head-direction information from the anterior 133thalamic nuclei to compensate for the rotational offset between egocentric and allocentric coordinates (Byrne et al., 2007). 134

In the present study, we aimed at testing this prediction by exploring 135136spatial memory under different conditions of perspective transforma-137 tions during an object location task. Specifically, we tested the idea that the retrosplenial head-direction system should be sensitive to up-138 coming perspective/heading changes. To this aim, we adapted a priming 139paradigm previously used to explore perspective taking abilities 140 (Amorim et al., 2003; Sulpizio et al., 2015). We argued that a brain re-06 gion sensitive to changes in head-direction should be sensitive to per-142spective priming, according to the idea that this region is involved in 143 matching the stored perspective with the actual perspective on the 144 scene (Lambrey et al., 2011; Sulpizio et al., 2013, 2014). We acquired 145146 functional magnetic resonance images while participants detected target displacements across consecutive views of a familiar virtual 147 room, either from the perspective of an avatar (primed condition) or 148 in the absence of such a prime (unprimed condition). Focusing on the 149 comparison between primed and unprimed conditions during the test 150 phase, allowing us to test the effect of anticipating the visual perspective 151 on the scene, we predicted a particular involvement of the RSC. If the 152 RSC encodes perceived heading direction (Baumann and Mattingley, 153 2010) and uses this information to transform the allocentric into an ego-154 centric (head-centered) representation, the retrosplenial head-155 direction system should be advantaged by cueing the observer to be in a certain heading direction. We further hypothesized that fronto-157 parietal regions scale their activity with the amount of perspective rota-158 tion, according to the higher updating costs with increasing changes of 159 perspective.

Materials and methods

Subjects

161 162

170

Fourteen neurologically normal volunteers (all males, mean age = 163 26.6 yrs, s.d = 3.2) participated to the fMRI study. All subjects were 164 right handed, as assessed by the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory 165 (Oldfield, 1971) (mean index = 0.7; s.d. = 0.2) and had normal or 166 corrected-to-normal vision. The protocol was approved by the ethical 167 committee of Fondazione Santa Lucia, Roma, and a written informed 168 consent was obtained from each participant before starting the study. 169

Virtual environment and stimuli

We adopted the same environment used in Sulpizio et al., 2013, 171 2014, 2015. The virtual environment, representing an internal view of 172 a square living room (Supplementary Fig. 1), was designed using 173 3Dstudio MAX 9 (Autodesk Inc, San Rafael, CA, USA). It included stable 174 landmarks (distal cues) such as a door, windows and a fireplace, and unstable landmarks such as pieces of furniture arranged on a circular carpet in the center of the room. The furniture set was not informative of 177 the task solving but was added to give the impression of a realistic living room. 179

In order to familiarize the subjects with the environment, we first 180 presented a 52-s movie consisting of a 360 deg tour of the virtual 181 room with only the stable landmarks. They were allowed to watch the 182 movie until they were sure to be able to draw a sketch representing 183 the survey perspective of the room. All subjects performed a maximum 184 of 3 virtual tours and reproduced one correct map of the explored room 185 (except for two subjects that correctly reproduced the map in a second 186 time).

During the experiment, participants were shown different snapshots 188 of the virtual environment. Each snapshot simulated a photograph of 189 the environment taken with a 24-mm lens (74 by 59 deg simulated 190 field of view) from one of eight different viewpoints. Each viewpoint 191 corresponded to the position of a virtual camera (Supplementary 192 Fig. 1). The different virtual cameras were distributed at 45 deg intervals 193 along a circle whose center corresponded to the center of the virtual 194 room. Each camera was directed towards the center of the room, 195 where the furniture set was placed. Each snapshot also included a 196 plant, used as the target object, which was located outside the carpet 197 but quite close to it, in one of eight possible positions, distributed 198 every 45 deg along a smaller concentric circle (Supplementary Fig. 1). 199 The target position in both study and test pictures was never presented 200 directly in front of the observer or directly behind the carpet and was 201 presented half of the times on the left and the remaining half on the 202 right of the observer (Fig. 1). Each snapshot depicted the virtual room 203 so as to include the whole furniture set on the carpet, the target and 204 some of the fixed cues on the walls. The five pieces of furniture were ar- 205 ranged in different configurations on the circular carpet stably located at 206 the center of the room. In the study picture of the primed condition also 207

Please cite this article as: Sulpizio, V., et al., Role of the human retrosplenial cortex/parieto-occipital sulcus in perspective priming, NeuroImage (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.040

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6024061

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6024061

Daneshyari.com