# ARTICLE IN PRESS

### NeuroImage xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## NeuroImage



reurolmage

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg

# Lateralization of cervical spinal cord activity during an isometric upper extremity motor task with functional magnetic resonance imaging

**Q2** Kenneth A. Weber II <sup>a,\*</sup>, Yufen Chen <sup>a</sup>, Xue Wang <sup>a</sup>, Thorsten Kahnt <sup>b</sup>, Todd B. Parrish <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Radiology, Northwestern University, 737 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 1600, Chicago, IL 60611, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Neurology, Northwestern University, 303 East Chicago Avenue, Ward 13-006, Chicago, IL 60611, USA

## 7 ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 21 August 2015
Accepted 6 October 2015
Available online xxxx
<u>12</u> Keywords:

- 13 Humans
- 14 Functional MRI
- 15 Spinal cord
- 16 Motor activity ⊉⊠ Upper extremity
- 18 Functional laterality
- 30 \_\_\_\_\_

## 31

03

4

5 6

## 33 Introduction

In humans, the execution of skilled voluntary movements results 34 primarily from descending excitatory inputs from the contralateral cor-35 tical motor areas through the crossing fibers of the corticospinal tract to 36 the motoneurons in the anterior horn of the spinal cord ipsilateral to the 37 movement (Jenny and Inukai, 1983; Lemon and Griffiths, 2005). Shortly 38 after the introduction of the blood oxygen level dependent contrast 39 (BOLD), studies demonstrating the feasibility of using functional mag-40 netic resonance imaging (fMRI) to non-invasively detect motor-41 42 related brain activity were published (Bandettini et al., 1992; Joliot et al., 1999: Kim et al., 1993: Kwong et al., 1992: van Gelderen et al., 431995). The characteristic finding from these studies was the robust 44 lateralization of the activity to the contralateral motor and sensory cor-4546tices, which is consistent with our understanding of the brain regions involved in the execution of voluntary skilled movements (Cincotta 47 48 and Ziemann, 2008).

49 Following the success of the early brain fMRI studies, several inde-50 pendent groups have attempted to use fMRI to detect motor-related

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.014 1053-8119/© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to use an isometric upper extremity motor task to detect activity induced blood 19 oxygen level dependent signal changes in the cervical spinal cord with functional magnetic resonance imaging. 20 Eleven healthy volunteers performed six 5 minute runs of an alternating left- and right-sided isometric wrist 21 flexion task, during which images of the cervical spinal cord were acquired with a reduced field-of-view T2\*- 22 weighted gradient-echo echo-planar-imaging sequence. Spatial normalization to a standard spinal cord template 23 was performed, and average group activation maps were generated in a mixed-effects analysis. The task activity 24 significantly exceeded that of the control analyses. The activity was lateralized to the hemicord ipsilateral to the 25 task and reliable across the runs at the group and subject level. Finally, a multi-voxel pattern analysis was able to 26 successfully decode the left and right tasks at the C6 and C7 vertebral levels. 27

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

spinal cord activity. The development of spinal cord fMRI, however, 51 has been slower than brain fMRI due to several technical difficulties 52 with the imaging of the spinal cord and the analysis of functional spinal 53 cord images (Fratini et al., 2014; Stroman et al., 2014). Despite these 54 challenges, the field has continued to progress, and spinal cord fMRI 55 has been used to detect motor-related activity during several different 56 motor tasks including repetitive finger flexion and extension (Bouwman 57 et al., 2008; Yoshizawa et al., 1996), repetitive squeezing of a ball 58 (Giulietti et al., 2008; Stroman et al., 1999, 2001; Stroman and Ryner, 59 2001), repetitive fist clenching (Backes et al., 2001; Ng et al., 2006), repet- 60 itive elbow flexion and extension (Madi et al., 2001), repetitive wrist 61 extension and flexion (Madi et al., 2001), repetitive finger abduction 62 and adduction (Madi et al., 2001), holding weights in a flexed arm posi- 63 tion (Madi et al., 2001), repetitive tongue movements to activate the 64 infrahyoid muscles (Komisaruk et al., 2002), pedaling (Kornelsen and 65 Stroman, 2004, 2007), repetitive thumb to finger apposition (Maieron 66 et al., 2007; Ng et al., 2008; Vahdat et al., 2015), and repetitive finger 67 tapping (Govers et al., 2007; Xie et al., 2009). 68

As in the brain, lateralization of the activity to the ipsilateral motor 69 (anterior horn) and sensory (posterior horn) areas of the spinal cord 70 during the execution of skilled voluntary movements should be expect-71 ed with spinal cord fMRI. However, the lateralization of spinal cord 72 activity has not been reliably shown in the previous motor studies. In 73 fact, only a few of the spinal cord fMRI motor studies quantitatively 74 assessed the laterality of the activity (Bouwman et al., 2008; Govers 75 et al., 2007; Maieron et al., 2007; Ng et al., 2008; Stroman et al., 1999; 76 Xie et al., 2009; Yoshizawa et al., 1996). Of these studies, only three 77 statistically assessed the degree of laterality across the subjects, and 78

Abbreviations: BOLD, blood oxygen level dependent; MR, magnetic resonance; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging; SPACE, sampling perfection with application optimized contrast using different flip angle evolutions; FMRIB, Oxford Center for Functional MRI of the Brain; FSL, FMRIB's Software Library; PNM, physiological noise modeling.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Interdepartmental Neuroscience Program, Department of Radiology, Northwestern University, 737 North Michigan Avenue Suite 1600, Chicago, IL 60611, USA.

E-mail address: kweber@u.northwestern.edu (K.A. Weber).

2

only Maieron et al. (2007) detected significant lateralization of the 79 80 activity to the ipsilateral hemicord across the subjects (Bouwman et al., 2008; Maieron et al., 2007; Ng et al., 2008). 81

82 The shortfall of reported lateralization of the spinal cord activity in the previous studies may be due to the complexity of the motor tasks 83 employed (e.g., repetitive thumb to finger apposition). The motor 84 tasks required the coordinated reciprocal activation and relaxation of 85 86 multiple muscle groups and likely produced an influx of neural activity 87 from multiple cutaneous, joint, and muscle afferents. Thus, the resulting 88 fMRI signal in the spinal cord was likely a complex summation of mul-89 tiple motor, interneuronal, and sensory processes, which may have im-90 peded the detection of task-related signal changes and the localization 91of activity within the spinal cord. In contrast, a less complex isometric 92motor task may allow for more robust signal detection in the spinal cord as the motoneuron activity and sensory inputs should remain 93 more stable over a block of activation. 94

95 The purpose of this study was to use an isometric left- and right-96 sided wrist flexion task in order to minimize the complexity of the neural signal and robustly detect and localize the fMRI signal in the spinal 97 cord. In order to determine that the signal being detected is physiolog-98 ical in origin and not artifactual, we test whether the activation rate 99 exceeds the false positive rate, examine the anatomical specificity of 100 101 the activity, and determine the reliability of the signal. Additional advancements with this study include the use of reduced field-of-view 102 imaging and spatial normalization to a standard template (Cohen-Adad 103 et al., 2014; Rieseberg et al., 2002). 104

#### 105Material and methods

#### **Participants** 106

107 Eleven healthy volunteers (5 male and 6 female; average age  $\pm$  one standard deviation (SD) 27.7  $\pm$  1.9 years) were studied. Subjects 108reported no neurological or musculoskeletal diseases or contraindica-109tions to MRI. Each subject provided written informed consent, and the 110 entire study protocol was approved by the Northwestern University's 111 Institutional Review Board. 112

#### Data acquisition 113

Imaging was performed with a 3.0 T Siemens (Erlangen, Germany) 114 Prisma magnetic resonance (MR) scanner equipped with a 64-channel 115head/neck coil (anterior and posterior neck coils were used (24 channels) 116 and head coils 1-4 were turned off). Subjects were placed supine on the 117 scanner bed. A SatPad<sup>™</sup> cervical collar was used to increase the magnetic 118 field homogeneity across the cervical spine and to reduce bulk motion 119120 during scanning (Maehara et al., 2014). For the functional images, thirty-one transverse slices of the cervical spinal cord were acquired 121 with a T2\*-weighted gradient-echo echo-planar-imaging sequence 122using ZOOMit selective field-of-view imaging (TR = 2500 ms, TE =123 30 ms, flip angle =  $80^\circ$ , acquisition matrix =  $128 \times 128$ , field-of-124125view =  $128 \times 128$  mm<sup>2</sup>, in-plane resolution =  $1 \times 1$  mm<sup>2</sup>, slice 126 thickness = 3 mm, discarded 2 dummy volumes) (Pfeuffer et al., 2002; Rieseberg et al., 2002). The imaged volume spanned from the inferior 127endplate of the third cervical vertebra to the superior endplate of 128the first thoracic vertebra. For registration of the functional images to 129130standard space, a high-resolution T2-weighted structural image of the entire cervical spine and upper thoracic spine was acquired using a single 131 slab 3D turbo spin echo sequence with a slab selective, variable excitation 132pulse (SPACE, TR = 1500 ms, TE<sub>eff</sub> = 115 ms, echo train length = 78, flip 133 angle =  $90^{\circ}/140^{\circ}$ , resolution =  $0.4 \times 0.4 \times 0.8 \text{ mm}^3$ ) (Lichy et al., 2005; 134Mugler et al., 2000). 135

During functional imaging, the subjects performed an alternating 136 left- and right-sided upper extremity isometric motor task. Rigid plastic 137 resting hand splints were used to immobilize the wrist and hand 138 139 bilaterally. When prompted by visual instructions presented during scanning, the subjects were trained to produce and maintain a constant 140 flexion force at the left or right wrist of about 50% of their maximum 141 force output. The subjects performed six 15 s trials of left wrist flexion 142 and six 15 s trials of right wrist flexion per run. The order of the trials 143 was pseudorandomized, each trial was separated by a varying duration 144 rest period for a total time of 5 min per run, and each subject performed 145 six runs. A 5 min task free (resting state) run was also collected for 146 control purposes. Throughout imaging, the subjects were instructed to 147 remain still and not produce any other movements. Subject perfor- 148 mance was observed by the study personnel throughout each run. 149

## Motion correction

Image preprocessing and statistical analyses were performed using 151 the Oxford Center for fMRI of the Brain's (FMRIB) Software Library 152 (FSL) (Jenkinson et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2004). Motion correction 153 was performed using FMRIB's Linear Image Registration Tool with 154 spline interpolation and a normalized correlation cost function 155 (Jenkinson et al., 2002). To exclude areas of non-rigid motion outside 156 of the vertebral column, a manually drawn binary mask of the vertebral 157 column was used to weight the reference image. For the first phase of 158 motion correction, the images across the runs were realigned to the 159 first image of the first run with three-dimensional rigid body realign- 160 ment. To correct for slice independent motion due to the non-rigidity 161 of the cervical spine and physiological motion from swallowing and 162 the respiratory cycle, a second phase of motion correction was per- 163 formed in which a two-dimensional rigid realignment was performed 164 independently for each axial slice using the mean image from the first 165 phase of motion correction as the reference image (Cohen-Adad et al., 166 2009; Weber et al., 2014). The average temporal signal to noise ratio Q4 (tSNR) across the spinal cord was calculated for each phase of motion 168 correction and compared using two-tailed paired t-tests. 169

## Physiological noise modeling

The cardiac and respiratory cycles are significant sources of noise 171 in spinal cord fMRI and can confound signal detection. To account for 172 this noise, respiratory signals, cardiac signals, and MRI triggers were 173 collected during scanning (sampling rate = 400 Hz, PowerLab 8/30, 174 ADInstruments Inc., Colorado Springs, CO, US), and slice specific 175 voxelwise noise regressors were generated using FSL's physiological 176 noise modeling (PNM) tool, which uses a model-based approach similar 177 to the retrospective image correction (RETROICOR) of physiological 178 motion effects as described by Glover et al. (Brooks et al., 2008; Glover 179 et al., 2000). In brief, a cardiac phase and respiratory phase were 180 assigned to each slice, and the cardiac and respiratory signals were 181 then modeled using a Fourier series (sine and cosine terms) with the 182 principal frequency and the next three harmonics (16 regressors). 183 Multiplicative terms were included to account for the interaction of 184 the cardiac and respiratory cycles (16 additional regressors). A cerebro-185 spinal fluid (CSF) regressor was also generated from the raw CSF signal 186 surrounding the spinal cord using a manually drawn CSF mask. In total, 187 the physiological noise was modeled with 33 regressors, which has 188 been recommended for spinal cord fMRI (Kong et al., 2012). This 189 model does not include the motion parameters as noise regressors, so 190 the effect of including the motion parameters as noise regressors was 191 also explored to control for task-related motion. 192

## Spatial normalization

Spatial normalization from native space to standard space was per- 194 formed using the open-source Spinal Cord Toolbox (Cohen-Adad et al., 195 2014). The structural images of the cervical spine were cropped to in- 196 clude the C2 to T1 vertebrae. The C2 and T1 vertebrae were manually 197 identified, and a vertebral landmarks mask was generated. The func- 198 tional images were registered to the structural image using a non- 199

Please cite this article as: Weber, K.A., et al., Lateralization of cervical spinal cord activity during an isometric upper extremity motor task with functional magnetic resonance imaging, NeuroImage (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.014

170

193

150

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6024085

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6024085

Daneshyari.com