ARTICLE IN PRESS

NeuroImage xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

NeuroImage



YNIMG-12701; No. of pages: 12; 4C: 3, 7, 10

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg

Neural substrates of shared attention as social memory: A hyperscanning functional magnetic resonance imaging study

Q2 Takahiko Koike ^{a,1}, Hiroki C. Tanabe ^{a,b,1}, Shuntaro Okazaki ^a, Eri Nakagawa ^{c,a}, Akihiro T. Sasaki ^{a,d,e},

Koji Shimada ^{a,f,g}, Sho K. Sugawara ^a, Haruka K. Takahashi ^{a,h}, Kazufumi Yoshihara ^{a,i},

⁵ Jorge Bosch-Bayard ^{j,a}, Norihiro Sadato ^{a,h,g,*}

6 a Division of Cerebral Integration, Department of Cerebral Research, National Institute for Physiological Sciences (NIPS), Aichi 4448585, Japan

7 b Division of Psychology, Department of Social and Human Environment, Graduate School of Environmental Studies, Nagoya University, Nagoya 4648601, Japan

- 8 ^c Graduate School of Intercultural Studies, Kobe University, Kobe 6578501, Japan
- 9 ^d Pathophysiological and Health Science Team, RIKEN Center for Life Science Technologies, Kobe 6500047, Japan
- ^e Department of Physiology, Osaka City University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka 4678603, Japan
- ^{11 f} Research Center for Child Mental Development, University of Fukui, Fukui 9101193, Japan
- 12 ^g Biomedical Imaging Research Center (BIRC), University of Fukui, Fukui 9101193, Japan
- 13 h Department of Physiological Sciences, School of Life Sciences, SOKENDAI (The Graduate University for Advanced Studies), Kanagawa 2400193, Japan

ABSTRACT

- ¹⁴ Department of Psychosomatic Medicine, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 8128582, Japan
- 15 ^j Institute for Neurobiology, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Santiago de Queretaro, Mexico

17 ARTICLE INFO

18 Article history:

16

19 Received 29 May 2015

- 20 Accepted 26 September 2015
- 21 Available online xxxx
- 22 Keywords:
- 23 Hyperscanning
- 24 Shared attention
- 25 Eye-blink synchronization26 Inter-individual neural synchroniz
- 26 Inter-individual neural synchronization27 Ioint attention
- 28 Mutual gaze

._____

During a dyadic social interaction, two individuals can share visual attention through gaze, directed to each other 29 (mutual gaze) or to a third person or an object (joint attention). Shared attention is fundamental to dyadic face-30 to-face interaction, but how attention is shared, retained, and neutrally represented in a pair-specific manner has 31 not been well studied. Here, we conducted a two-day hyperscanning functional magnetic resonance imaging 32 study in which pairs of participants performed a real-time mutual gaze task followed by a joint attention task 33 on the first day, and mutual gaze tasks several days later. The joint attention task enhanced eye-blink synchroni-34 zation, which is believed to be a behavioral index of shared attention. When the same participant pairs 35 underwent mutual gaze without joint attention on the second day, enhanced eye-blink synchronization 36 persisted, and this was positively correlated with inter-individual neural synchronization within the right inferior 37 frontal gyrus. Neural synchronization was also positively correlated with enhanced eye-blink synchronization 38 during the previous joint attention task session. Consistent with the Hebbian association hypothesis, the right in-39 ferior frontal gyrus had been activated both by initiating and responding to joint attention. These results indicate 40 that shared attention is represented and retained by pair-specific neural synchronization that cannot be reduced 41 to the individual level.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc.

48 Introduction

43 43 46

Social interactions enable us to evaluate what the mental states and 49 intentions of others might be. Importantly, the type of social experience 5051is fundamentally different when we directly interact with others (second-person view) rather than merely observing them (spectator 52view; Schilbach et al., 2013). Social interactions have been postulated 53 54to have three prominent characteristics (Schilbach et al., 2013). First, 55there are different roles for the interacting individuals (e.g., initiator 56and responder at the simplest level). Second, sharing of attention,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.09.076 1053-8119/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc. intention, and motivation are created de novo within an interaction, 57 which are critical for the progress and continuation of the interaction itself. Finally, there is a context for the interaction based on past events and experience. Shared attention, or coordinated visual attention during face-to-face interaction, such as joint attention and mutual gaze (Emery, 61 2000), is a typical and fundamental process that fulfils the above three characteristics. 63

Humans use eye gaze to detect another individual's focus of atten- 64 tion, orient their own attention to the same locus, and draw inferences 65 regarding the other individual's goals (Allison et al., 2000; Calder et al., 66 2007; Nummenmaa and Calder, 2009). Mutual gaze provides a commu- 67 nicative link between humans by sharing the message of "I am attend- 68 ing to you" (Farroni et al., 2002; Schilbach, 2015). Joint attention (JA) Q3 coordinates attention between partners to share an awareness of ob- 70 jects or events (Mundy et al., 1986). There are two types of JA: Initiating 71

Please cite this article as: Koike, T., et al., Neural substrates of shared attention as social memory: A hyperscanning functional magnetic resonance imaging study, NeuroImage (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.09.076

^{*} Corresponding author at: National Institute for Physiological Sciences (NIPS), Myodaiji, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan. Fax: +81 564 55 7843.

E-mail address: sadato@nips.ac.jp (N. Sadato).

¹ T.K. and H.C.T. equally contributed to this work.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

T. Koike et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

72IA (IJA) is the ability to create spontaneously a shared point of reference 73 using mutual gaze, and by alternating gaze between objects and other individuals; and responding JA (RJA) is the ability to follow the direction 74 75of the initiator's gaze in order to share attention towards the object (Mundy et al., 2009). Thus IJA, RJA, and mutual gaze are tightly linked 76 77 (Emery, 2000; Perrett and Emery, 1994) and function to share attention 78within a dyad or to a third object. The importance of mutual gaze and JA 79in the development of social cognition has been stressed (Mundy and 80 Newell, 2007). However, it is unknown if the attention shared between 81 interactants is retained as social memory (Oullier et al., 2008), nor its 82 neural substrates. As shared attention is an interactively constituted phenomenon which cannot be reduced to responses at the individual 83 level, hyperscanning is really needed to depict its neural mechanisms 84 85 and the hypothesized memory trace (Konvalinka and Roepstorff, 2012; Schilbach, 2015). 86

A previous hyperscanning functional magnetic resonance imaging 87 (fMRI) study showed inter-individual neural synchronization within 88 89 the right inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) during JA after the removal of common effects of task (Saito et al., 2010). JA is regarded as a two-way 90 behavioral stimulus-to-brain coupling phenomenon, such that the be-91 havior of one person is coupled to the brain activation of the other, 9293 and vice versa (Hari et al., 2009). Thus neural synchronization in the 94 right IFG may represent inter-individual shared attention as a 'readiness 95 potential' for subsequent gaze based interaction (Schilbach, 2015).

Inter-individual neural synchronization can be understood based 96 on the premise that the perceptual system of one brain can become 97 coupled to the motor system of another (Dumas, 2011; Jacob, 2009; 98 99 Schippers and Keysers, 2011) through Hebbian association. This Hebbian account was previously invoked to explain automatic mimicry 100 (Keysers and Perrett, 2004; Del Giudice et al., 2009; Sasaki et al., 2012). 101 That is, the basis of automatic mimicry is motor and perception ac-102103 tion representations becoming tightly linked in such a way that perceiv-104 ing another person's action activates the same representations as 105performing the action. It was argued that the action representation, or motor-perceptual common representation, could be formed as an inter-106 nal model through Hebbian associations trained during motor execu-107 108 tion (Keysers and Perrett, 2004; Del Giudice et al., 2009). Given that 109 we continuously monitor our own actions, their sensory consequences are systematically and synchronously paired with motor commands. 110 This predicts the emergence of Hebbian connections that link motor 111 programs to sensory consequences (forward internal models), and 112 113 vice versa (inverse internal models), even during social interaction (Wolpert et al., 2003): In social Hebbian connections, one's own 114 motor programs are linked to the sensory consequences provided by 115 another's actions. We applied this motor-perceptual common represen-116 tation account to attention control. Our hypothesis was that the training 117 118 of joint attention, JA causes a social Hebbian association between initiating and responding joint attention, IJA and RJA. This is because the 119control of directing attention toward a third object for initiating JA is 120temporally linked to sensory consequences of the partner's response 121of directing attention to the same object, that is, RJA. Thus, social 122123Hebbian association could link the neural activities induced by IJA to 124those by induced by RJA of the partner, resulting in neural synchronization. If this is true, then both IJA and RJA should activate the right IFG, 125and the synchronization should be retained as social memory after the 126127IA experience.

To quantify interpersonal aspects of the social interaction such as 128shared attention, finding adequate and useful behavioral markers is crit-129 ical (Schilbach, 2014). Attentional coordination during shared attention 130is in the spatial domain. Less explicitly included in the shared attention 131 is the common "time window" of the attention directed to each other 132during mutual gaze, that precedes the JA. To perform a JA task, the initi-133 ator is required to confirm that the partner is attending to the initiator 134during a preceding eye contact condition, and the responder is required 135to attend to the initiator's eye movements. Thus, they are to share an at-136 137 tentional temporal window.

Eye-blinks are known to define the attentional temporal window. 138 Demands for attentional resources modulate the rate of eye-blinks 139 (Bentivoglio et al., 1997; Shultz et al., 2011), and the timing of eyeblinks is associated with implicit (Herrmann, 2010) and explicit 141 (Orchard and Stern, 1991) attentional pauses in task content. Eyeblinks of participants are synchronized while viewing the same video 143 stories (Nakano et al., 2009), and between listener and speaker in 144 face-to-face conversation (Nakano and Kitazawa, 2010). Considering 145 that blinks define the attentional "window", synchronization of eyeblinks between face-to-face interactants can be taken as an index of 147 shared attention. Once a Hebbian association is established, the initiathe control-response linkage in the attentional domain that can be 150 measured via eye-blink synchronization. 151

Accordingly, our hypothesis was that shared attention during a 152 JA task would be represented by blink synchronization and retained as 153 the social memory, and that this social memory would be represented 154 by enhanced inter-individual neural synchronization in the right IFG. 155 We also expected the right IFG to be activated by both RJA and IJA. To 156 test these hypotheses, we conducted hyperscanning fMRI during a JA 157 task, and during mutual eye gaze both before and after the JA task 158 (Fig. 1A). Three fMRI experiments were carried out. In Experiment 1, 159 participants performed real-time mutual gaze (MG1 condition, 160 Fig. 1A) followed by the JA tasks (Figs. 1B to D) on Day 1; on Day 2 of 161 Experiment 1, participants again underwent the real-time mutual gaze 162 condition (MG2 condition, Fig. 2A). There was a control condition 163 in which participants believed that they were performing real-time 164 interaction using eye contact, but in actuality they watched a video re- 165 corded on Day 1 (VIDEO condition, Fig. 1A). Experiment 2 was a 2-day 166 hyperscanning fMRI study consisting of the real-time mutual gaze task 167 without JA on Day 1. In Experiment 3, participants completed the 168 MG1 and JA tasks as in Experiment 1 on Day 1, but on Day 2 they per- 169 formed the real-time mutual gaze task with a new partner different 170 from the partner they had on Day 1. 171

Material and methods

Participants

A total of 96 volunteers participated. Prior to the experiment, we 174 assigned participants of the same gender to pairs. Participants were 175 not mutually acquainted prior to the start of the experiment. All partic-176 ipants except one were right-handed according to the Edinburgh Hand-177 edness Inventory (Oldfield, 1971). None of the participants had a 178 history of neurological or psychiatric illness. The protocol was approved 179 by the ethical committee of the National Institute for Physiological Sci-180 ences (Okazaki, Japan), and the experiments were undertaken in com-181 pliance with national legislation and the Code of Ethical Principles for 182 Medical Research Involving Human Subjects of the World Medical Asso-183 ciation (the Declaration of Helsinki). All participants gave their written 184 informed consent to participate in the study. 185

Experimental setup

To measure neural activation during the online exchange of eye signals between pairs of participants, we used a hyperscanning paraline digm with two MR scanners (Magnetom Verio 3 T, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany), installed side-by-side in parallel to minimize interference between magnetic fields. The two MR scanners shared one control proom, and the onset of scanning was synchronized by an external reciprocal face-to-face interaction, the two MRI scanners were used alongside online video cameras and infrared eye-tracking systems (NAC Image Technology Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The infrared camera captured images of each participant's face including the eyes and eyebrows, which were transferred to a personal computer (Dimension 9200, Dell

Please cite this article as: Koike, T., et al., Neural substrates of shared attention as social memory: A hyperscanning functional magnetic resonance imaging study, NeuroImage (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.09.076

172 173

186

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6024113

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6024113

Daneshyari.com