Please cite this article in press as: Kumar A et al. Effects of short-term training on behavioral learning and skill acquisition during intraoral fine motor task. Neuroscience (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.06.065

EFFECTS OF SHORT-TERM TRAINING ON BEHAVIORAL LEARNING

AND SKILL ACQUISITION DURING INTRAORAL FINE MOTOR TASK

Neuroscience xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

2 3

1

- A. KUMAR, a,b,c* J. GRIGORIADIS, b,c M. TRULSSON, b,c 4
- P. SVENSSON^{a,b,c} AND K. G. SVENSSON^b 5
- 6 ^a Section of Orofacial Pain and Jaw Function, Department
- 7 of Dentistry, Aarhus University, Denmark
- 8 ^b Department of Dental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet,
- 9 Huddinge, Sweden
- 10 ^c Scandinavian Center for Orofacial Neurosciences (SCON), Sweden
- Abstract—Sensory information from the 11 orofacial mechanoreceptors are used by the nervous system to optimize the positioning of food, determine the force levels, and force vectors involved in biting of food morsels. Moreover, practice resulting from repetition could be a key to learning and acquiring a motor skill. Hence, the aim of the experiment was to test the hypothesis that repeated splitting of a food morsel during a short-term training with an oral fine motor task would result in increased performance and optimization of jaw movements, in terms of reduction in duration of various phases of the jaw movements. Thirty healthy volunteers were asked to intraorally manipulate and split a chocolate candy, into two equal halves. The participants performed three series (with 10 trials) of the task before and after a short-term (approximately 30 min) training. The accuracy of the split and vertical jaw movement during the task were recorded. The precision of task performance improved significantly after training (22% mean deviation from ideal split after vs. 31% before; P < 0.001). There was a significant decrease in the total duration of jaw movements during the task after the training (1.21 s total duration after vs. 1.56 s before; P < 0.001). Further, when the jaw movements were divided into different phases, the jaw- phase and contact phase were significantly shorter after training than before training (P = 0.001, P = 0.002). The results indicate that short-term training of an oral fine motor task induces behavior learning, skill acquisition and optimization of jaw movements in terms of better performance and reduction in the duration of jaw movements, during the task. The finding of the present study provides insights into how humans learn oral motor behaviors or the kind of adaptation that takes place after a successful prosthetic rehabilitation. © 2015 IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

E-mail address: a.kumar@odont.au.dk (A. Kumar).

Abbreviations: ANOVA, analysis of variance; CPG, central pattern generator: EMG. electromyographic; PMR. periodontal mechanoreceptor; SEM, standard error of mean.

Key words: jaw movements, periodontal mechanoreceptors, manipulation task.

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

INTRODUCTION

Mastication is a complex intermittent rhythmic act in which the tongue, facial and jaw muscles act in coordination with each other, to position the food morsel appropriately in between the teeth, break it down into smaller pieces and prepare it for swallowing (for reviews see Lund, 18 1991, 2011). The fine-tuned coordination of tongue, facial and jaw muscles is achieved by the ability of the central 20 nervous system to receive and integrate sensory informa-21 tion from various types of oro-facial mechanoreceptors, 22 including the periodontal mechanoreceptors (PMRs) (Lund, 1991, 2011; Trulsson and Johansson, 2002; 23 24 Lund and Kolta, 2006). The sensory information is used 25 by the central nervous system to adjust the motor output 26 through changes in the jaw muscle activity and alter the 27 chewing forces and jaw kinematics (Trulsson and 28 Johansson, 2002). The basic rhythm of jaw movements 29 during mastication is set by a pool of neurons in the med-30 ial bulbar reticular formation in the brain stem called the 31 central pattern generator (CPG) (Dellow and Lund. 32 1971; Lund, 1991, 2011). The CPG is activated by ade-33 quate inputs from higher centers (motor cortex) of the 34 brain and can be modulated by the sensory inputs from 35 the orofacial mechanoreceptors (Dellow and Lund, 36 1971; Lund, 1991). Hence, it was suggested that face pri-37 mary motor cortex and somatosensory cortex are impor-38 tant for initiation and fine regulation of the self-39 perpetuating cycle of mastication (for reviews see Lund, 40 1991, 2011; Sessle et al., 2005, 2007, 2013). 41

The primary motor cortex, in general not only 42 contributes to the initiation, control and execution of the 43 jaw motor functions (for example jaw movements during 44 mastication), but also to the learning of new motor skills 45 (Sessle et al., 2007). Efficient learning and performance 46 of a skilled motor task would require efficient gathering 47 and processing of sensory information relevant to an 48 action (for a review see Wolpert et al., 2011). 49 Previously, studies have suggested that PMRs efficiently 50 encode vital information about the intensity, temporal, and 51 spatial aspects of forces acting on the teeth and signal to 52 the CPG and sensorimotor cortex (i.e., motor cortex and 53 somatosensory cortex) and inform about the tooth food 54 contact (Trulsson et al., 1992; Trulsson and Johansson, 55 1996). A loss in somatosensation from the periodontal 56

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.06.065

^{*}Correspondence to: A. Kumar, Section of Orofacial Pain and Jaw Function, Department of Dentistry, Vennelyst Boulevard 9, Aarhus University, Aarhus 8000, Denmark. Tel: +45-8716-7407; telefax: +45-8619-5665.

^{0306-4522/© 2015} IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

115

2

ligament associated with tooth extraction, for example, may affect the coordination of orofacial motor and sensory functions (for reviews see Sessle et al., 2005; Avivi-Arber et al., 2011).

It was suggested that intraoral manipulation and 61 splitting of a food morsel is a demanding task which 62 requires a high degree of oral sensorimotor skills 63 64 (Svensson et al., 2013). It could inarguably be accepted that practice resulting from repetition could be a key to 65 learning and acquiring a motor skill (Lee et al., 1991). 66 Further, skilled motor training involves optimizing the link-67 ing of action phases (Safstrom et al., 2013) and also 68 69 results in cortical reorganization and adaptation of the behavior of motor units (Muellbacher et al., 2001; 70 Duchateau et al., 2006). Acquisition of skilled movement 71 refers to processes by which movements, produced either 72 alone or in sequence come to be performed effortlessly 73 through repeated practice and may also be associated 74 with significant reorganization of the motor cortex 75 (Pascual-Leone et al., 1994). However, neuroplasticity 76 resulting from the training of skilled motor tasks may 77 depend on the specifics of the task and the muscle group 78 being trained (Duchateau et al., 2006). It has been sug-79 80 gested that short-term periods of movement training not 81 only changes motor function but also brings out persistent 82 changes in the somatosensory function (Ostry et al., 2010; Arce-McShane et al., 2014). However, most of 83 84 these documentations have been made in reference to the limb sensorimotor cortex and relatively little emphasis 85 has been given to face sensorimotor cortex (Sessle et al., 86 2005). We also think that results from the spinal system 87 may not always be extrapolated to the trigeminal system. 88 Hence, the aim of the present study was to test the 89 hypothesis that repeated precision splitting of a food mor-90 sel during a short-term training with an oral fine motor task 91 would result in increased performance and also lead to 92 93 optimization of jaw movements, in terms of reduction in 94 duration of various phases of jaw movements, during the task. 95

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

97 Study participants

96

Thirty healthy volunteers (14 males and 16 females) in the 98 age range of 19–40 years and mean age of 27 ± 0.6 99 (mean ± Standard error of mean (SEM)) were recruited 100 from the staff and students at Department of Dental 101 Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden. The 102 participants in the study were in good oral and general 103 104 health and did not report any functional or neurological 105 problems regarding biting or chewing, durina 106 mastication. At the time of the experiment, all 107 participants were free from any ongoing or previous endodontic treatment and gross 108 prosthetic or malocclusion, overjet/overbite of the anterior teeth. The 109 study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration 110 of Helsinki II and approved by the regional ethical 111 review board, Stockholm, Sweden (Dnr 2012/1562-112 31/1). Informed consent was obtained from all the 113 participants, prior to the start of the experiment. 114

Assessment of jaw motor function

The jaw motor function was assessed by recording the 116 mandibular movements using a custom-built 3D jaw 117 movement-tracking device (Physiology Section, IMB, 118 Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden). A small magnet 119 $(10 \times 5 \times 5 \text{ mm})$ was attached to the lower central 120 incisor and the jaw tracker employed could measure the 121 vertical movement of the lower jaw with reference to the 122 upper jaw. The position of the magnet in all three 123 dimensions (accuracy: 0.1 mm; bandwidth: DC 124 100 Hz) was tracked with an array of magnetic sensors 125 attached to the head with a light weight wooden frame 126 resting on the bridge of the nose and strapped around 127 the head with spectacle like frames. The tracking device 128 was light weighted (220 g), allowed free movement of 129 the head, and interfered minimally with the oral function. 130 For detailed description of the equipment see 131 (Grigoriadis et al., 2011). 132

A pair of bipolar surface electrodes (2 mm in diameter, 133 separated by 12 mm) was also attached, with double-134 sided adhesive tape, to the central part of the right and 135 left masseter muscle, midway between the superior and 136 inferior borders and anterior and posterior borders and 137 were positioned perpendicular to the direction of muscle 138 fibers. The position of the electrode was determined by 139 palpation of the masseter muscle and asking the 140 participant to clench the teeth. The electrodes were 141 integrated with shielded differential pre-amplifiers 142 (bandwidth 6 Hz to 2.5 kHz). Prior to the application of 143 the electrodes: the skin over the recording surface was 144 thoroughly cleaned with sterile alcoholic wipes and the 145 electrodes coated with electrode gel to reduce 146 impedance. In addition, a pair of customized 147 microphones mounted inside the earplugs of a headset 148 (Physiology Section, IMB, Umeå University, Umeå, 149 Sweden) was employed to record the sound of the 150 cracking food. The microphones were positioned in the 151 external auditory canal and calibrated for each 152 participant prior to start of the experiment (Svensson 153 et al., 2013). 154

Behavioral task

155

The participants were comfortably seated on an office 156 chair in an upright position and were asked to keep a 157 commercially available, spherical shaped food morsel 158 (sugar coated chocolate candy, diameter of 10 mm with 159 an approximate weight of 0.84 ± 0.01 g, measured by 160 weighting 10 randomly selected Marianne 161 chokladdragéer; Fazer, Finland, candies from the same 162 batch) between the tongue and the mid-section of the 163 hard palate with their teeth in maximum intercuspation, 164 before the start of the recordings (Svensson et al., 165 2013). The participants were then asked to move the 166 candy with the tongue to position it in between the anterior 167 teeth (upper and lower central incisors) and to subse-168 quently split it into precisely two equal halves. The partic-169 ipants then spat out the split candy pieces in a plastic cup. 170 held by the examiner. No instructions were given on how 171 rapidly the task was to be performed however; the exam-172 iner gave verbal instructions about the start of the task. 173

Please cite this article in press as: Kumar A et al. Effects of short-term training on behavioral learning and skill acquisition during intraoral fine motor task. Neuroscience (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.06.065

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6272195

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6272195

Daneshyari.com