



## Theories without the tree property of the second kind

Artem Chernikov<sup>1</sup>

*Équipe de Logique Mathématique, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu – Paris Rive Gauche, Bâtiment Sophie Germain, Université Paris Diderot Paris 7, UFR de Mathématiques – case 7012, 75205 Paris Cedex 13, France*

## ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*

Received 3 July 2012  
 Received in revised form 11 February 2013  
 Accepted 1 August 2013  
 Available online 28 October 2013

*MSC:*

03C45  
 03C20  
 03C60  
 12J10

*Keywords:*

NTP<sub>2</sub>  
 NIP  
 Simplicity  
 Burden  
 dp-rank  
 Ultraproducts of p-adics

## ABSTRACT

We initiate a systematic study of the class of theories without the tree property of the second kind — NTP<sub>2</sub>. Most importantly, we show: the burden is “sub-multiplicative” in arbitrary theories (in particular, if a theory has TP<sub>2</sub> then there is a formula with a single variable witnessing this); NTP<sub>2</sub> is equivalent to the generalized Kim’s lemma and to the boundedness of *ist*-weight; the dp-rank of a type in an arbitrary theory is witnessed by mutually indiscernible sequences of realizations of the type, after adding some parameters — so the dp-rank of a 1-type in any theory is always witnessed by sequences of singletons; in NTP<sub>2</sub> theories, simple types are co-simple, characterized by the co-independence theorem, and forking between the realizations of a simple type and arbitrary elements satisfies full symmetry; a Henselian valued field of characteristic (0, 0) is NTP<sub>2</sub> (strong, of finite burden) if and only if the residue field is NTP<sub>2</sub> (the residue field and the value group are strong, of finite burden respectively), so in particular any ultraproduct of *p*-adics is NTP<sub>2</sub>; adding a generic predicate to a geometric NTP<sub>2</sub> theory preserves NTP<sub>2</sub>.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

The aim of this paper is to initiate a systematic study of theories without the tree property of the second kind, or NTP<sub>2</sub> theories. This class was defined by Shelah implicitly in [31] in terms of a certain cardinal invariant  $\kappa_{\text{inp}}$  (see Section 2) and explicitly in [30], and it contains both simple and NIP theories. There was no active research on the subject until the recent interest in generalizing methods and results of stability theory to larger contexts, necessitated for example by the developments in the model theory of important algebraic examples such as algebraically closed valued fields [17].

We give a short overview of related results in the literature. The invariant  $\kappa_{\text{inp}}$ , the upper bound for the number of independent partitions, was considered by Tsuboi in [37] for the case of stable theories. In [2]

*E-mail address:* art.chernikov@gmail.com.

<sup>1</sup> The author was supported by the Marie Curie Initial Training Network in Mathematical Logic – MALOA – From MAThematical LLogic to Applications, PITN-GA-2009-238381.

Adler defines *burden*, by relativizing  $\kappa_{\text{inp}}$  to a fixed partial type, makes the connection to weight in simple theories and defines strong theories. *Burden* in the context of NIP theories, where it is called *dp-rank*, was already introduced by Shelah in [33] and developed further in [28,23,22]. Results about forking and dividing in  $\text{NTP}_2$  theories were established in [8]. In particular, it was proved that a formula forks over a model if and only if it divides over it (see Section 4). Some facts about ordered inp-minimal theories and groups (that is with  $\kappa_{\text{inp}}^1 = 1$ ) are proved in [14,36]. In [19, Theorem 4.13] Ben Yaacov shows that if a structure has IP, then its randomization (in the sense of continuous logic) has  $\text{TP}_2$ . Malliaris [27] considers  $\text{TP}_2$  in relation to the saturation of ultra-powers and the Keisler order. In [5] Chatzidakis observes that  $\omega$ -free PAC fields have  $\text{TP}_2$ .

A brief description of the results in this paper.

In Section 2 we introduce inp-patterns, *burden*, establish some of their basic properties and demonstrate that *burden* is sub-multiplicative: that is, if  $\text{bdn}(a/C) < \kappa$  and  $\text{bdn}(b/aC) < \lambda$ , then  $\text{bdn}(ab/C) < \kappa \times \lambda$ . As an application we show that the value of the invariant of a theory  $\kappa_{\text{inp}}(T)$  does not depend on the number of variables used in the computation. This answers a question of Shelah from [31] and shows in particular that if  $T$  has  $\text{TP}_2$ , then some formula  $\phi(x, y)$  with  $x$  a singleton has  $\text{TP}_2$ . It remains open whether *burden* in  $\text{NTP}_2$  theories is actually sub-additive.

In Section 3 we describe the place of  $\text{NTP}_2$  in the classification hierarchy of first-order theories and the relationship of *burden* to *dp-rank* in NIP theories and to weight in simple theories. We also recall some combinatorial “structure/non-structure” dichotomy due to Shelah, and discuss the behavior of the  $\text{SOP}_n$  hierarchy restricting to  $\text{NTP}_2$  theories.

Section 4 is devoted to forking (and dividing) in  $\text{NTP}_2$  theories. After discussing strictly invariant types, we give a characterization of  $\text{NTP}_2$  in terms of the appropriate variants of Kim’s lemma, local character and bounded weight relatively to strict non-forking. As an application we consider theories with dependent dividing (i.e. whenever  $p \in S(N)$  divides over  $M \prec N$ , there some  $\phi(x, a) \in p$ -dividing over  $M$  and such that  $\phi(x, y)$  is NIP) and show that any theory with dependent dividing is  $\text{NTP}_2$ . Finally we observe that the analysis from [8] generalizes to a situation when one is working inside an  $\text{NTP}_2$  type in an arbitrary theory.

A famous equation of Shelah “NIP = stability + dense linear order” turned out to be a powerful ideological principle, at least at the early stages of the development of NIP theories. In this paper the equation “ $\text{NTP}_2$  = simplicity + NIP” plays an important role. In particular, it seems very natural to consider two extremal kinds of types in  $\text{NTP}_2$  theories (and in general) — simple types and NIP types. While it is perfectly possible for an  $\text{NTP}_2$  theory to have neither, they form important special cases and are not entirely understood.

In Section 5 we look at NIP types. In particular we show that the results of the previous section on forking localized to a type combined with honest definitions from [9] allow to omit the global  $\text{NTP}_2$  assumption in the theorem of [22], thus proving that *dp-rank* of a type in arbitrary theory is always witnessed by mutually indiscernible sequences of its realizations, after adding some parameters (see Theorem 5.3). We also observe that in an  $\text{NTP}_2$  theory, a type is NIP if and only if every extension of it has only boundedly many global non-forking extensions.

In Section 6 we consider simple types (defined as those types for which every completion satisfies the local character), first in arbitrary theories and then in  $\text{NTP}_2$ . While it is more or less immediate that on the set of realizations of a simple type forking satisfies all the properties of forking in simple theories, the interaction between the realizations of a simple type and arbitrary tuples seems more intricate. We establish full symmetry between realizations of a simple type and arbitrary elements, answering a question of Casanovas in the case of  $\text{NTP}_2$  theories (showing that simple types are co-simple, see Definition 6.7). Then we show that simple types are characterized as those satisfying the co-independence theorem and that co-simple stably embedded types are simple (so in particular a theory is simple if and only if it is  $\text{NTP}_2$  and satisfies the independence theorem).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6424806>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6424806>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)