Fluid Phase Equilibria 442 (2017) 38-43

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Fluid Phase Equilibria

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fluid

Thermodynamic modelling of acid gas removal from natural gas using the Extended UNIQUAC model



FLUID PHASE

Negar Sadegh ^{a, *}, Erling H. Stenby ^b, Kaj Thomsen ^a

^a Center for Energy Resources Engineering (CERE), Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Søltofts Plads, Building 229, DK–2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

^b Center for Energy Resources Engineering (CERE), Department of Chemistry, Technical University of Denmark, Kemitorvet 207, Building 206, DK–2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 17 November 2016 Received in revised form 22 February 2017 Accepted 27 February 2017 Available online 6 March 2017

Keywords: Thermodynamics Extended UNIQUAC High pressure MDEA Acid gas Natural gas

1. Introduction

Natural gas is often recovered from the well at high pressures, around 5–10 MPa. Natural gas is usually contaminated with acid gases (CO_2 and H_2S) [1]. Natural gas sweetening is carried out in order to remove these gases.

In order to avoid extra costs of pressurizing for liquefaction, the sweetening process must be carried out at high pressures, hence, without a pressure drop [2].

Stripping acid gases from natural gas is commonly done by use of aqueous alkanolamines in an absorber-desorber system [1]. In natural gas treatment process, the typical absorber pressure is around 5–10 MPa, but the stripper pressure is between 0.1 and 0.3 MPa. In the absorber, mixtures of acid gas-hydrocarbons, mainly methane, are contacted counter currently with aqueous amines while in the stripper, mixtures of acid gas-amine-water are present as methane and other hydrocarbons are already separated. During the years different types of amines have been developed and used for specific gas treating applications [3]. Among amines, Methyldiethanolamine (MDEA) is usually preferred for full absorption of H₂S and partial absorption of CO₂ [3]. MDEA can reduce the amount of H₂S and CO₂ to approximately 4 ppmv and 3 mol%, respectively [3]. Representation of the thermodynamic behavior of acid gas removal process form natural gas at operational conditions is important for proper design of natural gas treating plants. The objective of this study is to extend the Extended UNIQUAC model developed earlier by Sadegh et al. [4,5] to describe single and mixed acid gas solubility in aqueous MDEA in presence of methane as a makeup gas (at high pressures).

2. Model description

In this study the Extended UNIQUAC model [6] is developed to describe thermodynamic behavior of the H₂S-CO₂-CH₄-MDEA-H₂O system. The Extended UNIQUAC model is used to calculate activity coefficients in the liquid phase and SRK [7] equation of state is applied for calculation of fugacities in the vapor phase. In the Extended UNIQUAC model the extended Debye – Hückel term is added to the original UNIQUAC [8,9] model in order to allow the model to be used for electrolyte solutions. The model structure has

A B S T R A C T

Thermodynamics of natural gas sweetening process needs to be known for proper design of natural gas treating plants. Absorption with aqueous N-Methyldiethanolamine is currently the most commonly used process for removal of acid gas (CO_2 and H_2S) impurities from natural gas. Model parameters for the Extended UNIQUAC model have already been determined by the same authors to calculate single acid gas solubility in aqueous MDEA. In this study, the model is further extended to estimate solubility of CO_2 and H_2S and their mixture in aqueous MDEA at high pressures with methane as a makeup gas.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



^{*} Corresponding author. Systems Analysis Division, Department of Management Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Produktionstorvet, Building 426, DK–2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark.

E-mail addresses: nesad@dtu.dk, negar.sadegh@gmail.com (N. Sadegh).

already been explained by Sadegh et al. [4,5].

3. Equilibrium calculations

For rigorous modelling of acid gas-alkanolamine system, both physical and chemical equilibrium have to be incorporated in the model.

3.1. Physical equilibrium

Molecular species distribute between liquid and vapor phase. The following vapor-liquid equilibria take place in the studied system.

$$\operatorname{CO}_2(\operatorname{aq}) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{CO}_2(\operatorname{g})$$
 (1)

$$H_2S(aq) \leftrightarrow H_2S(g) \tag{2}$$

 $CH_4 (aq) \leftrightarrow CH_4 (g) \tag{3}$

 $H_2O(l) \leftrightarrow H_2O(g) \tag{4}$

$$MDEA (aq) \leftrightarrow MDEA (g) \tag{5}$$

3.2. Chemical equilibrium

Acid gas-alkanolamine system is a reactive system, many reactions occur in the system. The following reactions are considered in this study.

Ionization of water :
$$H_2O(l) \leftrightarrow OH^-(aq) + H^+(aq)$$
 (6)

Protonation of MDEA : MDEA $(aq) + H_2O(l) \leftrightarrow MDEAH^+(aq) + OH^-(aq)$

Dissociation of carbon dioxide : $CO_2(aq)$

$$+ OH^{-}(aq) \leftrightarrow HCO_{3}^{-}(aq)$$
(8)

Dissociation of bicarbonate ion : $HCO_3^-(aq)$

$$+ OH^{-}(aq) \leftrightarrow CO_{3}^{2-}(aq) + H_{2}O(l)$$
(9)

Hydrogen sulfide dissociation : $H_2S(aq) \leftrightarrow H^+(aq) + HS^-(aq)$ (10)

Bisulfide ion dissociation reaction (HS⁻ (aq) \leftrightarrow H⁺(aq) + S²⁻(aq)) is excluded for modelling work of this study because the amount of sulfide ion is very small and negligible [5].

4. Evaluation of model parameters

All adjustable model parameters belong to the UNIQUAC term and there is no adjustable parameter in Debye – Hückel term and SRK EoS. The adjustable model parameters are: UNIQUAC volume parameter (r_i), surface area parameter (q_i) and binary interaction parameters between species "i" and "j" (u_{ij}). The binary interaction parameters are symmetric ($u_{ij} = u_{ji}$) and temperature dependent.

$$u_{ij} = u_{ij}^{0} + u_{ij}^{T} \left(T - 298.15\right) \tag{11}$$

Values of u_{ij}^0 and u_{ij}^T are adjusted to the experimental data. The values of u_{ij}^0 and u_{ij}^T for the binary interaction parameter between species that have a low possibility of coexistence in the solution has been set to 10^{10} and 0, respectively. The assigned values keep the ineffective parameters away from the regression process.

Evaluated experimental vapor-liquid equilibrium data (total pressure and acid gas partial pressure) were regressed in order to find the optimum values of model adjustable parameters. The model parameters were optimized to obtain the minimum of the objective function (S):

$$S = \sum_{\text{VLEdata}} \left[\frac{P_{calc} - P_{exp}}{w(P_{exp} + 0.01 \text{ bar})} \right]^2$$
(12)

In equation (12), "calc" and "exp" are calculated and experimental values, respectively. P (in bar) is either the solution total pressure or acid gas partial pressure. w is the weight given to vaporliquid equilibria data and it set to 0.05. This value was chosen based on the accuracy of the regressed data. 0.01 bar is added to the denominator of the VLE term in order to avoid giving too much weight to low pressure data.

5. Model parameters

(7)

5.1. Single acid gas-CH₄-MDEA-H₂O systems

The modelling of the CO_2 -CH₄-MDEA-H₂O and the H₂S-CH₄-MDEA-H₂O quaternary systems was started by determining model parameters for the CH₄-H₂O binary subsystem.

Methane dissolves only physically in the water. Vapor-liquid equilibrium should be taken into account to obtain methane physical solubility in water. To model the CH₄-H₂O system, the binary parameter for interaction between CH₄ and H₂O has been fitted to the binary VLE data for CH₄-H₂O system. The volume and surface area parameters, r and q, for methane and water were respectively taken from Addicks et al. [10] and Abrams and Prausnitz [8]. The values of the adjusted UNIQUAC parameters required for modelling CH₄-H₂O mixture are shown in Table 1. The determined parameter sets for the CH₄-H₂O system were then combined with the parameter sets of ternary acid gas-MDEA-H₂O from Sadegh et al. [4,5] work to form a model for quaternary acid gas-CH₄-MDEA-H₂O system. The behavior of the quaternary acid gas-CH₄-MDEA-H₂O system can be well predicted by the combined model and there is no need to adjust any additional parameter.

5.2. Mixed acid gas-CH₄-MDEA-H₂O system

Mixed acid gas system can be modeled based on the parameters for single acid gases. When CO_2 and H_2S are both present, the additional $HCO_3^--HS^-$ and $CO_3^{2^-}-HS^-$ interaction parameters are required compared to single acid gas systems. The H_2S-CO_2 interaction parameter was found to have no effect on modelling the mixed acid gas system. This interaction parameter was set to a large value which indicates no contribution to the excess Gibbs energy function. The parameters for the interactions $HCO_3^--HS^-$ and

Table 1 $u_{ij}^0 = u_{ji}^0$ and $u_{ij}^T = u_{ji}^T$ parameters required for calculating UNIQUAC energy interaction parameters.

Pair	$u^0_{ij}=u^0_{ji}$	$u_{ij}^{T} = u_{ji}^{T}$
CH_4-H_2O $HCO_3^HS^-$	44.16483 494.76	1.4836 0.2494
$CO_3^{2-}-HS^-$	262.13	3.685

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6473285

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6473285

Daneshyari.com