ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Fuel Processing Technology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fuproc

Research article

# High-quality oil and gas from pyrolysis of Powder River Basin coal catalyzed by an environmentally-friendly, inexpensive composite iron-sodium catalysts



### Bang Xu<sup>a</sup>, Wenyang Lu<sup>a</sup>, Zhao Sun<sup>a</sup>, Ting He<sup>b</sup>, Alexander Goroncy<sup>c</sup>, Yulong Zhang<sup>d</sup>, Maohong Fan<sup>a,e,f,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, USA

<sup>b</sup> Idaho National Laboratory, Idaho Falls, ID 83415, USA

<sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, USA

<sup>d</sup> National Institute of Clean and Low Carbon Energy, Beijing 102211, China

<sup>e</sup> School of Energy Resources, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, USA

<sup>f</sup> Department of Petroleum Engineering, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 April 2017 Received in revised form 19 May 2017 Accepted 24 May 2017 Available online 19 July 2017

*Keywords:* Coal pyrolysis Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>–FeCO<sub>3</sub> composite catalysts De-oxygenation Reaction pathway

#### ABSTRACT

The objective of this research is to develop a cost-effective catalytic pyrolysis process for producing high-quality oil and gas from coal with low CO<sub>2</sub> emission. Catalytic pyrolysis of the Powder River Basin coal using composite Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-FeCO<sub>3</sub> catalysts has been investigated in a fixed bed reactor between 500 and 800 °C under atmospheric pressure to evaluate effects of catalyst on quantity and quality of the resulting oils and gases. The results indicate that high-quality oil and gas can be obtained with use of 4% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and 3% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-1% FeCO<sub>3</sub> catalyst. Use of 3%Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-1% FeCO<sub>3</sub> catalyst can improve coal conversion by ~4.5% and 5% for Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> catalyst. The H/C ratio of the oil products obtained with catalysts are higher, while their O and S concentrations are reduced by as much as 36.1% and 50.6% for 4% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and 23.27% and 50.6% for 3% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-1% FeCO<sub>3</sub> catalyst, respectively. The respective heating values of oil obtained with the 4% Na2CO3 and 3% Na2CO3-1% FeCO3 catalysts increase by 833.3 kJ/kg and 541.7 kJ/kg. Moreover, these catalysts can reduce CH<sub>4</sub> and increase H<sub>2</sub> and CO concentrations of the produced gases at 700 °C, while 3% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-1% FeCO<sub>3</sub> obtain better quality syngas (H<sub>2</sub>/CO) at higher temperature. At 700 °C,4% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> can reduce CH<sub>4</sub> concentration in the gas by 23.11% and increase H<sub>2</sub> and CO by 70.22% and 6.54%, respectively, 3% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-1% FeCO<sub>3</sub> can reduce CH<sub>4</sub> concentration by 20.80% and increase H<sub>2</sub> and CO by 58.90% and 2.18%. All these results demonstrate that 4% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and 3% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-1% FeCO<sub>3</sub> are both promising coal pyrolysis catalysts. The composite catalyst can not only synergize the advantages, but also overcome the challenges of pure iron or pure sodium based catalytic coal pyrolysis processes. In addition, GCMS test results show that Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> can change the reaction pathway way of pyrolysis, resulting in a decrease in the generation of furan and esters and increases in the productions of phenols, ketones, straight-chain olefins, and alicyclic hydrocarbons. The associated catalytic mechanism with addition of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is proposed.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

The atmospheric  $CO_2$  is rising at an unprecedented rate and will continue to increase due to the world's ever increasing demand for energy and consequent consumption of fossil fuels such as coal, oil and natural gas [1,2,3]. If current trends continue, the world could soon see major disruptions to both natural ecosystems and human civilization, including sea level increases that could swamp many of the world's coastal cities. The control of  $CO_2$  is a major challenge for the future use of fossil fuel, especially coal. The  $CO_2$  emissions of coal-based power plants

E-mail address: mfan@uwyo.edu (M. Fan).

account for ~30% of total  $CO_2$  emissions in the U.S [4]. Thus, there is an increasing interest in developing non-combustion based coal utilization and energy production technologies.

Pyrolysis is considered one of the promising method for low carbon-footprint coal utilzation. It is an efficent way for separating coal into three products: oil or tar, gas and solid. The oil or tar can be refined into liquid fuels. The gas can be used for producing liquids and chemicals such as diesel. The solid carbon/char has various applications including environmental protections.

However, there are several shortcomings with the conventional coal pyrolysis. First of all, its kinetics is slow. Coal pyrolysis occurs at relatively low temperatures [5,6]. Slow kinetics leads to high energy consumption. Also, the concentrations of undesired elements including O and S are high in pyrolysis-derived oils, which

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, USA.

Table 1		
Proximate and	ultimate analyses of coal.	

Proximate analysis (wt%)		Ultimate analysis (wt%, daf)					
M <sub>ad</sub>	$A_d$	$V_{daf}$	С	Н	Ν	S	0 <sup>a</sup>
10.27	8.72	48.73	78.87	3.72	1.01	0.47	15.93

<sup>a</sup> By difference.

considerably affect their applications as fuels. For example, the concentration of O in the coal tar produced by Fang et al. [7] through pyrolysis is up to 65%. It is well known that O in oil negatively affects the life span of engines [8] and increases the harmful  $NO_x$  emissions [9]. Moreover, the gases derived with the conventional pyrolysis process contain high concentrations of  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  and low  $H_2$ : CO ratio, which are undesired when the gases are used for synthesizing liquid fuels [10,11].

Therefore, the above-mentioned shortcomings need to be simultaneously overcome from the perspectives of economy, energy utilization efficiency, and environmental protection. As reported by lin et al. [12], activated carbon catalysts exhibited better upgrading performances in a fixed-bed reactor over char. The upgrading performance of various metal-loaded HZSM-5 were examined by Liu et al. [13] and Ni-loaded presented the best performance for production of high quality tars with highest aromatics contents of 94.2% (area%). Xiao-Bo et al. [14] reported that organic oxygen species were applied to investigate the effects of pyrolysis temperature and gas resident time. Moreover, some results indicate that the additive of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> can improve the quality of oil produces by oil sludge and biomass. The oxygen concentration of the bio-oil from biomass pyrolysis decrease from 47.5 wt% to 16.4 wt% [15,16]. In addition, transition metals are also effective catalysts for coal pyrolysis reaction. They can improve hydrogenation reaction [17,18]. Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is also a promising catalyst because of its abundance, low cost and environmentally friendly for coal pyrolysis. In addition, Results obtained in our previous research, showing that the composite Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-FeCO<sub>3</sub> catalysts are efficient for PRB coal gasification, which not only can reduce the activation energy obviously, but also improve the generation of desired products H<sub>2</sub> and CO, compared to pure sodium and iron catalysts, respectively [5,19-21].

This research aims to address these issues. The effect of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-FeCO<sub>3</sub> composite catalysts on coal pyrolysis was studied. Specifically, inexpensive FeCO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> based catalysts and the corresponding composite catalysts have been explored to improve the qualities of coal-pyrolysis-derived oil and gases.



Fig. 2. Coal conversion rate from coal pyrolysis with and without use of catalysts at different temperatures.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Materials

Raw coal used in this work was from the Wyoming Powder River Basin, provided by Wyodak Resources Development Corp. The proximate analyses were performed according to ASTM D5142 and D5016. The ultimate analyses were performed on PRB chars obtained by ASTM D5373, D5016, and D4239 methods. The results of proximate and ultimate analyses of the coal are shown in Table 1. Meanwhile the ash analysis of raw coal is shown in Table S1.

The incipient wetness impregnation (IWI) method was used to mix FeCO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> with coal particles [22]. The specific preparation of the FeCO<sub>3</sub> catalyst has been described in detail elsewhere [21]. The catalyst and coal mixtures were prepared by adding the appropriate amounts of FeCO<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> or FeCO<sub>3</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> to pulverized PRB coal to



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of catalytic PRB coal pyrolysis in a fixed-bed reactor. (1) N<sub>2</sub>, (2) mass flow, (3) mass flow controller, (4) high-temperature furnace, (5) temperature controller, (6) collection unit, (7) dryer, (8) online GC, (9) Ar, (10) data acquisition unit.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6476289

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6476289

Daneshyari.com