ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Applied Energy



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apenergy

## Thermodynamics of carbon dioxide-hydrocarbon systems

### Yolanda Sanchez-Vicente<sup>a</sup>, Weparn J. Tay<sup>a</sup>, Saif Z. Al Ghafri<sup>a,b</sup>, J.P. Martin Trusler<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Qatar Carbonates and Carbon Storage Research Centre, Department of Chemical Engineering, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup> Centre for Energy, School of Mechanical & Chemical Engineering, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

HIGHLIGHTS

- A comprehensive thermodynamic study of a prototype hydrocarbon-CO<sub>2</sub> system.
- Density and phase behavior measurements.
- $\bullet\,$  Comparison with predictive thermodynamic models: PPR78 and SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie.
- Both models perform well for phase behavior.
- $\bullet\,$  SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie generally superior for density.

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Carbon dioxide Density Heptane Hydrocarbon Miscibility Phase behavior

#### ABSTRACT

Understanding the thermophysical properties for mixtures of  $CO_2$  and hydrocarbons at reservoir conditions is very important for the correct design and optimization of  $CO_2$ -enhanced oil recovery and carbon storage in depleted oil or gas fields. In this paper, we present a comprehensive thermodynamic study of the prototype system ( $CO_2$  + n-heptane) comprising highly-accurate measurements of the saturated-phase densities, compressed-fluid densities, and bubble and dew points at temperatures from 283 K to 473 K and pressures up to 68 MPa over the full range of composition. We use these results to examine the predictive capability of two leading thermodynamic models: the Predictive Peng-Robinson (PPR-78) equation of state and a version of the Statistical Associating Fluid Theory for potentials of the Mie form, known as SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie. Both of these models use group contribution approaches to estimate interaction parameters and can be applied to complex multicomponent systems. The comparison shows that both approaches are reliable for the phase behavior. Neither model is entirely satisfactory for density, with each exhibiting absolute average relative deviations (AARD) from the experimental data of about 4% for the saturated-phase densities and 2% for the compressed-fluid densities; however, SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie is found to be much more accurate than PPR-78 for the compressibility, with an overall AARD of 6% compared with 18% for PPR-78.

#### 1. Introduction

Largely as a consequence of the combustion of fossil fuels, carbon dioxide concentrations in the atmosphere have been rising steadily and have recently surpassed 400 ppm [1]. This rising trend is the major driver of a continuing process of climate change that has so far seen the global mean surface temperature increase by about 1 °C since pre-industrial times [2,3]. Clearly, there is now an urgent need to stabilise  $CO_2$  levels in the atmosphere to mitigate further dangerous climate change. In December 2015, an action plan was agreed in Paris with the aim of limiting the global average temperature increase by 2100 to < 2 °C above pre-industrial levels [4]. To achieve this, a massive

reduction in  $CO_2$  emissions is required. The pathway to decarbonization will probably include a mix of technologies. As it is generally accepted that fossil fuels will continue to be part of the global energy mix during the 21st century, carbon capture and storage (CCS) will be a crucial technology in the drive to reduce anthropogenic  $CO_2$  emissions from the power and industry sectors over the short and medium term, thereby protecting the security and stability of the energy system. According to an IEA report in 2015 [5], in all sectors, the amount of  $CO_2$  captured and stored needs to be about 6 Gt per year by 2050 as a part of the overall strategy needed to achieve the 2 °C target. An advantage of CCS technologies is that they can be retrofitted to many industrial processes such as natural gas processing, hydrogen production, steel

E-mail address: m.trusler@imperial.ac.uk (J.P.M. Trusler).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.03.136

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

Received 1 December 2017; Received in revised form 23 February 2018; Accepted 28 March 2018 0306-2619/ © 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

and cement making, as well as to power generation. Furthermore, if biomass firing is adopted power generation with net-negative  $CO_2$  emissions is possible [6].

The CCS process comprises three main steps: capture (typically separation of CO<sub>2</sub> from other gaseous substances), transport (e.g. by pipeline), and finally storage in a geological formation (CO2 injection and long-term monitoring to ensure permanence) [7]. Potential geological storage sites for CO2 include active and depleted hydrocarbon reservoirs, un-minable coal seams and deep saline aquifers [8]. Potentially, the cheapest storage solution would be injection into partiallydepleted oil reservoirs to enhance oil recovery (CO2-EOR) while also storing large amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> [9]. CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR could be beneficial economically as a means of reducing the high cost of CCS. Moreover, the geological properties of the oil and gas reservoirs are usually better known, as a result of their previous exploitation history, than is the case for other storage sinks. The largest commercial CCS projects have been achieved by combining CCS with EOR technology [10]. The recently published white paper, "Can technology unlock unburnable carbon?" [11] estimates that, worldwide, 1000 Gt of CO<sub>2</sub> can be stored in hydrocarbon reservoirs alone. The analysis in the white paper suggests that, in the period 2010 to 2050, between 100 Gt and 500 Gt of CO<sub>2</sub> storage would be required to meet the 2 °C objective; therefore, the available oil and gas fields potentially have the capacity to store all of the targeted CO<sub>2</sub>, possibly at a lower cost than other options.

In the CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR process, some of the residual oil trapped in the reservoir after secondary recovery is extracted with a tertiary CO2 flood. The performance of the CO<sub>2</sub> flood relies strongly on the physical properties of the mixture of CO<sub>2</sub> with crude oil. Depending upon the oil composition and the temperature and pressure in the reservoir, CO<sub>2</sub> flooding can be either miscible, where complete miscibility of oil and  $CO_2$  is possible, or partially miscible. The miscibility of  $CO_2$  with the crude oil is characterized by the minimum miscibility pressure (MMP) at the reservoir temperature. This MMP is often determined in the laboratory using bottom-hole oil samples with CO<sub>2</sub> in the slim-tube experiment [12]. However, in the field, 100% sweep efficiency is not achieved, even above the MMP, as the CO<sub>2</sub> can pass along high-permeability paths between the injection and production wells, bypassing some of the residual oil. Therefore, under typical reservoir conditions, two non-aqueous phases will be present during CO<sub>2</sub> injection: one phase rich in CO<sub>2</sub>, containing light hydrocarbons extracted from the oil, and the other rich in heavier hydrocarbons. The CO2-rich phase will have a low viscosity and flow more freely towards the production well, contacting fresh oil from which it may extract further hydrocarbons. In addition, dissolution of CO<sub>2</sub> in the oil-rich phase results in swelling and a reduction in viscosity; this expanded oil can then also flow more easily towards the production wells [13]. At the surface, CO<sub>2</sub> dissolved in the produced oil is separated and re-circulated back into the process, while CO<sub>2</sub> not transported to the production wells is permanently stored during the process. In some fields, 40% to 50% of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> remains in the reservoir permanently [14], and a significant portion of the residual oil is extracted [15]. For example, since 2008, the Wasson Field's Denver Unit CO<sub>2</sub> EOR project has recovered 15% of the residual oil saturation after waterflooding [16]. Enhanced gas recovery with CO<sub>2</sub> injection and sequestration has also been proposed and, like CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR, may offer an economically advantageous way of achieving carbon storage [17,18].

Understanding of the phase behaviour and thermophysical properties for  $CO_2$  + hydrocarbon systems at reservoir conditions is very important for the correct design and optimization of both  $CO_2$ -EOR and carbon storage processes. In addition to phase behaviour, the thermophysical properties of interest include (but are not limited to) the saturated-phase and compressed-fluid densities. These properties are essential to determine the amount of  $CO_2$  that can be stored in a depleted oil reservoir as well as to model convective transport through the reservoir [19]. The saturated-phase and compressed-fluid densities are also necessary for calculating the oil swelling during  $CO_2$  reservoir

flooding. Moreover, such data are important in downstream processing and in a wide range of industrial applications such as supercritical extraction and separation processes [20,21]. Since these processes cover large ranges of operating conditions and involve multicomponent systems, reservoir/process simulations are employed in design. The design of robust and safe processes can only be achieved if the equation-ofstate (EOS) models used in these simulations are optimized and/or validated with accurate experimental data [22]. In oilfield applications, the parameters of the EoS models may be optimized against measured properties of bottom-hole reservoir-fluid samples taken from the production zones of interest. However, both the generic understanding of phase behaviour and properties, and the interpretation of field data, rely greatly upon a thorough understanding of simpler systems that present the essential features of the actual reservoir fluids. For CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR and carbon storage applications, such systems comprise mixtures of CO2 with one or more hydrocarbon components. In order to construct reliable EOS models, it is necessary to have a substantial database of experimental information pertaining to phase behaviour and properties at reservoir conditions. Unfortunately, the available phase-behaviour, saturated-phase and compressed-fluid density data for the mixtures of interest are extremely limited at reservoir conditions [23,24].

In both petroleum and chemical engineering applications involving carbon dioxide and hydrocarbons, cubic equations of state (CEOS) are very widely deployed [25,26]. These are modifications of the van der Waals EOS and include such well-known models as the Peng-Robinson (PR) [27] and Soave-Redlich-Kwong (SRK) [28] CEOS. In connection with these, experimental data (especially vapor-liquid equilibrium data) for binary mixtures are used in the optimization of binary interaction parameters. Therefore, the performance of traditional CEOS models is limited in part by the accuracy and extent of the experimental data used in these optimizations. Several previous studies have explored the application of CEOS to mixtures of CO<sub>2</sub> with hydrocarbons. For example, Kariznovi have measured the bubble-curves and saturated liquid densities of ternary mixtures containing CO<sub>2</sub>, decane and either tetradecane of octadecane [29-32]. CEOS models investigated provided a good description of the bubble-curve data when the binary interaction parameters were regressed against the binary VLE data. However, they obtained a generally poor description of the saturated-liquid densities unless volume translation terms fitted to experimental mixture data were incorporated. Other studies show similar results [33,34] and it can be concluded that, while conventional CEOS models can offer a good representation of phase behaviour, they perform relatively poorly in the prediction of density and fail in the critical region. Volume translation can be used to improve the representation of liquid density in the subcritical region somewhat, typically by making a temperature-dependent adjustment to the molar volume chosen to fit the saturated liquid density [35]. Additionally, the crossover approach may be applied to improve the representation of the critical region for both pure fluids and binary mixtures [36-38]. In the context of hydrocarbon-CO<sub>2</sub> mixtures, Shen et al. [39] have studied the performance of the SRK equation with both a temperature-independent volume translation and a crossover model. This approach was found to represent the saturation properties of both CO<sub>2</sub> and light hydrocarbons very well. When compared with experimental data for mixtures of CO<sub>2</sub> with C<sub>2</sub> to C<sub>5</sub> alkanes, absolute average relative deviations (AARD) in bubble pressure of between 0.4% and 1.7% were found, while the AARDs for pressure in single-phase compressed-fluid regions were between 0.4% and 16%. Unfortunately, crossover models are not easily extended to multicomponent systems and volume translation does not correct the CEOS at conditions far from saturation.

Multi-Fluid Helmholtz Equation of State (MFHEOS) [40], notably the GERG-2008 EOS [41], exploit highly-accurate Helmholtz models for pure substances together with specially developed mixing rules and binary-specific departure functions for mixtures [42]. These models contain many parameters but can perform well when fully optimized by comparison with extensive experimental data. The component slate of Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6680301

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6680301

Daneshyari.com