

# Accepted Manuscript

Field measurement of natural ventilation rate in an idealised full-scale building located in a staggered urban array: Comparison between tracer gas and pressure-based methods

H.L. Gough, Z. Luo, C.H. Halios, M.-F. King, C.J. Noakes, C.S.B. Grimmond, J.F. Barlow, R. Hoxey, A.D. Quinn

PII: S0360-1323(18)30190-2

DOI: [10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.03.055](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.03.055)

Reference: BAE 5389

To appear in: *Building and Environment*

Received Date: 22 January 2018

Revised Date: 15 March 2018

Accepted Date: 28 March 2018

Please cite this article as: Gough HL, Luo Z, Halios CH, King M-F, Noakes CJ, Grimmond CSB, Barlow JF, Hoxey R, Quinn AD, Field measurement of natural ventilation rate in an idealised full-scale building located in a staggered urban array: Comparison between tracer gas and pressure-based methods, *Building and Environment* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.03.055.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1 -----  
2 Declaration: All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript being submitted. This  
3 article is the authors' original work, has not received prior publication and is not under consideration  
4 for publication elsewhere. There are no known conflicts of interest.

5 -----  
6 Title: Field measurement of natural ventilation rate in an idealised full-scale building located in a  
7 staggered urban array: comparison between tracer gas and pressure-based methods

8  
9 Authors: H.L. Gough<sup>a\*</sup>, Z. Luo<sup>b</sup>, C.H. Halios<sup>a</sup>, M-F. King<sup>c</sup>, C.J. Noakes<sup>c</sup>, C.S.B. Grimmond<sup>a</sup>, J.F. Barlow<sup>a</sup>, R.  
10 Hoxey<sup>d</sup>, A.D. Quinn<sup>d</sup>

11  
12 a Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, United Kingdom

13 b School of the Built Environment, University of Reading, United Kingdom

14 c School of Civil Engineering, University of Leeds, United Kingdom

15 d School of Civil Engineering, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom.

16  
17 Corresponding author address: h.gough@reading.ac.uk, Department of Meteorology, University of  
18 Reading, Earley Gate, PO Box 243, Reading, RG6 6BB, UK

19 Keywords: Natural ventilation, ventilation rate, tracer gas, full-scale, pressure, wind direction

20  
21 Highlights:

- 22 • Extensive comparison of measured full-scale ventilation rates using tracer gas and pressure-  
23 based methods.
- 24 • Tracer gas and pressure-based measured ventilation rates are not linearly related in realistic  
25 meteorological conditions, regardless of temperature effects.
- 26 • Better agreement occurs between the two ventilation measurement methods if non-  
27 obvious jet formed near the opening in the array case.

## 28 Abstract

29 Currently, no clear standards exist for determining urban building natural ventilation rates,  
30 especially under varying realistic meteorological conditions. In this study, ventilation rates are  
31 determined using tracer gas decay and pressure-based measurements for a full-scale (6 m tall) cube.  
32 The cube was either isolated (2 months of observations) or sheltered within a staggered array (7  
33 months), for both single-sided and cross ventilation (openings 0.4 x 1 m). Wind speeds at cube  
34 height ranged between 0.04 m s<sup>-1</sup> and 13.1 m s<sup>-1</sup>. Errors for both ventilation methods are carefully

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6697376>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6697376>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)