



# Effect of organic loading rate during anaerobic digestion of municipal solid waste



Hiya Dhar<sup>a,c</sup>, Pradeep Kumar<sup>b</sup>, Sunil Kumar<sup>a,\*</sup>, Somnath Mukherjee<sup>c</sup>, Atul N. Vaidya<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Solid and Hazardous Waste Management Division, CSIR-National Environmental Engineering Research Institute (CSIR-NEERI), Nehru Marg, Nagpur 440 020, Maharashtra, India

<sup>b</sup> CSIR-NEERI, Nagpur, Banaras Hindu University (BHU), Varanasi 221 005, India

<sup>c</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Jadavpur University, Kolkata 700 032, West Bengal, India

## HIGHLIGHTS

- Co-digestion of OFMSW with inoculum: an effective approach in methane production.
- COD removal affects methane production rate with respect to different pH.
- Maximum COD removal of 84.20% achieved with a CH<sub>4</sub> production of 9.22 L.
- Multiple regression analysis proved COD removal efficiency over CH<sub>4</sub> production.

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 4 October 2015

Received in revised form 1 December 2015

Accepted 9 December 2015

Available online 14 December 2015

### Keywords:

Organic loading rate

Co-digestion

OFMSW

Methane

Soluble COD

## ABSTRACT

The effect of chemical oxygen demand (COD) and volatile solids (VS) on subsequent methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) production during anaerobic digestion (AD) of organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW) was studied in a laboratory-scale digester. The experiment was performed in 2 L anaerobic digester under different experimental conditions using different input mass co-digested with inoculum and organic loading rate (OLR) for 27 days at 38 ± 2 °C. Three digesters (digesters 1, 2 and 3) were operated at initial loading of 5.1, 10.4 and 15.2 g/L COD<sub>5</sub> per batch which were reduced to 77.9% and 84.2%, respectively. Cumulative biogas productions were 9.3, 10.7 and 17.7 L in which CH<sub>4</sub> yields were 84.3, 101.0 and 168.4 mL/g VS removal in digesters 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The observed COD removal was found to be influenced on variation in CH<sub>4</sub> production. Co-efficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) was 0.67 and 0.74 in digesters 1 and 2, respectively.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Anaerobic digestion (AD) is an attractive waste treatment technique in which both organic stabilization and energy recovery are achieved. Many agricultural and industrial wastes are also ideal candidates for AD because they contain high levels of easily biodegradable materials. Low methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) yield and process instability are often encountered in AD process. A wide variety of inhibitory substances is the primary cause for failure of anaerobic digester since they are present in substantial concentrations (Chen et al., 2008).

Among various environmental conditions, pH is the most sensitive parameter. The pH of liquid effluent from the digesters indicates the stability of the system and its variation also depends on the buffering capacity of the system (Mata-Alvarez et al., 2000).

Volatile solids (VS) represents organic portion of the material solids that can be digested while the remainder of the solids is considered as fixed. The 'fixed' solids organic of the VS are non-biodegradable. The actual loading rate depends on the types of wastes fed into the digester (Mattocks, 1984). Food waste has low total solid (TS) and high content of soluble organic but it is much more easily degradable and has higher energy content per dry mass. Excess ammonia and volatile fatty acids (VFAs) accumulation are more with AD of high solid content food waste. Co-digestion of food waste with lignocellulosic biomass and/or two-phase operation was advocated to solve these problems (Mata-Alvarez et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2008, and Li et al., 2011).

Zhang et al. (2007) reported in their study that AD of food waste had average CH<sub>4</sub> yield of 435 mL/g VS after 28 days of digestion at 50 ± 2 °C. About 80% of CH<sub>4</sub> yield was obtained after the first 10 days of digestion giving a CH<sub>4</sub> yield of 348 mL/g VS.

Babae and Shayegan (2011) used a 70 L reactor with a loading rate of 1.4 kg VS/(m<sup>3</sup>/day) and reported stable performance of the

\* Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +91 712 2249752.

E-mail addresses: [s\\_kumar@neeri.res.in](mailto:s_kumar@neeri.res.in), [sunil\\_neeri@yahoo.co.in](mailto:sunil_neeri@yahoo.co.in) (S. Kumar).

digester with biogas yield (0.4 m<sup>3</sup> biogas/kg VS) and highest CH<sub>4</sub> yield (0.25 m<sup>3</sup> CH<sub>4</sub>/kg VS) and VS reduction of around 88%. As the organic loading rate (OLR) was increased, the VS degradation and biogas production was decreased.

Mata-Alvarez et al. (1992) used a loading rate of 1.6 kg VS/(m<sup>3</sup>/day) of fruit and vegetable waste in a reactor and reported highest biogas yield (0.47 m<sup>3</sup> biogas/kg VS) with VS reduction of around 88%.

Consistent CH<sub>4</sub> production, VFAs concentrations, pH and VS removal in different OLR of solid dairy manure indicated a stable and reproducible process with efficient performance in the range 6.0–8.0 g total chemical oxygen demand (COD<sub>T</sub>)/kg inoculum/day (Saady and Masse, 2015).

Cow-dung contains soluble, particulate, and fibrous components. It contains carbohydrates (cellulosic and hemicellulosic fiber), lipids, fats, and proteins. Approximately 40–50% of the VS in dairy manure are biodegradable lignocellulosic biomass which can be converted to CH<sub>4</sub> (Jha et al., 2012). Angeriz-Campoy et al. (2015) demonstrated that food waste has been an appropriate co-substrate for the enhancement of hydrogen production in dark fermentation. Zhang et al. (2013) reported that the co-digestion of food waste with other wastes in a single digester became increasingly popular with the advantage of adjusting the C/N ratio.

Co-fermentation of different substrates could be beneficial due to dilution of toxic chemicals, enhanced balance of nutrients, and synergistic effect of microorganisms (Razaviarani and Buchanan, 2014). However, Demirel and Scherer, 2008 reported that AD of single highly biodegradable organic substrate might result in process failure in the absence of any buffering agent for pH adjustment and proper external nutrients addition.

It is also reported that high value of F/M might be toxic and low value might prevent induction of the enzyme necessary for biodegradation. The optimum value of F/M for AD ranged from 0.57 to 0.68. Decrease in cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> per unit particulate COD (COD<sub>p</sub>) was also found to be minimum at F/M ratio of 0.57. The two variables which influence CH<sub>4</sub> generation were compounded to derive a single parameter COD<sub>p</sub>/volatile suspended solids (VSS) (Prashanth et al., 2006).

Many studies have been carried out on the effect of VS removal in CH<sub>4</sub> production during AD of MSW. But the information on the effect of COD reduction on subsequent CH<sub>4</sub> production is seldom scripted. Hence, the effect of COD and VS on anaerobic bio-transformation of organics into CH<sub>4</sub> was investigated in detail and the findings are described in this paper.

The relationship between soluble COD (COD<sub>S</sub>) reduction and CH<sub>4</sub> production was also examined by statistical approach.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Preparation of feedstock

Organic fraction of MSW (OFMSW) was used in the present experiment. Samples were collected from CSIR-NEERI colony. In laboratory, the waste sample was first crushed in a blender to reduce its particle size and then the sample was mixed thoroughly.

### 2.2. Experimental set-up

Batch experiments were carried out in a 2 L silicon septum anaerobic digester. In digester 1, OFMSW was taken as 200 g in 2000 mL water, and raw cow-dung slurry of about 50 g was incubated as active biomass. In digester 2, 100 g OFMSW was taken along with 1000 mL water and 1000 mL inoculum which was bought from a neighboring anaerobic digester plant. Digester 3

was used as control one in which raw OFMSW was taken as 200 g in 2000 mL of water.

After starting up, the digester was flushed with N<sub>2</sub> gas to ensure anaerobic condition in the headspace of the every digester. 30 mL sample was collected from both the digesters on every 3 days interval. All physico-chemical parameters with biogas volume and composition were analyzed. Biogas volume was measured by water displacement method. The volume of the displaced water is equivalent to the biogas produced in the digester. The experiment was conducted under room temperature (38 ± 2 °C). Inoculum obtained from an operating anaerobic digester was also added into the digesters.

### 2.3. Determination of physico-chemical properties of the sample

The pH, COD, TS, VS, VFA of the homogenized MSW mixture and the biogas volume and composition were estimated in order to analyze initial anaerobic conditions. Proximate analysis of MSW includes parameters, such as moisture content (MC), VS, fixed carbon and ash following standard methods (US EPA, 2009). The pH of the digesters was recorded from digital probes and the remaining parameters were analyzed following standard procedure. The MC, TS, VS was analyzed by gravimetric method. COD was analyzed by closed reflux method and VFA was analyzed by titration method following standard methods (APHA, 2005). CH<sub>4</sub> contents in the biogas were determined by Gas Chromatograph (Shimadzu GC2010) which was equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and CH<sub>4</sub> standards (75%, 50%).

### 2.4. Statistical analysis

Linear regression analysis was applied to establish the relationship between COD ( $y$ ) and the volume of CH<sub>4</sub> and pH ( $X_1$  and  $X_2$ ).

A data set of  $\{y_i, x_i, \dots, x_{ip}\}_{i=1}^n$   $n$  statistical units, a linear regression model assumed that the relationship between the COD and the  $p$ -vector of regressor *i.e.*, volume of CH<sub>4</sub> and pH are is multiple linear. This relationship was modeled through a disturbance term or error variable  $\varepsilon_i$ , an unobserved random variable that adds noise to the linear relationship between the dependent variable and regressors. Thus, the model represented in the form as given in Eq. (1).

$$y_i = \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip} + \varepsilon_i = X_i^T \beta + \varepsilon_i \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (1)$$

where  $T$  denotes the transpose, so that  $X_i^T \beta$  is the inner product between vectors  $x_i$  and  $\beta$ .

$n$  equations are stacked together and written in vector form as given in Eq. (2).

$$Y = X\beta + \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

The SS Regression is the variation explained by the regression line. The  $F$ -statistic is calculated using the ratio of the mean square regression (MS Regression) to the mean square residual (MS Residual). This can then be compared with the critical  $F$  value for 7 and 48 degrees of freedom (available from an  $F$ -table) to test the null hypothesis:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta_5 = \beta_6 = \beta_7 = 0$$

The  $p$ -value associated with the calculated  $F$ -statistic is probability beyond the calculated value. Comparing this value with 5%, for example, indicates rejection of the null hypothesis.

A statistical analysis was performed to support the relationship between CH<sub>4</sub> production and pH with COD<sub>S</sub> reduction in AD, where,  $n$  and  $\beta$  denoted as degree of freedom (24) and COD removal efficiency, respectively. All the statistical evaluation was carried out using Microsoft Excel 2007.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/679139>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/679139>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)