



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Discrete Applied Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dam

Refining the complexity of the sports elimination problem

Katarína Cechlárová^a, Eva Potpinková^a, Ildikó Schlotter^{b,*}^a Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University, Jesenná 5, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia^b Budapest University of Technology and Economics, H-1521 Budapest, Hungary

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 January 2014

Received in revised form 8 January 2015

Accepted 13 January 2015

Available online xxxx

Keywords:

Sports elimination problem

Graph labelling

Parameterized complexity

Multivariate complexity analysis

ABSTRACT

The sports elimination problem asks whether a team participating in a competition still has a chance to win, given the current standings and the remaining matches to be played among the teams. This problem can be viewed as a graph labelling problem, where arcs receive labels that contribute to the score of both endpoints of the arc, and the aim is to label the arcs in a way that each vertex obtains a score not exceeding its capacity. We investigate the complexity of this problem in detail, using a multivariate approach to examine how various parameters of the input graph (such as the maximum degree, the feedback vertex/edge number, and different width parameters) influence the computational tractability. We obtain several efficient algorithms, as well as certain hardness results.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation

Imagine we are in the middle of an ice-hockey¹ season. Each participating team has currently a certain score and still some matches to play. Can our favorite team t_0 become a winner of the season? More precisely, given the current scores and the set of remaining matches, is it possible that these matches end in such a way that our team will finish with the maximum score among all teams? If the answer is no, our team is said to be *eliminated*. This is a question that occupies not only players, coaches and managers of teams, but also many sports fans. It has also attracted quite a lot of attention from mathematicians and computer scientists. Papers [1,22] use integer linear programming to solve this problem, but we shall concentrate more on combinatorial approaches, see [4,10–12,15,16,20,23,24].

1.2. Formulation of the problem

Let us suppose that the rules of the game define the set of outcomes of a match as

$$S = \{(\alpha_0, \beta_0), (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_k, \beta_k)\}.$$

This formalism corresponds to situations where each match has a 'home' team and an 'away' team, and it can end in any of the $k + 1$ ways with the home team getting α_i points and the away team β_i points. For example, $S = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$ for baseball, as this game does not allow draws, and a winning team gets 1 point. Basketball, where the winning team gets 2 points, and both teams in a match that ends in a draw are awarded 1 point, has $S = \{(0, 2), (1, 1), (2, 0)\}$. European football differs from

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: katarina.cechlarova@upjs.sk (K. Cechlárová), eva.potpinkova@student.upjs.sk (E. Potpinková), ildi@cs.bme.hu (I. Schlotter).¹ The reader may substitute any game he or she likes.

basketball in that the winner gets 3 points, so $S = \{(0, 3), (1, 1), (3, 0)\}$ for European football. Examples of other games are given by Kern and Paulusma [16].

A polynomial-time reduction² provided also by the same authors [16] showed that we can restrict ourselves to the case where

$$\alpha_0 = 0, \quad \alpha_1 = 1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_k \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_0 > \beta_1 > \dots > \beta_{k-1} \geq 1, \quad \beta_k = 0. \quad (1)$$

The set of outcomes fulfilling (1) is called *normalized*. Throughout the paper we will assume S to be normalized.

An instance of the GENERALIZED SPORTS ELIMINATION problem with the set S of outcomes ($\text{GSE}(S)$ for short) can be described by a triple $(\mathcal{T}, w, \mathcal{M})$. We let $\mathcal{T} = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ represent the set of teams participating in the competition. The function $w : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defines current scores and $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ the number of remaining matches between teams of \mathcal{T} .

By a (t, t') -match for some $t, t' \in \mathcal{T}$ we mean a match played between t and t' such that t is the home team and t' is the away team. The question is whether it is possible that all the remaining matches end in such a way that team t_0 will have the maximum score among all teams. More precisely, given the set of outcomes S , the problem $\text{GSE}(S)$ is defined as follows.

Generalized Sports Elimination for S :

Instance: A triple $(\mathcal{T}, w, \mathcal{M})$ as described above.

Question: Can a final score vector $s : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be reached such that $s(t_0) \geq s(t_i)$ for each $t_i \in \mathcal{T}$?

If the answer is yes, we say that team t_0 is *not eliminated*, otherwise t_0 is *eliminated*. Observe that we can suppose that our team t_0 has already played all its matches, and in each one it obtained the maximum possible score, so its final standing is $w(t_0)$ points. (If in reality this is not the case, we can modify the values of w accordingly.)

An instance $(\mathcal{T}, w, \mathcal{M})$ of $\text{GSE}(S)$ can quite naturally be represented by a directed multigraph $G = (V, A)$ with vertex capacities $c : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The vertex set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of G corresponds to the teams $\mathcal{T} \setminus \{t_0\}$, and arcs $(v_i, v_j) \in A$ correspond to the remaining matches between teams t_i and t_j . More precisely, the multiplicity of an arc (v_i, v_j) equals the number of remaining (t_i, t_j) -matches. The capacity of a vertex $v_i \in V$ is equal to $c(v_i) = w(t_0) - w(t_i)$, and it represents the number of points that team t_i can still win so as not to overcome team t_0 . It is easy to see that $\text{GSE}(S)$ is equivalent to the following problem that we call ARC LABELLING WITH CAPACITIES FOR S , or $\text{ALC}(S)$ for short.

Arc Labelling with Capacities for $S = \{(\alpha_0, \beta_0), \dots, (\alpha_k, \beta_k)\}$:

Instance: A pair (G, c) where $G = (V, A)$ is a directed multigraph and $c : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a vertex capacity function.

Question: Does there exist an assignment $p : A \rightarrow \{0, \dots, k\}$ such that

$$\text{scr}_p(v) := \sum_{a=(v,u) \in A} \alpha_{p(a)} + \sum_{a=(u,v) \in A} \beta_{p(a)} \leq c(v) \quad (2)$$

holds for each vertex $v \in V$?

We say that $p : A \rightarrow \{0, \dots, k\}$ is a *score assignment* for G . If $p(a) = q$ for some arc $a = (u, v) \in A$, then we also say that p assigns the outcome (α_q, β_q) to the arc a , and that u and v gain α_q and β_q (resulting) from the arc a , respectively. To keep the notation simple, instead of $p((u, v))$ we shall simply write $p(uv)$. The *score* of some vertex v in p , denoted by $\text{scr}_p(v)$, is defined by the left-hand side of Inequality (2); clearly, $\text{scr}_p(v)$ equals the total points that v gains when all remaining matches yield the outcome as determined by p . We say that a score assignment p for G is *valid* with respect to a capacity function c , if $\text{scr}_p(v) \leq c(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V$. Thus, the task in the $\text{ALC}(S)$ problem is to decide whether a valid score assignment exists.

Problem $\text{ALC}(S)$ restricted to instances with graphs G having maximum degree at most Δ will be denoted by $\Delta\text{-ALC}(S)$.

As the reader can see from the definitions of the problems $\text{GSE}(S)$ and $\text{ALC}(S)$, we take the view that the game (in fact, the set of outcomes S) is fixed, and a different S defines another variant of the elimination problem or of the corresponding graph labelling problem. As a consequence of this assumption, the size of the set S is a constant. However, to guarantee a greater insight into the complexity of the algorithms proposed, we sometimes state running times with their dependence on k made explicit; in all cases where the dependence on k is not explicit, we assume k to be a fixed constant.

1.3. Previous work

If the rules of the game are such that the winner of a match gets 1 point, the loser gets 0 points and there are no draws (like in baseball), that is, $S = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$, then the elimination problem can easily be solved by employing network flow theory. Schwartz [23] was the first one to propose such a method; his network has $O(n^2)$ vertices, where n is the number of teams. Another construction with a network containing only $O(n)$ vertices was proposed by Gusfield and Martel [10].

However, it soon turned out that some score allocation rules make the elimination problem intractable. Bernholt et al. [4] proved that $\text{GSE}(S)$ is NP-complete for the European football system where $S = \{(0, 3), (1, 1), (3, 0)\}$. They also mentioned that this result could be generalized to the rules that award α points to the winner and β points to both teams of a match ending in a draw, if $\alpha > 2\beta$. Kern and Paulusma [15,16] extended this result by classifying all score allocation rules S into

² The reduction does not change the directed graph underlying the instance (formally defined later on), except for possibly reversing all its arcs.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6871983>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6871983>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)