Vol. 41, No. 10 October, 2015



Robust Approximations to Joint Chance-constrained Problems

LI Guo-Xiang² DING Ran¹ LI Qi-Qiang¹

Abstract Two new approximate formulations to joint chance-constrained optimization problems are proposed in this paper. The relationships of CVaR (conditional-value-at-risk), chance constrains and robust optimization are reviewed. Firstly, two new upper bounds on $E((\cdot)^+)$ are proposed, where E stands for the expectation and $x^+ = \max(0, x)$, based on which two approximate formulations for individual chance-constrained problems are derived. The approximations are proved to be the robust optimization with the corresponding uncertain sets. Then the approximations are extrapolated to joint chance-constrained problem. Finally numerical studies are performed to compare the solutions of individual and joint chance constraints approximations and the results demonstrate the validity of our method.

Key words Joint chance constraints, robust optimization, uncertain set, approximation Citation Ding Ran, Li Guo-Xiang, Li Qi-Qiang. Robust approximations to joint chance-constrained problems, 2015, 41(10): 1772 - 1777

Data uncertainty is very common in real-world optimization problems. For convenience, we usually use the "nominal value" in the model to search the optimal solution. However, ignoring the data uncertainty may lead to the obtained solution to be suboptimal or even infeasible for practical applications.

There are many methods to deal with optimization problems with uncertain data. Chance-constrained optimization seems to be the most natural one to restrict the violation probability, which was introduced by Charnes et al.^[1]. Usually, the uncertain parameters in the optimization model are assumed to be independent, and we deal with the uncertain constraints separately. Calasfoire and Ghaoui^[2] demonstrated that the individual chanceconstrained problem is a second order cone constraint problem which is computationally tractable if the uncertain parameters are of radial distributions. But for most of the other distributions, chance-constrained problems are computationally intractable. If uncertain data are related and then constraints cannot be treated individually, the optimization problems become more difficult to handle with. In fact, Prekopa proved that, with only right hand side disturbances, a joint chance-constrained problem is convex only when the distributions are log-concave^[3]. Difficulties in acquiring the distribution information and computation spurred researchers to find other effective methods.

Robust optimization is another important way to deal with the uncertain optimization problems. In this method, the uncertain data is defined as a deterministic data set, and the goal is to search the optimal solution which remains feasible for all values in the data set. Usually the data set is called uncertain space or uncertain set. One of the earliest endeavors in robust optimization was Soyster's work in 1973^[4]. Soyster proposed a worst-cases model that ensured feasibility of its solution for all realization of the uncertain data. There is no doubt that the solution is safe but over conservative. Then in robust optimization, "safety" becomes "relative", and the purpose is to obtain the trade-off between robustness and performance.

Ben-Tal and Nemirovski proposed ellipsoidal-set based

robust optimization formulation, and then showed that it could be turned to a conic quadratic $\operatorname{problem}^{[5-6]}$. Bertsimas and Sim considered robust linear programming with coefficient uncertainty using an uncertainty set with budgets which could be used to control the conservative degree^[7], and the uncertain set was alternatively described by an arbitrary norm^[8]. Li et al. discussed different uncertain sets and their geometric relationship, derived the corresponding robust formulations^[9], and then analyzed the probabilistic guarantees on constraint satisfaction^[10].

CVaR (Conditional-value-at-risk), introduced by Ben-Tal and Teboulle, is a special class of optimized certainty equivalent risk measures^[11]. And it is also known that CVaR is the tightest convex approximation to the individual chance constraint. But the difficulty lies in the evaluating of the expectation $E((\cdot)^+)$, where E stands for the expectation and $x^+ = \max(0, x)$. Chen and Sim et al. provided several bounds on $E((\cdot)^+)^{[12]}$, and showed different approximations to individual chance-constrained problems used in robust optimization are the consequences of applying different bounds on $E((\cdot)^+)^{[13]}$. The recent applications of robust optimization and the approximation to chance-constrained problems are reviewed in [14-15].

It is showed that robust optimization in approximating individual chance-constrained has been paid an extensive attention on. However, for joint chance-constrained problems, there are only a few efforts that have been made. A direct way to deal with joint chance-constrained problem is to decompose it into an individual chance-constrained problem, and Bonferroni's inequality can be used as a sufficient condition, but in many cases the results are even more conservative. Chen et al.^[13] proposed a novel smart formulation for approximating joint chance-constrained problems that improved the standard approach using Bonferroni's inequality. In their method, a very important step is to calculate the tightest bound on $E((\cdot)^+)$, which needs to deal with several intractable parameters such as forward and backward deviations. But sometimes, we can only obtain limited information about the uncertain data such as bounds, etc. In this paper, we propose two new upper bounds on $E((\cdot)^+)$ which only need the bounds of the uncertain data, and then give two new approximations for joint chance constraints.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we give the problem statement, review the relation-

Manuscript received October 10, 2013; accepted December 31, 2014 Supported by Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation, China (ZR2014FM036) Recommended by Associate Editor ZHAO Qian-Chuan 1. School of Control Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan 250061, China 2. School of Energy and Power Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan 250061, China

Shandong University, Jinan 250061, China

ship among $E((\cdot)^+)$, CVaR, and individual chance constraints, then introduce Chen's approximate approach to joint chance constraints. In Section 2, we propose two new bounds of $E((\cdot)^+)$, derive two new approximating formulations for joint chance constraints, and analyze the relationship between the violation degree and the controlling parameters of the uncertain sets in robust optimization. In Section 3, a numerical example is presented. In Section 4, conclusions are presented.

Approximation of chance constraints 1

In this paper, we denote random variables with tilde sign, such as \tilde{z} . Boldface lower-case letters represent vectors such as \boldsymbol{x} , and boldface upper-case letters represent matrices such as **A**. We denote $x^+ = \max(x, 0)$, and use $E(\cdot)$ to represent expectation.

Individual and joint chance constraints 1.1

Consider the following linear programming (LP) optimization problem:

$$\max \quad \boldsymbol{cx} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{j} \widetilde{a}_{ij} x_j \leq \widetilde{b}_j, \quad \forall i$$
 (1)

where \tilde{a}_{ij} and \tilde{b}_j represent the true value of the parameters which are subjected to uncertainty. If the uncertain parameters are bounded, the perturbation ranges can be expressed as

$$\begin{split} \hat{\tilde{a}}_{ij} &\in [a_{ij}^0 - \hat{a}_{ij}, a_{ij}^0 + \hat{a}_{ij}], \tilde{b}_j \in [b_j^0 - \hat{b}_j, b_j^0 + \hat{b}_j], \\ &i \in 1, 2, \cdots, N, j \in 1, 2, \cdots, J \\ \text{where } a_{ij}^0 \text{ and } b_j^0 \text{ represent the nominal value of the param-} \end{split}$$

eters, \hat{a}_{ij} and \hat{b}_j represent constant perturbations (which are positive).

Assume the coefficients \tilde{a}_{ij} and \tilde{b}_j are linear dependent, and can be expressed as

$$\widetilde{a}_{ij} = a_{ij}^0 + \sum_{k=1}^K a_{ij}^k \widetilde{z}_k$$
$$\widetilde{b}_j = b_j^0 + \sum_{k=1}^K b_j^k \widetilde{z}_k$$
$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{z}} = (\widetilde{z}_1, \widetilde{z}_2, \cdots, \widetilde{z}_K)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathcal{W}$$

where \tilde{z} is an independent random vector. Suppose set \mathcal{W} is a second-order conic representable set proposed by Ben-Tal and Nemirovski^[5], which includes box, polyhedral and ellipsoidal sets. We describe the box set as

$$\mathcal{W} = \{ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{z}} : -\boldsymbol{z} \leq \widetilde{\boldsymbol{z}} \leq \boldsymbol{z} \}$$

By reformulating equation (1), we have

$$(\sum_{j} a_{ij}^{0} x_{j} - b_{j}^{0}) + (\sum_{k} \sum_{j} a_{ij}^{k} x_{j} \tilde{z}_{k} - \sum_{k} b_{j}^{k} \tilde{z}_{k}) \leq 0$$
$$(\underbrace{\sum_{j} a_{ij}^{0} x_{j} - b_{j}^{0}}_{-}) + \sum_{k} \underbrace{(\sum_{j} a_{ij}^{k} x_{j} - b_{j}^{k})}_{-} \tilde{z}_{k} \leq 0$$

Let $y_i^0 = \sum_j a_{ij}^0 x_j - b_j^0$ and $y_i^k = \sum_j a_{ij}^k x_j - b_j^k$. Then

$$y_i^0 + \sum_K y_i^k \tilde{z}_k \le 0$$

which can be expressed as

$$y_i^0 + \boldsymbol{y}_i^{\mathrm{T}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{z}}_k \leq 0, \ \ \boldsymbol{y}_i^{\mathrm{T}} = \{y_i^1, y_i^2, \cdots, y_i^{K}\}$$

The individual chance constraints can be represented as

$$P(y_i^0 + \boldsymbol{y}_i^{\mathrm{T}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{z}}_k \le 0) \ge 1 - \varepsilon_i$$
(2)

Then the original optimization problem with uncertain parameters (1) can be represented as follows:

max
$$\boldsymbol{cx}$$

s.t. $P(y_i^0 + \boldsymbol{y}_i^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\tilde{z}}_k \leq 0) \geq 1 - \varepsilon_i, \quad \forall i$

And the joint chance constraint is defined as

$$P(y_i^0 + \boldsymbol{y}_i^T \widetilde{\boldsymbol{z}}_k \le 0, i \in M) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$$
(3)

Equation (3) requires all the linear constraints to be joint feasible with the probability of at least $1-\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ is a desired safety factor. Then the original optimization problem with uncertain parameters (1) can be represented as follows:

s.t.
$$P(y_i^0 + \boldsymbol{y}_i^T \widetilde{\boldsymbol{z}}_k \le 0, i \in M) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$$

Approximation from CVaR measure 1.2

From the work of [11] and [12], CVaR function of $y_0 + \boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{\tilde{z}}$ can be defined as

$$\rho_{1-\varepsilon}(y_0 + \boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{z}}) := \min_{\beta} \{\beta + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathrm{E}(y_0 + \boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{z}} - \beta)^+\}$$

and the upper bound of the CVaR function can be used as an approximation of the individual chance constraints.

Chen et al.^[13] defined the upper bound of $E((y_0 + \boldsymbol{y}^T \widetilde{\boldsymbol{z}})^+)$ as $\pi(y_0, \boldsymbol{y})$, and defined

$$\eta_{1-\varepsilon}(y_0, \boldsymbol{y}) := \min_{\beta} \{ \beta + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \pi(y_0 - \beta, \boldsymbol{y}) \}$$

then

$$\rho_{1-\varepsilon}(y_0 + \boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{z}}) = \\ \min_{\beta} \{\beta + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathrm{E}(y_0 + \boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{z}} - \beta)^+\} \leq \\ \eta_{1-\varepsilon}(y_0, \boldsymbol{y})$$

So a sufficient condition for satisfing the individual chance constraint (2) is

$$\eta_{1-\varepsilon}(y_0, \boldsymbol{y}_i) \le 0$$

Then the approximation to individual chance constraint can be presented as follows:

$$\eta_{1-\varepsilon}(y_0 + \boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}) = \\ \min_{\beta} \{\beta + \frac{1}{\pi} (y_0 - \beta, \boldsymbol{y}_i)\} \le 0$$
(4)

Chen et al. also gave the approximation to joint chance constraints (3) represented as follows:

/- -

$$\gamma_{1-\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{Y}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, M) := \min_{w_0, \boldsymbol{w}} \{ \min_{\beta} [\beta + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \pi(w_0 - \beta, \boldsymbol{w})] + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\sum_{i \in M} \pi(\alpha_i y_i^0 - w_0, \alpha_i \boldsymbol{y}_i - \boldsymbol{w})) \} \le 0$$
(5)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/694290

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/694290

Daneshyari.com