ARTICLE IN PRESS

ISR-01488; No of Pages 21

Journal of Safety Research xxx (2018) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Safety Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jsr



Occupational interventions for the prevention of back pain: Overview of systematic reviews

os o4 Daniel Sowah, a Robert Boyko, a David Antle, b Linda Miller, b Michael Zakhary, a Sebastian Straube a,*

- ^a Division of Preventive Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
- ^b EWI Works International Inc., Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

- Received 27 June 2017
- 10 Received in revised form 12 February 2018
- 11 Accepted 8 May 2018
- 12 Available online xxxx

18

- 39 Keywords:
- 40 Back pain
- 41 Prevention
- 42 Workplace setting
- 44 Education

ABSTRACT

Introduction: We conducted an overview of systematic reviews of interventions for the prevention of low back 18 pain (LBP) that can be conducted in a workplace setting. Methods: An electronic literature search was performed 19 in Medline, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Library. Published peer-reviewed systematic reviews and meta-analyses, 20 which described interventions for the primary or secondary prevention of LBP applicable to a workplace setting, 21 were eligible for inclusion. The methodological quality of the included systematic reviews was assessed with 22 the AMSTAR tool. The primary outcome of interest was the incidence of LBP; secondary outcomes were 23 LBP-associated absenteeism, activity interference, and costs related to LBP. Results: Twenty-eight eligible articles 24 published between 1994 and 2016 were included in a qualitative synthesis following our screening of abstracts 25 and full-text articles. The AMSTAR rating revealed 14 reviews of high, 10 of moderate, and 4 of low methodolog- 26 ical quality. The identified interventions included workplace modifications (6 reviews, 10 studies, 6,751 subjects); 27 shoe insoles (4 reviews, 6 studies, 2,356 subjects); and lumbar supports and other assistive devices (15 reviews, 28 18 studies, 60,678 subjects). Educational interventions investigated were back schools (10 reviews, 30 studies, 29 9,973 subjects); manual material handling techniques/advice (6 reviews, 24 studies, 10,505 subjects); 30 and other forms of instruction including pamphlets, booklets, and other media (four reviews, 14 studies, 31 11,991 subjects). Exercise interventions, investigated in 12 reviews (35 studies, 19,330 subjects), showed 32 moderate quality evidence of effectiveness for exercise interventions alone or in conjunction with educational 33 interventions; no other type of intervention was consistently effective in the prevention of LBP or LBP- 34 associated outcomes of interest. Conclusions: Our overview provides evidence of effectiveness for exercise with 35 or without educational interventions in the prevention of LBP. Practical applications: Exercise interventions 36 with or without educational interventions that can be applied in the workplace have the potential to prevent LBP. 37 © 2018 National Safety Council and Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. 38

46

49

50

51 52

53

54 55

56

57

58

1. Introduction

Non-specific low back pain (LBP) is a major public health concern, with a significant impact on productivity, work ability, and quality of life (Fan, 2016). The lifetime prevalence of non-specific LBP is 60–70% in industrialized countries with an annual adult incidence of 5% (Duthey, 2013). Work-related LBP was estimated to account for 37% of LBP globally, with a two-fold variation across different geographical regions (Punnett, 2005).

Non-specific LBP is the most important cause for the limitation of individual activities with subsequent work absenteeism globally, imposing a significant financial burden on healthcare systems and economies (Duthey, 2013). It has also been reported that 35% of all disability 60 adjusted life years (DALYs) worldwide were linked to different occupational factors, and about 21.8 million DALYs globally were attributed to 62 work-related LBP in 2010 (Driscoll, Jacklyn, Orchard, et al., 2014).

Low back pain is associated with a considerable socioeconomic 64 burden. For instance, LBP was reported to be the most common cause 65 of disability in young adults in the United Kingdom in a study carried 66 out in 1996, causing more than 100 million workdays lost annually 67 (Croft, Rigby, Boswell, Schollum, & Silman, 1993; Duthey, 2013). A 68 survey in 1996 showed that the population of the United Kingdom 69 was estimated at 58.2 million (Jefferies, 2005). At an estimated population 70 size of 244.5 million (Population Estimates Program, Population Division, 71 U.S. Census Bureau, n.d.), a 1988 study conducted in the United States 72 demonstrated that LBP was responsible for about 149 million workdays 73 lost per year (Guo, Tanaka, Halperin, & Cameron, 1999), with overall 74 annual costs ranging from 100 to 200 billion US dollars (Katz, 2006; 75 Rubin, 2007).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.05.007

0022-4375/© 2018 National Safety Council and Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: Sowah, D., et al., Occupational interventions for the prevention of back pain: Overview of systematic reviews, *Journal of Safety Research* (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.05.007

^{*} Corresponding author at: Division of Preventive Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Alberta, 5-30 University Terrace, 8303-112 Street, Edmonton, AB T6G 2T4, Canada

E-mail addresses: dsowah@ualberta.ca (D. Sowah), robert.boyko@ualberta.ca (R. Boyko), dantle@ualberta.ca (D. Antle), lmiller@ewiworks.com (L. Miller), zakhary@ualberta.ca (M. Zakhary), straube@ualberta.ca (S. Straube).

77

78

79 80

81 82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89 90

91

92

93 94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103 104

105 106

107

108

109

110

111

112 113

114

115

116

117 118

119 120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127 128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138 139

Several factors including anthropometric characteristics, the nature and severity of physical work, working postures, and methods of manual lifting/handling have been linked to the development of LBP. In addition, other aspects such as lifestyle conditions and psychological factors (Duthey, 2013) may also be considered as independent risk factors for the development of LBP. Due to the multifaceted etiological nature of LBP, it can be a challenge to diagnose and treat (Duthey, 2013). Understanding the mechanism of LBP development may enable advancement of interventions to either prevent or treat this condition.

As non-specific LBP has a high global prevalence resulting in considerable health and socioeconomic consequences, together with the fact that currently available treatment options are not always satisfactory, preventive efforts therefore merit special attention (Luhmann, 2006). Interventions aimed at the prevention of LBP are desirable for the workplace setting - in principle, as prevention of pain is generally preferable to its treatment - and also because of the specific difficulty in treating already established LBP and the adverse effects of some analgesics that may impact alertness or cognition, and therefore safety at work. Many interventions such as education (e.g., back schools), exercise, lumbar supports (e.g., back belts), lifting techniques, insoles/foot orthoses, chair backrests and dynamic sitting, training of employees, and organizational interventions (Luhmann, 2006) have been suggested to prevent work-related LBP. Moreover, a number of systematic reviews have been carried out to evaluate the effectiveness of these interventions, either individually or in combination. Nevertheless, these systematic reviews may come to no definitive conclusion and sometimes offer contradictory conclusions on the same or similar interventions.

Therefore, we have conducted an overview of systematic reviews evaluating the effectiveness of various interventions carried out in the workplace or which could be carried out in such a setting to prevent work-related LBP. Based on the PRISMA statement (Liberati, Altman, Tetzlaff, et al., 2009) and the Cochrane Collaboration (Green et al., 2011) definitions, a systematic review seeks to address a clearly preformulated question that employs systematic and explicit methods to collate relevant research, and to collect and analyze data from the studies that satisfy a pre-defined set of eligibility criteria. A systematic review may or may not include meta-analysis, which refers to the use of statistical techniques to combine the results of included studies. The summaries and conclusions of systematic reviews are intended to inform, for example, on the effectiveness or lack thereof of an intervention. An overview of systematic reviews, as employed in the present study, seeks to compile and summarize data from various systematic reviews regarding an intervention. For the purposes of this study, the term workplace interventions may include changes made at the workplace to modify body function (physical or mental), activity, participation, environmental factors (physical, social or attitudinal), personal factors, or a combination of these. This is in keeping with previous work that defined intervention approaches based on the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF, under the WHO, 2001) (Aas, Tuntland, Holte, et al., 2011).

The present overview of systematic reviews, thus, aims to assess the evidence for the various workplace interventions employed to prevent the development of back pain, as reviewed in the published medical literature and aims to provide recommendations for occupational health practice.

2. Methods

2.1. Searching

An electronic literature search was conducted in Medline (Ovid), EMBASE (Ovid), and the Cochrane Library. The last search date was April 20th 2017. The search strategies and study selection are outlined in the Appendix. We considered reviews published in English or German.

2.2. Inclusion criteria

To be included in the analysis, articles had to be published peer- 141 reviewed systematic reviews or meta-analyses (i.e., numerical evidence 142 syntheses). Additionally, the reviews had to describe interventions, 143 which pertained to primary or secondary prevention of LBP and were 144 performed in, or applicable to, an occupational setting (i.e., the work-145 place). Articles in the gray literature were excluded. Also considered 146 ineligible were pharmacological interventions to treat LBP. Guidelines 147 on low back pain prevention per se were excluded, however guidelines 148 based on systematic reviews were screened further to assess if the systematic reviews satisfied our inclusion criteria. Two reviewers (DS, RB) independently selected articles in accordance with the above-mentioned 151 criteria that had been determined a priori. Discrepancies were resolved 152 with the help of another reviewer (SS).

153

154

184

2.3. Quality assessment of the systematic reviews and data extraction

The methodological quality of included reviews was evaluated using 155 the Assessment of Methodological Quality of Systematic Reviews 156 (AMSTAR) guideline (Shea, Hamel, Wells, et al., 2009), which consisted 157 of 11 criteria, each given a rating of 'yes' (1), 'no' (0), 'can't answer' 158 (0) or 'not applicable' (0). A review was considered high quality when 159 the total score was 8–11; a total score of 4–7 was considered moderate 160 quality, while a score of 0-3 was low quality. Data on the authors, date 161 of publication, number of studies and subjects, subjects' baseline char- 162 acteristics, preventive modality, as well as the reviewer authors' main 163 results and conclusions were extracted from the included reviews. 164 Data extracted by one reviewer (DS) were independently verified by a 165 second reviewer (MZ). A third reviewer (SS) arbitrated discrepancies.

2.4. Data synthesis 167

Due to the heterogeneity in the study subjects' baseline characteris- 168 tics, types of intervention, and outcome measures, quantitative meta- 169 analysis was not deemed suitable. Therefore, a descriptive, qualitative 170 knowledge synthesis was conducted. Reviews were categorized based 171 on intervention type, outcome measure, and baseline characteristics. 172 Additionally, to facilitate data synthesis, interventions were considered 173 as primary or secondary prevention in accordance with subjects' base- 174 line characteristics and outcome measures reported. Interventions 175 aimed at subjects with no history of back pain were categorized as pri- 176 mary prevention; when subjects had previous back pain, but did not 177 demonstrate current back pain at study baseline, interventions were 178 considered secondary prevention. We assigned to a third category of 179 mixed primary and secondary prevention those reviews where review 180 authors did not explicitly describe subjects' baseline characteristics 181 in that regard. Furthermore, when a review described interventions 182 for both LBP prevention and treatment, we only extracted data related 183 to prevention.

3. Results 185

The electronic searches yielded 925 hits and 13 additional records 186 were obtained from the references lists of the included reviews; 838 re- 187 cords were screened after deduplication. Following abstract screening, 188 66 full-text review articles were selected for further evaluation; examining these full-text articles resulted in the exclusion of 38 articles, 190 thus leaving 28 systematic reviews that were included in our overview 191 (Fig. 1). The publication dates of the included reviews ranged from 1994 192

All review articles were systematic reviews with or without meta- 194 analyses with 27 articles in English and one in German. The main 195 reasons for exclusion were that articles were narrative reviews, not 196 systematic reviews, that interventions were therapeutic rather than 197 preventive or that some of the articles were previous versions of 198

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6973574

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6973574

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>