

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





IFAC-PapersOnLine 48-18 (2015) 157-162

# Design of Consensus Controllers for Multi-rate Sampled-data Strict-Feedback Multi-agent Systems

Hitoshi Katayama\*

\* Shizuoka University, Hamamatsu, 432-8561 Japan (e-mail: thkatay@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp).

**Abstract:** Design of state feedback consensus controllers is considered for multi-rate sampleddata strict-feedback multi-agent systems. First state feedback consensus controllers are designed for continuous-time strict-feedback multi-agent systems. Then the Euler model is used to estimate the states at input sampling times between successive measurement sampling times. It is shown that emulation controllers of designed continuous-time state feedback controllers with estimated states achieve consensus for multi-rate sampled-data strict-feedback multi-agent systems. The proposed design method is also applied to consensus control of multi-rate sampleddata fully-actuated ships.

© 2015, IFAC (International Federation of Automatic Control) Hosting by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Consensus control, Sampled-data systems, Strict-feedback multi-agent systems, Ship control, Nonlinear multi-rate sampled-data stabilization.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consensus and formation control has been widely studied from linear multi-agent systems to nonlinear multi-agent systems, due to a large variety of applications (Arcak (2007), Casbeer et al. (2008), Moreau (2005), Muntz et al. (2011), Olfati-Saber et al. (2007), Ren and Beard (2008), Wang and Slotine (2006)). Due to a rapid development of computer technologies, modern control systems usually use digital computers as discrete-time controllers with samplers and zero-order holds to control continuous-time plants. Such a control system is called a sampled-data system. For linear single-rate sampled-data multi-agent systems, consensus control has been naturally extended (Cao and Ren (2010), Hayakawa et al. (2006), Liu et al. (2010), Qin et al. (2011), Yu et al. (2011)). Here a singlerate means that sampling periods of the input and the measurement channels are equal. Recently, for nonlinear single-rate sampled-data input-affine strict-feedback multi-agent systems that naturally appear in the consensus and formation control of vehicles such as automobiles and ships, a design of output feedback consensus controllers has been discussed (Katayama (2014)). Due to hardware restrictions, the input sampling period and the measurement sampling period are usually different and such a sampled-data system is called a multi-rate sampled-data system (Chen and Qiu (1994), Liu et al. (2008), Polushin and Marquez (2004)). In this paper we consider the design of state feedback controllers that achieve consensus for multi-rate sampled-data strict-feedback multi-agent systems.

Consider nonlinear input-affine strict-feedback multi-agent systems

$$\dot{x}_i = \Phi(x_i) + \Gamma(x_i)z_i$$

$$\dot{z}_i = f_i(x_i, z_i) + g_i(x_i, z_i)u_i, \ i \in \mathbf{n} := \{1, .., n\}$$
(1)

where n is a number of agents,  $x_i, z_i \in \mathbf{R}^m$  and  $u_i \in \mathbf{R}^m$ are the states and the control input of the *i*th agent. In consensus and formation control problems of vehicles,  $x_i$ and  $z_i$  usually express the position and the velocity of the *i*th agent, respectively and functions  $\Phi$ ,  $\Gamma$  are same for all vehicles. For the multi-agent systems (1), we assume

A1:  $\Phi$ ,  $\Gamma$ ,  $f_i$ ,  $g_i$  are smooth functions over the compact domain of interest,  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $f_i(0,0) = 0$ .

**A2**: The  $m \times m$  matrices  $\Gamma$  and  $g_i$  are nonsingular and their inverses are smooth over the compact of interest.

Let T > 0 be an input sampling period and assume that  $u_i, i \in \mathbf{n}$  are realized through a zero-order hold, i.e.,

$$u_i(t) = u_i(kT) =: u_i(k), \ i \in \mathbf{n}$$
(2)

for any  $t \in [kT, (k+1)T)$  and  $k \in \mathbf{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ . Let  $T_m$  be a fixed measurement sampling period that satisfies  $T_m = qT$  for some integer q and assume that the sampled observations

$$y_i(j) = x_i(jT_m) = x_i(jqT), \ i \in \mathbf{n}$$
(3)

are available for control. Then we consider the design of state feedback controllers that achieve consensus for the multi-agent systems (1)-(3) by choosing a sufficiently small input sampling period.

First we design continuous-time state feedback controllers that achieve consensus for the multi-agent systems (1). Then we use the sampled observations (3) and the Euler models of the multi-agent systems (1) and (2) to estimate the states at input sampling times between successive measurement sampling times. We combine an emulation of the designed continuous-time state feedback controllers and the estimated states to construct multi-rate sampleddata controllers. Finally we apply the nonlinear multi-rate sampled-data stabilization theory summarized in Section

2405-8963 © 2015, IFAC (International Federation of Automatic Control) Hosting by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. Peer review under responsibility of International Federation of Automatic Control. 10.1016/j.ifacol.2015.11.029 2 to consensus problems to show that the obtained controllers achieve consensus for the multi-agent systems (1)-(3). We also apply the designed method to consensus control of multi-rate sampled-data fully-actuated ships. We give a comparison to a single-rate sampled-data consensus controllers to show the efficiency of the proposed design method.

Notation: Let  $\mathbf{C}^- = \{\lambda = \alpha + i\beta | \alpha < 0\}$  and  $\mathbf{1}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a norm of vectors and matrices,  $\sigma(M)$  a set of eigenvalues of a square matrix M, and  $\otimes$  a Kronecker product of matrices. A function  $\alpha$  is of class  $\mathcal{K}$  ( $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ ) if it is continuous, zero at zero and strictly increasing. It is of class  $\mathcal{K}_{\infty}$  if it is of class  $\mathcal{K}$  and unbounded. A function  $\beta$ :  $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  is of class  $\mathcal{K}$  and for each fixed  $s \geq 0$  the function  $\beta(s, \cdot)$  is decreasing to zero as its argument tends to infinity (Khalil (2002)).

#### 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Consider

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u), \quad x(0) = x_0$$
 (4)

where  $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n_x}$  is the state,  $u \in \mathbf{R}^{n_u}$  is the control input, and  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$  is locally Lipschitz and satisfies f(0, 0) = 0. Let T > 0 be an input sampling period and assume

$$u(t) = u(kT) =: u(k) \tag{5}$$

for any  $t \in [kT, (k+1)T)$  and  $k \in \mathbf{N}_0$ . Let a fixed measurement sampling period  $T_m > T$  be such that  $T_m = qT$  for some integer q and assume that

$$y(j) = x(jT_m) = x(jqT) \tag{6}$$

is available for control. Then the difference equations corresponding to the exact model and the Euler model of the system (4)-(6) are given by

$$\begin{split} x_e(k+1) &= F_T^e(x_e(k), u(k)) \\ &= x_e(k) + \int_{kT}^{(k+1)T} f(x(s), u(k)) ds, \\ y(j) &= x_e(jq) \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} x_a(k+1) &= F_T^a(x_a(k), u(k)) \\ &= x_a(k) + Tf(x_a(k), u(k)), \\ y_a(j) &= x_a(jq), \end{split}$$

respectively. Note that  $x_e(k) = x(kT)$  for the exact model. Since the exact model is not computable analytically, the Euler model is used to design controllers. Moreover, the Euler model is one-step consistent with the exact model, i.e., for each compact set  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{n_x} \times \mathbf{R}^{n_u}$ , there exist  $\rho \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$  and  $T^* > 0$  such that

$$\|F_T^e(\eta, u) - F_T^a(\eta, u)\| \le T\rho(T)$$

for any  $(\eta, u) \in \Omega$  and  $T \in (0, T^*)$  (Laila et al. (2005), Nesic, Teel, and Kokotovic (1999)).

We assume the following (Liu et al. (2008), Polushin and Marquez (2004)).

**B1:** There exists a locally Lipschitz state feedback controller  $u = u^F(x)$  that makes the equilibrium point x = 0 of the continuous-time closed-loop system  $\dot{x} = f(x, u^F(x))$  globally asymptotically stable.

B2: The input sampling period can be assigned arbitrarily.

Let  $x_e(j)$  be the state of the exact model. To use an emulation of a continuous-time state feedback controller, we first estimate the state at input sampling times t = kT. For k = jq and  $j \in \mathbf{N}_0$ , we set

$$x_c(k) = x_e(k) \tag{7}$$

and we construct  $x_c(k)$  for k = jq + 1, ..., (j+1)q - 1 by

$$x_c(k) = F_T^a(x_c(k-1), u^F(x_c(k-1)))$$
(8)

with initialization  $x_c(jq) = x_e(jq)$ . Then we use the estimated state  $x_c(k)$  to construct the control input

$$u(t) = u^{F}(x_c(k)) \tag{9}$$

for any  $t \in [kT, (k+1)T)$  and  $k \in \mathbf{N}_0$ . Consider the closed-loop exact model of the sampled-data system (4) and (7)-(9)

$$x_e(k+1) = F_T^e(x_e(k), u^F(x_c(k))).$$
(10)

Then we have the following result (Liu et al. (2008), Polushin and Marquez (2004), Nesic, Teel, and Sontag (1999)).

Theorem 1. Assume **B1** and **B2**. Then

1) For any positive real numbers D > d there exist  $T^* > 0$ and  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}L$  such that solutions of the closed-loop exact model (10) satisfy

$$\max\{\|x_e(k)\|, \|x_c(k)\|\} \le \beta(\|x(0)\|, kT) + d$$
(11)

for any  $x_e(0) \in \mathbf{R}^{n_x}$  with  $||x_e(0)|| \leq D, T \in (0, T^*)$ , and  $k \in \mathbf{N}_0$ .

2) For any positive real numbers D > d there exist  $T^* > 0$  and  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}L$  such that solutions of the closed-loop sampled-data system (4) and (7)-(9) satisfy

$$||x(t)|| \le \beta(||x(0)||, t) + d \tag{12}$$

for any  $x(0) \in \mathbf{R}^{n_x}$  with  $||x(0)|| \leq D, T \in (0, T^*)$ , and  $t \geq 0$ .

Remark 2. For sufficiently large D > 0 and sufficiently small d > 0, we must choose sufficiently small  $T^* > 0$  to achieve (11) and (12). In this case, for a fixed measurement sampling period  $T_m$ , we find an input sampling period  $T \in (0, T^*)$  such that  $T_m = qT$  for some large positive integer q.

### 3. MULTI-RATE SAMPLED-DATA CONSENSUS CONTROL

For multi-agent systems (1), let

$$r_i = \Phi(x_i) + \Gamma(x_i)z_i, \ i \in \mathbf{n}.$$
 (13)

Then we have

$$\dot{x}_i = r_i,$$
  
 $\dot{r}_i = \kappa_i(x_i, r_i) + \Gamma(x_i)g_i(x_i, \psi(x_i, r_i))u_i$  (14)

where

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/711625

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/711625

Daneshyari.com