

Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics & Beihang University

Chinese Journal of Aeronautics

cja@buaa.edu.cn www.sciencedirect.com

FULL LENGTH ARTICLE

Shock/shock interactions between bodies and wings 4

Gaoxiang XIANG^{a,b}, Chun WANG^{b,*}, Honghui TENG^b, Zonglin JIANG^b 5

^a School of Mechanics, Civil Engineering & Architecture, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710129, China 6 ^b Institute of Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

Received 4 August 2016; revised 6 January 2017; accepted 15 March 2017 8

KEYWORDS

- 13 Body and wing;
- 14 Flow field;
- 15 Hypersonic flow;
- 16 Shock/shock interaction;
- 17 Wave configurations

Abstract This paper examines the Shock/Shock Interactions (SSI) between the body and wing of aircraft in supersonic flows. The body is simplified to a flat wedge and the wing is assumed to be a sharp wing. The theoretical spatial dimension reduction method, which transforms the 3D problem into a 2D one, is used to analyze the SSI between the body and wing. The temperature and pressure behind the Mach stem induced by the wing and body are obtained, and the wave configurations in the corner are determined. Numerical validations are conducted by solving the inviscid Euler equations in 3D with a Non-oscillatory and Non-free-parameters Dissipative (NND) finite difference scheme. Good agreements between the theoretical and numerical results are obtained. Additionally, the effects of the wedge angle and sweep angle on wave configurations and flow field are considered numerically and theoretically. The influences of wedge angle are significant, whereas the effects of sweep angle on wave configurations are negligible. This paper provides useful information for the design and thermal protection of aircraft in supersonic and hypersonic flows.

© 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

18

2

7

11

19 1. Introduction

In aerospace engineering, the prediction of aerodynamic heat-20 ing is very important for the design of supersonic or hyper-21 sonic aircraft. There are two approaches to estimate 22 23 aerodynamic heating in protective engineering. The first method is to use correlations between pressure and heating 24 to predict the aerodynamic heating, which assumes that the 25 aerodynamic heating is positively related to the pressure or 26

Peer review under responsibility of Editorial Committee of CJA.



density.¹ This approach is applied to the simple geometric shapes well; however, it could not predict the aerodynamic heating well for the complex geometric shape. The second method considers the location of Shock/Shock Interaction (SSI) or the interactive wave configuration as the key factors of aerodynamic heating 2 , but the mechanism has not been well established. Thus, the problem of SSI is very important to the prediction of aerodynamic heating.

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

Regarding the SSI induced by the body and wing of aircraft, many researchers have conducted numerous experimental and numerical studies.³⁻⁷ Zheltovodov and Schulein³⁻⁵ conducted experimental and theoretical (computational) investigations on a model of one fin mounted on a flat plate at Mach number 3, and the technology of surface oil flow and flow visualization by Planar Laser Scattering (PLS) was used in his experiments. He also considered the effects of the

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2017.11.012

1000-9361 © 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: XIANG G et al. Shock/shock interactions between bodies and wings, Chin J Aeronaut (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.

JOURNAL

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: wangchun@imech.ac.cn (C. WANG).

deflection angle of the fin on surface pressure and wave config-43 uration. Horstman and Hung⁶ used the Reynolds-Averaged 44 45 Navier-Stokes (RANS) simulation with a simple algebraic 46 eddy-viscosity turbulence model to compute streamline trajec-47 tory. Schülein⁷ performed experiments to study the surface pressure and skin-friction distributions at Mach number 5. 48 Other researchers also study the SSI by using different mod-49 els.^{8–15} In the above research, the plate was flat and only the 50 Shock wave-Boundary-Layer Interactions (SBLIs) were taken 51 into consideration. In the design of hypersonic aircraft, the 52 53 high heat flux may be caused by SSI and SBLIs. Therefore, 54 the interactions between incident waves induced by the plate 55 and the fin are very important for the prediction of heat flux 56 in these regions.

Compared to the experimental and numerical researches, 57 the theoretical research is seldom conducted. The earliest the-58 ories about 2D Regular Reflection (RR) and Mach Reflection 59 (MR) were proposed by von Neumann,^{16,17} who termed them 60 as the two-shock theory and three-shock theory. Based on 61 these theories, Kawamura and Saito¹⁸ developed the (p, θ) -62 polar method, where p denotes the flow static pressure and θ 63 is the flow deflection angles, to describe the shock reflection 64 and SSI problems. Ben-Dor¹⁹ used the (p, θ) -polar method 65 to analyze various shock reflection and interaction wave con-66 figurations. However, the above theories are 2D, and in fact, 67 there is no theory for the 3D cases. Recently, Yang and Xiang 68 69 et al. developed a spatial dimension reduction approach to analyze the 3D SSI.²⁰⁻²⁴ Through the use of the new theoreti-70 cal method, the 3D steady SSI problem can be treated as a 2D 71 unsteady one, and then, the flow structures could be solved by 72 shock dynamic. 73

In this paper, the SSIs induced by bodies and wings were 74 75 studied numerically and theoretically. The spatial dimension reduction method is used to analyze the flow parameter and 76 77 the results are compared with the numerical results. In Section 2, the procedures and numerical methods are simply pre-78 79 sented. Numerical results and theoretical analysis are given 80 and discussed in detail in Section 3. Finally, the conclusions 81 are drawn in Section 4.

2. Analytical approach and numerical methods 82

83 As depicted in Fig. 1, the numerical model is a simplified symmetrical model of a wing and body, where the body is replaced 84 by a wedge and the wing is assumed to be a sharp wing. The 85 wedge angle of the body is θ , and the body is L in length, d 86 in width and h in height. The distance from the front point 87



Schematic illustration of a simplified model for wing and Fig. 1 body.

of the wing O to the leading edge of the body is l_1 , A is the top point of the wing. λ_2 is the sweep angle of the wing, and is formed by the leading edge of the wing and the horizontal line. Half angle of the wedge is defined as θ_1 , and the height of the wing is h_1 .

For the free inviscid inflow Ma_0 , the incident wave CBF is induced by the body, the incident wave APR is induced by the wing, and they interact with each other in the corner as shown in Fig. 2. Two reflected waves, OPR and PRG, occur due to the intersection of the two incident waves. The computational zone is selected as half of the model, which is divided by the symmetry plane. The intersecting line of the two incident waves, PR, is defined as the characteristic direction, and the plane *NMD* perpendicular to it is defined as the characteristic plane. Q is the intersecting point of line PR and the plane NMD. In the interactive zone, the wave configuration is selfsimilar in the direction of the characteristic line, and thus, the 3D steady SSI could be regarded as a 2D SSI in the characteristic plane moving in the direction of the characteristic line PR.

The decomposed Mach number projected on PR is Ma_n . The decomposed Mach numbers Ma_{s1} and Ma_{s2} on the characteristic plane are given by

$$Ma_{s1} = Ma_0 \sin \beta_1, \quad Ma_{s2} = Ma_0 \sin \beta_{2n} \cos \lambda_2 \tag{1}$$

where β_1 is the shock angle in the direction of the incoming flow, and β_{2n} is the shock angle perpendicular to the leading edge of the wing.

When the above geometrical relationships between 3D steady problem and 2D unsteady problem are determined, the problem of 3D could be regarded as the interaction of two incident waves Ma_{s1} and Ma_{s2} moving on the characteristic plane, which can be treated as the characteristic plane moving in the direction of the characteristic line *PR*.

The determination of the wave configurations could be achieved by shock polar analysis of the 2D unsteady problem.^{18,19}

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\xi - 1}{\gamma M a^2 - (\xi - 1)} \sqrt{\frac{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma + 1} (M a^2 - 1) - (\xi - 1)}{\xi + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1}}}$$
(2)

where Ma is the decomposed Mach number in the direction of the reflection point, γ is 1.4 for an ideal gas, and ξ is the ratio of the pressure behind the waves.

If the wave configuration is Mach interaction, a Mach stem is formed between Ma_{s1} and Ma_{s2} . The Mach number behind



Fig. 2 Schematic of "spatial-dimension reduction" approach.

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

 $\begin{smallmatrix}110\\111\end{smallmatrix}$

88

89

120

121

122

123

128

129

130

131

132

133

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7153773

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7153773

Daneshyari.com