Accepted Manuscript

Taking race out of Neuroscience too

Roberto Cubelli, Sergio Della Sala

PII: S0010-9452(17)30151-X

DOI: 10.1016/j.cortex.2017.04.023

Reference: CORTEX 2009

To appear in: Cortex

Received Date: 27 April 2017

Accepted Date: 27 April 2017

Please cite this article as: Cubelli R, Della Sala S, Taking race out of Neuroscience too, CORTEX

(2017), doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2017.04.023.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

TAKING RACE OUT OF NEUROSCIENCE TOO

Roberto Cubelli^a and Sergio Della Sala^b

a) Department of Psychology and Cognitive Sciences, University of Trento, Italy b) Human Cognitive Neuroscience, Psychology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

"Mal nommer les choses, c'est ajouter au malheur du monde." (To name things wrongly is to add to the misfortune of the world) Brice Parain cited by Albert Camus, *Sur une philosophie de l'expression*, 1944.

In 2013 the French Parliament barred the term "race" from legislation. President Hollande proposed to drop the term "race" also from the first article of the 1958 French Constitution: "France is an indivisible, secular, democratic and social republic. It guarantees equality before the law for all citizens without distinction of origin, race or religion. It respects all beliefs". The proposal was opposed with the argument that one cannot change reality or people's minds simply by changing words. This may be true. However, words do matter (Cubelli and Della Sala, 2017).

Article 2 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights states that "Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status." The term "race" was used here as well. However, the Declaration was adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1948. The time is ripe to revisit our lexicon, in science as well as in society at large.

A recent editorial in Science (Yudell, Roberts, DeSalle & Tishkoff, 2016) maintained that, given the lack of scientific basis supporting the concept of race (American Association of Physical Anthropologists, 1996; Long & Kittles, 2003; Roberts, 2012), the term "infused with notions of superiority and inferiority" (p. 565) should be banned in favour of alternative terms such as 'ethnic groups", "ancestry" or "population". Yudell et al. (2016) proposed that outlets in Biology and Genetics should consider inviting authors to describe human grouping according to the questions being asked rather than using an imprecise proxy like race, which is wrong and risks of hampering scientific development. However, they admit to the possible

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7311841

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7311841

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>