Accepted Manuscript

A simple centrality index for scientific social recognition

Osame Kinouchi, Leonardo D.H. Soares, George C. Cardoso

PII:	S0378-4371(17)30807-5
DOI:	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2017.08.072
Reference:	PHYSA 18518
To appear in:	Physica A
Received date :	5 January 2017
Revised date :	6 June 2017



Please cite this article as: O. Kinouchi, L.D.H. Soares, G.C. Cardoso, A simple centrality index for scientific social recognition, *Physica A* (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2017.08.072

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

A simple centrality index for scientific social recognition

Osame Kinouchi^{*}, Leonardo D. H. Soares and George C. Cardoso

Departamento de Física, FFCLRP, Universidade de São Paulo Ribeirão Preto, 14040-901, Brazil

Abstract

We introduce a new centrality index for bipartite network of papers and authors that we call K-index. The K-index grows with the citation performance of the papers that cite a given researcher and can seen as a measure of scientific social recognition. Indeed, the K-index measures the number of hubs, defined in a self-consistent way in the bipartite network, that cites a given author. We show that the K-index can be computed by simple inspection of the Web of Science platform and presents several advantages over other centrality indexes, in particular Hirsch h-index. The K-index is robust to self-citations, is not limited by the total number of papers published by a researcher as occurs for the *h*-index and can distinguish in a consistent way researchers that have the same h-index but very different scientific social recognition. The K-index easily detects a known case of a researcher with inflated number of papers, citations and h-index due to scientific misconduct. Finally, we show that, in a sample of twenty-eight physics Nobel laureates and twenty-eight highly cited non-Nobel-laureate physicists, the K-index correlates better to the achievement of the prize than the number of papers, citations, citations per paper, citing articles or the h-index. Clustering researchers in a K versus h plot reveals interesting outliers that suggest that these two indexes can present complementary independent information.

Keywords: Scientometrics, Hirsch index, Lobby index, complex networks, node centrality, citation network, Web of Science, social recognition, scientific prizes.

* Corresponding author.

Z

Preprint submitted to Physica A

August 29, 2017

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7376646

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7376646

Daneshyari.com