Accepted Manuscript

Electoral manipulation, opposition power, and institutional change: Contesting for electoral reform in Singapore, Malaysia, and Cambodia

Elvin Ong

PII: S0261-3794(17)30356-6

DOI: 10.1016/j.electstud.2018.05.006

Reference: JELS 1935

To appear in: Electoral Studies

Received Date: 31 July 2017

Revised Date: 19 March 2018

Accepted Date: 21 May 2018

Please cite this article as: Ong, E., Electoral manipulation, opposition power, and institutional change: Contesting for electoral reform in Singapore, Malaysia, and Cambodia, *Electoral Studies* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2018.05.006.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Electoral Manipulation, Opposition Power, and Institutional Change: Contesting for Electoral Reform in Singapore, Malaysia, and Cambodia

Corresponding Author: Elvin ONG

Affiliation 1: PhD Candidate, Department of Political Science, Emory University. Affiliation 1 address: 327 Tarbutton Hall, 1555 Dickey Drive, Atlanta, Georgia 30322, United States of America.

Affiliation 2: Overseas Graduate Scholar, Department of Political Science, National University of Singapore.

Affiliation 2 address: AS1, #04-10, 11 Arts Link, Singapore 117570.

Abstract

Opposition forces in electoral authoritarian regimes frequently protest against electoral manipulation with varying degrees of success. Why are opposition forces in some countries able to turnout the masses and successfully pressure a dominant regime to allow for electoral reform, whereas those in other countries exhibit much less success? This article argues that the cognitive complexity of electoral manipulation and the form of opposition organization explain divergent contestation and reform trajectories. Where the complexity of electoral manipulation is high, opposition forces are demobilized. Where the complexity of electoral manipulation is low, opposition forces may potentially mobilize the masses to protest for reform. Subsequently, the type of electoral reform – technocratic or partisan – pivots on whether opposition power is concentrated in one veto player or dispersed among multiple actors. I test the arguments through a controlled comparison of electoral reform in three Southeast Asian electoral authoritarian regimes – Singapore, Malaysia, and Cambodia.

Keywords: electoral authoritarian regimes, electoral manipulation, electoral reform, institutional change, Southeast Asia, Singapore, Malaysia, Cambodia

Highlights

- Proposes new variables for electoral manipulation, opposition organization, and electoral reform.
- Undertakes a controlled comparison of Singapore, Malaysia, and Cambodia.
- Complex electoral manipulation discourages electoral protest.
- An opposition with one veto player encourages partisan reforms.

Funding

The research for this article was funded by Emory University's Laney Graduate School Professional Development Funds, the Singapore Ministry of Education-National University of Singapore's Overseas Graduate Scholarship, and the Southeast Asia Research Group's Pre-Dissertation Fellowship.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7463209

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7463209

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>