Author's Accepted Manuscript

Life Cycle Cost and Return on Investment as complementary decision variables for urban flood risk management in developing countries

Raffaele De Risi, Francesco De Paola, Jane Turpie, Timm Kroeger



www.elsevier.com/locate/iidi

PII: S2212-4209(18)30217-6

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2018.02.026

Reference: IJDRR808

To appear in: International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction

Received date: 23 October 2017 Revised date: 15 February 2018 Accepted date: 15 February 2018

Cite this article as: Raffaele De Risi, Francesco De Paola, Jane Turpie and Timm Kroeger, Life Cycle Cost and Return on Investment as complementary decision variables for urban flood risk management in developing countries, *International* Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2018.02.026

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Life Cycle Cost and Return on Investment as complementary decision variables for urban flood risk management in developing countries.

Raffaele De Risi¹, Francesco De Paola², Jane Turpie³, Timm Kroeger⁴

*Corresponding author: Raffaele De Risi. E-mai: raffaele.derisi@bristol.ac.uk

Abstract

Herein we investigate Life Cycle Cost (LCC) and Return on Investment (ROI) as potential decision variables for evaluating the economic performance (ROI) and financial feasibility (LCC) of a set of flood mitigation strategies over time. The main novelty of this work is the application of LCC and ROI analyses at the urban level to an asset portfolio of flood-prone buildings. Reduced flood damage is treated probabilistically as avoided costs (LCC analysis) and returns (ROI analysis), respectively. The proposed methodology is applied to the case of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, which suffers severe riverine flooding on a sub-annual basis. Specifically, LCC and ROI of five mitigation scenarios that include large-scale catchment rehabilitation, settlement set-backs and waste management are compared with the current situation. The main result is that the highest-performing flood mitigation option includes both conventional interventions and ecosystem rehabilitation.

¹ Research Associate, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Bristol, Queen's Building, University Walk, BS8 1TR, Bristol, UK; E-mail: raffaele.derisi@bristol.ac.uk

² Assistant Professor, Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, University of Naples Federico II, Via Claudio 21, 80125, Naples, Italy, E-mail: depaola@unina.it

³ Senior Research Fellow, Environmental Policy Research Unit, School of Economics, University of Cape Town, South Africa. E-mail: jane.turpie@uct.ac.za

⁴ Senior Environmental Economist, The Nature Conservancy, Global Science, 4245 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA 22203, United States. E-mail: tkroeger@tnc.org

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7471468

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7471468

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>