

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Public Health

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/puhe



Original Research

The prevalence and influencing factors for child neglect in a rural area of Anhui province: a 2-year follow-up study



F. Zhao a, L. Bi b, M.-C. Chen c, Y.-L. Wu a,d, Y.-H. Sun a,*

- ^a Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, School of Public Health, Anhui Medical University, No. 81 Meishan Road, Hefei 230032, Anhui, China
- ^b School of Medicine, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia
- ^c Changfeng Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Hefei, China
- ^d The Second Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 January 2017 Received in revised form 28 August 2017 Accepted 26 November 2017

Keywords: Child neglect Change Associated factors Longitudinal study Rural areas

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The purpose of the current study was to identify the change of prevalence and influencing factors for child neglect in a rural area of Anhui province through the 2-year follow-up study.

Study design: Longitudinal study with 2-year follow-up.

Methods: Analyses were based on data from a longitudinal study, performed in five elementary schools and three secondary schools in Changfeng County. A total of 816 children aged between 7 and 16 years completed the three assessments during the period of 2009–2011. Generalized estimating equations (GEEs) were applied to identify the influencing factors of child neglect.

Results: The prevalence of child neglect was 67.8%, 56.6%, and 57.7% at the three assessments, respectively. There were 272 children (33.3%) having consistently experiencing neglect during three assessments and 106 (13.0%) children had not suffered from neglect during three assessments. Among 553 participants who experienced neglect at the first assessment, 105 (19.0%) children no longer met the diagnosis at the next two assessments. Fifty-two children who did not suffer from neglect at the first assessment experienced neglect at the final assessment. The results of GEEs showed that child neglect was clearly associated with age (odds ratio [OR] = 0.95, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.92-0.99, P = 0.016), male gender (OR = 1.20, 95% CI = 1.00-1.43, P = 0.047), siblings (OR = 1.26, 95% CI = 1.03-1.55, P = 0.028), parental marital disruption (OR = 2.02, 95% CI = 1.09-3.78, P = 0.027), left-behind status (OR = 1.26, 95% CI = 1.06-1.49, P = 0.008), severe family dysfunction (OR = 1.46, 95% CI = 1.03-2.07, P = 0.035), quality of life (OR = 0.98, 95% CI = 0.98-0.99, P < 0.001), positive coping styles (OR = 0.97, 95% CI = 0.94-0.99, P = 0.001), and negative coping styles (OR = 1.03-1.05, P < 0.001).

E-mail address: yhsun_ahmu_edu@yeah.net (Y.-H. Sun).

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, Centre for Evidence-Based Practice, Anhui Medical University, No. 81 Meishan Road, Hefei 230032, Anhui, China. Tel/Fax: +86 551 65143334.

Conclusion: Our studies detected the decreased prevalence of child neglect across the three assessments. Additionally, some sociodemographic, psychosocial and family risk factors of child neglect were identified, which will be helpful for child neglect prevention strategies development and implementation in China.

© 2017 The Royal Society for Public Health. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Child neglect is one of the most prevalent types of maltreatment, ^{1,2} which is a worldwide complex matter and is also a major public health concern. In China, the prevalence of neglect varied across different measurement tools, regions, and ages. The rate of neglect among children aged between 3 and 6 years old ranged from 6.3%³–36.4%, ⁴ among children aged between 6 and 8 years was 53.2%, ⁵ among rural children aged 9–11 years was 31.3%, ⁶ and among children aged 12–17 years was 47.3%. ⁷ Most of these studies reported the neglect prevalence relied upon cross-sectional designs. Few research concerned the change of child neglect over time during childhood. Therefore, a longitudinal study to explore the dynamic development and change of neglect is in demand.

Previous studies have identified factors which were associated with child neglect, including the child's age, ^{3,8–10} parental divorce, ¹¹ maternal education, ^{12,13} family structure, ¹⁴ and quality of life. ^{15,16} On one hand, the association between part of factors (e.g. child's gender, family income) and neglect has not obtained a conclusion without controversy. On the other hand, most of the prior studies involving associated factors of neglect were cross-sectional designs. Thus, a longitudinal study was needed to clarify these divergences and controversies of the association between variables and child neglect.

The Chinese government started reform and opening-up policy in the late 1970s and launched the one-child policy in 1978. Due to the reform and opening-up policy, the Chinese economy experienced an unprecedented growth. Accompanied by the economic growth, a growing number of rural people have moved to the cities leaving their children in rural hometowns. Ultimately, those children have been described as left-behind children (LBC) in rural China. The usual definition of LBC is those who stay in their hometowns while one or both parents work away from home for at least 6 months. The migration has weakened the family function and decreased the quality of life of LBC. Much research has revealed that LBC suffered more mental health problems and psychological well-being neglect. 20,21

The one-child policy has changed the Chinese traditional family structure. ²² So that, most families have only one child. As a result, the only child, often described as the 'little emperor' or 'little sun', ²³ has increasingly become the hope of the whole family and more precious to parents and household than ever. For this child, parents and families will give more care and attention. Thus, the prevalence of child neglect in the only-child's family is lower. Zhang et al. conducted a

study for the neglect and influencing factors of children aged 3–6 years in Guangzhou and have revealed a different prevalence of neglect between the only child and not the only child, and the only child was the associated factor for neglect.²⁴ The study carried out among the only-child family in Suzhou indicated that the prevalence of child neglect was 32.0% and the main type of neglect was supervision neglect, followed by emotional neglect, physical neglect, and education neglect.²⁵

Given the unique social and cultural background which is different from other countries, better understanding and identifying the factors associated with neglect are important and needed among children in rural China. We therefore performed the longitudinal study in rural Changfeng County to (1) evaluate the dynamic change of child neglect over the three assessments during the follow-up period and (2) identify the protective and risk factors for child neglect in rural China.

Methods

Participants

The study selected data from a prospective study of children's mental health in China, which was conducted in Changfeng County, Anhui province. This study contained a baseline survey and two follow-up assessments that began in December 2009 and continued annually until 2011. At the baseline, the random cluster sampling approach was applied to identify five primary schools and three middle schools to participate in the survey. A total of 3169 children from the grades of 3-9 in the selected schools were recruited, and 2917 (92%) were finally included in the study. About 1047 children in grades 3, 4, and 7 (at baseline) were invited to take part in the follow-up assessments as part of the prospective study. A total of 914 children participated in the first year follow-up, and 816 participated in the last assessment. Participants were fully informed as to the purpose of the study. Schools and parents/guardians signed written consent forms before data collection.

Instruments

Demographic characteristics

A basic information questionnaire was applied to collect sociodemographic characteristics such as gender, age, grade, lodgings, siblings, family's marital status, annual family income, and parental education background.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7525800

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7525800

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>