Inorganica Chimica Acta 473 (2018) 9-14

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Inorganica Chimica Acta

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ica



# Synthesis, characterisation and protonation of phosphate disubstituted derivatives with pyridyl-functionalized diiron



Na Wen<sup>a</sup>, Jing Cao<sup>a</sup>, Yu-Ying Zheng<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jian-Di Lin<sup>b</sup>, Shao-Wu Du<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Materials Science and Engineering, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350116, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Applied Chemistry, College of Life Sciences, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, PR China

<sup>c</sup> State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, PR China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 May 2017 Received in revised form 13 November 2017 Accepted 15 November 2017 Available online 16 November 2017

Keywords: [FeFe] hydrogenase Phosphine-substitute Crystal structure Protonation

#### ABSTRACT

Three new phosphine disubstituted derivatives of [2Fe2S] model complexes with pendant pyridine family,  $[(\mu-SEt)(\mu-SCH_2C_6H_4N)Fe_2(CO)_4(L)_2]$  (2, L = PPh<sub>3</sub>; **3**, L = dppm; **4**, L = PMe<sub>3</sub>), have been synthesized and the molecular structure of **2** was determinated by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. They were all characterized by MS, FT-IR, <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra and their electrochemical behaviors were also conducted. The protonation of complex **4** with HBF<sub>4</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O was also investigated by FT-IR, <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopies at variable temperature, which reveals the formations of both bridging and terminal hydride intermediates.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V.

#### 1. Introduction

The biohydrogenation enzyme exists widely in nature, because of the reversible reduction of protons to molecular hydrogen at high efficiency [1-6], especially [FeFe]-hydrogenases, which is the most important hydrogenases, can reversibly catalyze the reduction of proton to hydrogen with an extremely high efficiency (Chart 1a) [7–8]. Until now, much work has been focused on the synthesis, characterization and functional biomimics for the activity of sub-clusters {[4Fe4S] and [2Fe2S]} in [FeFe]-hydrogenases, such as the study for the combination of proton and generated hydrogen under electrochemical conditions [9-15]. To better understand the chemical properties of the active site in Fe-only model complexes for the production of enzymatic H<sub>2</sub>, various good donor ligands especially phosphine ligands, have been widely used to substitute the carbonyl groups in many all-CO parent complexes of dirron hydrogenases in order to study the structure, electrochemistry and protonation properties of the as-formed substituted derivatives (Chart 1b, c). In addition, some model complexes featuring hemi-labile pendant N, S and O ligands have been synthesized [16], in which the pendant ligands are coordinated to Fe atoms at the apical position. On the other hand, a dynamic ligation of propylamine was observed in a diiron model complex  $[(\mu-pdt)]$   $Fe_2(CO)_5(H_2NPr)$  in CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution [17], which might have some relevance to the substrate and inhibitor binding at the [FeFe]hydrogenase active site. In the meantime, although there is a broad consensus that an iron-bound bridging and terminal hydride species occur in the catalytic mechanism, such a species has rarely been directly observed experimentally (Chart 1d) [18-20]. Herein, we would like to describe the syntheses and structural characterization of three new diiron phosphine-substituted complexes with pendant heterocyclic arms. Complex 1 mentioned at this work refers to  $[(\mu-SEt)(\mu-SCH_2C_6H_4N)Fe_2(CO)_5]$  [21], in which the pendant pyridine ring is loosely coordinated to the iron atom. In the presence of CO or phosphine ligands, complex 1 is readily converted to its corresponding hexacarbonyl complexes or corresponding phosphine-disubstituted derivatives. In this work, three phosphine-disubstituted derivatives of  $[(\mu-SEt)(\mu-SCH_2C_6H_4N)]$ Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>5</sub>] were isolated via the chromatography technique, namely,  $[(\mu-SEt)(\mu-SCH_2C_6H_4N)Fe_2(CO)_4(L)_2]$  (2, L = PPh<sub>3</sub>; 3, L = dppm; **4**, L = PMe<sub>3</sub>). The experiment of complex **4** protonated by HBF<sub>4</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O which is characterized by FT-IR, <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra at variable temperature was also discussed.

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. General procedures and materials

Unless otherwise indicated, all reactions and operations were performed under an atmosphere of dry, oxygen-free inert



**Research** paper

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* wennaa@fzu.edu.cn (N. Wen), yyzheng@fzu.edu.cn (Y.-Y. Zheng).



**Chart 1.** (a) An active center of [FeFe]-hydrogenase as determined experimentally from *D. desulfuricans.* (b) Simple all-CO model complexes of the active site (H-cluster, X = NH, CH<sub>2</sub> or O) of the hydrogen producing enzyme. (c) Model complexes with pendant ligands. (d) the  $H_{red}$  (L = H<sup>+</sup> (Fe<sup>I</sup>Fe<sup>I</sup>) or H<sup>-</sup> (Fe<sup>II</sup>Fe<sup>II</sup>) and  $H_{ox}$  = vacant or H<sub>2</sub>O (Fe<sup>II</sup>Fe<sup>II</sup>) states of the [FeFe]-hydrogenase active site.

atmosphere using standard schlenk-line techniques. Solvents were distilled from appropriate drying agents prior to use. Other chemicals in reagent grade were used without further purification. Complex **1** was prepared according to our previous literature. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One FT-IR Spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained on a DECAX-30000 LCQ Deca XP ion trap mass spectrometry. Elemental analyses were carried out with an Elementar Vario Micro Elemental Analyzer. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker Biospin Avance III 400 NMR spectrometer.

#### 2.2. Single crystal X-ray structural determination

X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Rigaku diffractometer with a Mercury CCD area detector (Mo  $K\alpha$ ;  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). Empirical absorption correction was applied to the data using the *CrystalClear* program [22]. The structure was solved by direct method using SHELXS-97 [23] and refined by full-matrix least-squares on  $F^2$  using the SHELXL-2016 programme [24]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The hydrogen atoms were all treated by geometrical positions.

#### 2.3. Electrochemistry

Electrochemistry test was carried out under an argon atmosphere on a CHI600D electrochemical potentiostat. A solution of 0.1 M n-Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> (Aldrich, spectroscopy grade) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN was used as the electrolyte. The electrolyte solution was degassed by bubbling with dry argon for 10 min before measurement. Cyclic voltammetry experiments were carried out in a three-electrode cell configuration consisting of a glassy carbon disc (diameter 2 mm) which is successively polished with 3- and 1- $\mu$ m diamond pastes and sonicated in ion-free water for 10 min, a platinum counter electrode and a Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The energy level of the Ag/AgCl reference electrode was calibrated against by ferrocence/ferrocenium (Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>) redox system (just as the internal standard).

### 2.4. Syntheses of $[(\mu$ -SEt)( $\mu$ -SCH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N)Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub>(L)<sub>2</sub>] (2, L = PPh<sub>3</sub>; 3, L = dppm; 4, L = PMe<sub>3</sub>)

Complex **2** was prepared *via* the reaction of **1** and PPh<sub>3</sub>. In detail, a mixture of **1** (0.03 g, 0.05 mmol) and PPh<sub>3</sub> (0.025 g, 0.20

mmol) was stirred in toluene (20 mL) at room temperature under N<sub>2</sub> for 3 h, and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (4:1 v/v) as eluent. Finally, complex **2** was obtained as a red solid (22 mg, 40%). Complexes **3** (14 mg, 33%) and **4** (8 mg, 26%) were synthesized in a way similar to that described for **2**, except that dppm and PMe<sub>3</sub> were employed instead of PPh<sub>3</sub>, respectively.

#### 2.5. Analytical data for complexes 2-4

For **2:** Anal.Calcd for  $C_{48}H_{41}Fe_2NO_4P_2S_2$  (933.62): C 61.70, H 4.39, N 1.50; Found: C 61.30, H 4.01, N 1.31. MS (ESI): m/z 955.5 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1991 (s), 1950 (m), 1931 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.39–7.19 (m, 34H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> and C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>),  $\delta$  3.14 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.98 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.12 (m, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>) ppm. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  49.90 (s) ppm.

For **3**: Anal. Calcd for  $C_{62}H_{55}Fe_2NO_4P_4S_2$  (1176.70): C 63.23; H 4.67; N 1.19; Found: C 63.14; H 4.51; N 1.07. MS (ESI): *m/z* 1177.1 [M]. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $v_{CO}$  1990 (s), 1946 (m), 1928 (m). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.43–7.09 (m, 44H,  $C_6H_5$  and  $C_5H_4$ ), 3.16 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.74 (s, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.75 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.19 (m, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>) ppm. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  44.70 ppm (d, *J* = 130.8 Hz), -25.72 (d, *J* = 140.4 Hz) ppm.

For **4**: Anal. Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{29}Fe_2NO_4P_2S_2$  (561.0): C 38.50, H 5.17, N 2.50. Found: C 38.34, H 5.21, N 2.30. MS (ESI): m/z 562.5 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $v_{CO}$  1979 (s), 1938 (m), 1910 (s). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.55–6.99 (m, 4H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 3.47 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.17 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.38 (m, 18H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.18(m, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>) ppm. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.19 (s) ppm.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Synthesis and characterization of compounds 2–4

Treatments of 1 with excess PPh<sub>3</sub> or dppm in toluene at room temperature afford the disubstituted complexes [(µ-SEt)(µ- $SCH_2C_6H_4NFe_2(CO)_4(L)_2$  (2, L = PPh<sub>3</sub>; 3, L = dppm; 4, L = PMe<sub>3</sub>) (Scheme 1). The substitution of 1 with phosphine ligands in MeCN with the aid of Me<sub>3</sub>NO, resulted in a higher yields. This correlates well the fact that in complex 1 the pendant pyridine ring is loosely coordinated to the iron atom. Complexes 2-4 all display three absorption bands in the carbonyl region from 1910 to 1991 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The  $\ddot{y}_{CO}$  bands shift to a much lower energy compared with those of the parent complex (1925–2072 cm<sup>-1</sup>). This correlates well with the fact that the phosphine-disubstituted complex may have a much more significant increase in electron density of the diiron center [25–29]. The average value of the  $\Delta v$ (CO) bands of **4** shifts *ca.* 92  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  to lower frequency compared with that of the all-CO parent complex (Table 1). The average values of the three  $v_{CO}$ bands for the PMe<sub>3</sub>-disubstituted complex **4** (1951  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) is, as expected, larger than those for the PPh<sub>3</sub> and dppm-disubstituted homologues  $\mathbf{2}$  and  $\mathbf{3}$  (1957 and 1954 cm<sup>-1</sup>), which results from the good electron donating capability of PMe<sub>3</sub> ligand.



**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of complexes **2–4**. (i) LiBEt<sub>3</sub>H, THF, -78 °C; (ii) 2-(chlor-omethyl)pyridine hydrobromide, Et<sub>3</sub>N.

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7750634

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7750634

Daneshyari.com