Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Carbohydrate Polymers

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/carbpol

How does temperature govern mechanisms of starch changes during extrusion?

Nicolas Logié^{a,b}, Guy Della Valle^{c,*}, Agnès Rolland-Sabaté^{c,d}, Nicolas Descamps^e, Jérémie Soulestin^b

^a Institut Français des Matériaux Agro-Sourcés (IFMAS), 59650, Villeneuve d'Ascq, France

^b Ecole des Mines de Douai, Département TPCIM, 59508, Douai, France

^c UR1268 Biopolymères Interactions Assemblages, INRA, F-44300, Nantes, France

^d UMR Sécurité et Qualité des Produits d'Origine Végétale, Université Avignon, F-84000, Avignon, France

^e Roquette Frères, 62136, Lestrem, France

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Crystal Granule Pea Potato Specific mechanical energy Suspension

ABSTRACT

Potato and pea starches were processed on a twin-screw extruder under various moisture and thermomechanical conditions, chosen to keep material temperature T_e close to starch melting temperature, T_m , whilst avoiding die expansion. Extruded rods were analysed by asymmetrical flow field flow fractionation coupled with light scattering, X-ray diffraction, DSC, and light microscopy with image analysis. Molar mass of extruded materials decreased more for potato than for pea starch, when specific mechanical energy SME increased, likely because of larger amylopectin sensitivity to shear. No crystallinity was detected when $\Delta T = (T_m, T_e) \leq 0$. Residual gelatinization enthalpy ΔH_g decreased with ΔT . As illustrated by larger ΔT values for $\Delta H_g = 0$, decreasing moisture favored melting, likely by increasing solid friction. The fraction of granular remnants of potato starch was inversely correlated to SME. These results could be explained by considering starch melting during extrusion as a suspension of solid particles embedded in a continuous amorphous matrix.

1. Introduction

The interest of starch extrusion lies on the broad field of applications ranging from non-food products, like materials for the replacement of petroleum based polymers, to various foods because of enhanced nutritional properties as a macronutrient. Indeed final starch structure governs material and functional properties. Extrusion processing can be tuned in order to obtain the relevant structure for the target application, provided the structural changes of starch during processing are well controlled. During extrusion, starch can be converted into a homogenous molten state, sometimes called "thermoplastic starch", before it is shaped by flowing through the die (Tajuddin, Xie, Nicholson, Liu, & Halley, 2011). This conversion may encompass various structural changes, such as granules disruption, crystals melting, molecular degradation (depolymerization) (see for instance Liu, Xie, Yu, Chen, & Li, 2009; Liu, Halley, & Gilbert, 2010). These changes are very important because they will provide the extruded starchy materials with the relevant properties either for various food or non-food applications (Colonna, Tayeb, & Mercier, 1989; Kristiawan, Chaunier, Della Valle, Ndiaye, & Vergnes, 2016; Li et al., 2015; Liu

et al., 2009; van der Sman & Broeze, 2013; Zhang et al., 2011). They are due to both thermal and mechanical inputs from the process. In a first approach, crystal melting is mainly due to heat by increasing material temperature above calorimetric melting temperature T_m (Cooke & Gidley, 1992; Donovan, 1979), while molecular degradation is rather due to mechanical input through shear stress that favors chain splitting (Brümmer, Meuser, van Lengerich, & Niemann, 2002; Liu et al., 2010; van den Einde, Akkermans, Van der Goot, & Boom, 2004). In turn, molecular degradation induces a drop of starch melt viscosity during extrusion (Xie, Halley, & Averous, 2012). This rheological change can be taken into account in modelling twin screw extrusion of starch by coupling shear energy and viscosity (Berzin, Tara, Tighzert, & Vergnes, 2010).

These energy transfers may be assessed by the measurement of temperature (extruder barrel and material) and specific mechanical energy (SME). However, accurate quantification of these transfers is challenged by (1) the difficulty of accurate temperature measurement inside an extruder and (2) the accumulation in SME of mechanical forces acting on material along the screw(s). Moreover the possible conversion of mechanical energy into heat by friction phenomena, solid

* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: guy.della-valle@inra.fr, guy.della-valle@nantes.inra.fr (G. Della Valle).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.12.040

Received 12 October 2017; Received in revised form 3 December 2017; Accepted 14 December 2017 Available online 18 December 2017

0144-8617/ © 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Table 1

Main operating conditions, extrusion variables and structural features for (a) pea and (b) potato starches. (-) means that the sample was not analysed. D_{50} and N_A were not determined for pea starch, because micrographs could not be analysed.

| (a) Barrel & die T (°C) | <i>T_m</i> (°C) | MC (%) | V (rpm) | Q (kg/h) | $SME (Jg^{-1})$ | <i>T_e</i> (°C) | \overline{M}_{w} (%) | ΔH_g (% |) Crystallir | uity (%, WAXS) | D ₅₀ (μm) | $N_A ({\rm mm}^{-2})$ |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| 95 | 95 | 30 | 40 | 0.4 | 470 | 95 | _ | 0 | - | | 20 | 340 |
| 95 | 95 | 30 | 50 | 0.6 | 480 | 95 | 32 | 0 | 0 | | 20 | 180 |
| 95 | 95 | 30 | 50 | 1 | 290 | 95 | - | 0 | 0 | | 25 | 370 |
| 95 | 95 | 30 | 50 | 1.35 | 250 | 95 | - | 0 | - | | 22 | 460 |
| 95 | 95 | 30 | 90 | 0.4 | 1060 | 96 | - | 0 | - | | 16 | 95 |
| 95 | 95 | 30 | 90 | 1 | 470 | 98 | 28 | 0 | 0 | | 17 | 190 |
| 95 | 95 | 30 | 90 | 1.5 | 375 | 98 | - | 0 | - | | 18 | 365 |
| 95 | 95 | 30 | 120 | 0.45 | 1395 | 100 | - | 0 | - | | 14 | 25 |
| 95 | 95 | 30 | 120 | 0.6 | 1045 | 100 | - | 0 | - | | 27 | 25 |
| 90 | 105 | 25 | 50 | 0.4 | 654 | 97 | - | 0 | - | | 26 | 265 |
| 90 | 105 | 25 | 50 | 0.8 | 393 | 97 | 60 | 0 | 0 | | 25 | 340 |
| 90 | 105 | 25 | 50 | 1.2 | 305 | 98 | - | 0 | - | | 19 | 350 |
| 90 | 105 | 25 | 90 | 0.8 | 707 | 105 | - | 0 | - | | 19 | 50 |
| 90 | 105 | 25 | 90 | 1.2 | 510 | 105 | 19 | 0 | 0 | | 17 | 245 |
| 80 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 0.75 | 349 | 86 | 78 | 0 | 29 | | 19 | 465 |
| 80 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 1.3 | 242 | 88 | - | 0 | 29 | | 21 | 415 |
| 80 | 30 | 30 | 90 | 0.8 | 471 | 93 | - | 0 | - | | 17 | 170 |
| 80 | 30 | 30 | 90 | 1.2 | 393 | 93 | 38 | 0 | 14 | | 19 | 195 |
| (b) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (B) Barrel & die T (°C) | T_m (°C | C) M | C (%) | V (rpm) | Q (kg/h) | SME (Jg | -1) | <i>T_e</i> (°C) | \overline{M}_w (%) | <i>DH_g</i> (%) | Crystallin | ity (%, WAXS) |
| 95 | 120 | 30 |) | 50 | 0.3 | 873 | | 96 | - | 31 | 15 | |
| 95 | 120 | 30 |) | 50 | 0.55 | 476 | | 98 | - | 20 | - | |
| 95 | 120 | 30 |) | 50 | 0.9 | 378 | | 98 | - | 27 | 15 | |
| 95 | 120 | 30 |) | 50 | 1.2 | 305 | | 98 | - | 33 | - | |
| 95 | 120 | 30 |) | 90 | 0.4 | 825 | | 103 | 40 | 9 | 10 | |
| 95 | 120 | 30 |) | 90 | 0.85 | 499 | | 103 | - | 11 | - | |
| 95 | 120 | 30 |) | 90 | 1.35 | 384 | | 103 | - | 11 | - | |
| 95 | 120 | 30 |) | 120 | 0.4 | 1257 | | 105 | - | 5 | 0 | |
| 95 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 120 | 30 |) | 120 | 1.2 | 471 | | 105 | - | 6 | - | |
| 95 | 120 120 | 30 30 | | 120 120 | 1.2 0.65 | 471 870 | | 105 106 | - 32 | 6 5 | - 10 | |
| 95 95 | | |) | | | | | | | | | |
| | 120 | 30 |) | 120 | 0.65 | 870 | | 106 | 32 | 5 | 10 | |
| 95 | 120 120 | 30 30 |)) | 120 120 | 0.65 1.8 | 870 419 | | 106 106 | 32 - | 5 8 | 10 - | |
| 95 95 | 120 120 115 | 30 30 35 |) ; ; | 120 120 50 | 0.65 1.8 0.3 | 870 419 524 | | 106 106 100 | 32 - 49 | 5 8 16 | 10 - - | |
| 95 95 95 | 120 120 115 115 | 30 30 35 35 |) ; ; | 120 120 50 50 | 0.65 1.8 0.3 1 | 870 419 524 209 | | 106 106 100 100 | 32 - 49 - | 5 8 16 12 | 10 - - 15 | |
| 95 95 95 95 | 120 120 115 115 115 | 30 30 35 35 35 | | 120 120 50 50 90 | 0.65 1.8 0.3 1 0.6 | 870 419 524 209 471 | | 106 106 100 100 103 | 32 49 | 5 8 16 12 5 | 10 - - 15 - | |

when starch is in granular powdery state and viscous dissipation, when material has begun melting, also makes difficult to separate heat and mechanical effects. Although this issue may be addressed by a convenient experimental design (Brümmer et al., 2002; Li, Hasjim, Xie, Halley, & Gilbert, 2014), the quantitative information provided is limited to the domain studied and the mechanisms still need to be ascertained.

The action of mechanical energy can be decoupled from heat dissipation by applying cryo-milling as performed by Dhital, Shrestha, Flanagan, Hasjim, and Gidley (2011), who showed that mechanical forces could disrupt crystalline structure without molecular degradation, whereas hammer milling could induce molecular degradation in the case of rice grain (Tran et al., 2011). However, the energy levels that caused granule fragmentation, still need to be quantified, in order to transpose these findings to starch melting under shear during extrusion. Using a capillary rheometer with pre-shearing to simulate extrusion, Barron, Buleon, Colonna, and Della Valle (2000) and Barron, Bouchet, Della Valle, Gallant, and Planchot (2001) have shown that shear forces could induce granule fragmentation and a partial loss of crystallinity, even for temperature lower than T_m , and for rather low *SME* levels (\geq 150 J g⁻¹). Moreover, all these works have shown that this conversion could also depend on starch botanical origin, because of distinct thermal transitions (melting temperature, glass transition) or composition (amylose/amylopectin ratio).

Based on these results, our main hypothesis is that starch melting

during extrusion, i.e. under shear, is mainly governed by the temperature of crystals melting, or T_m , whatever the way this temperature is reached, either by heat from the extruder barrel or by conversion of mechanical energy through solid friction between granules or viscous dissipation in the early molten part. Such hypothesis implies that starch melting in the extruder does not depend on starch botanical origin, once T_m differences are taken into account. It also leads to consider that granule fragmentation and crystal disruption do not play a major role in the phenomenon, and that macromolecular chain splitting could be limited.

So, the objective of our work was to ascertain the mechanisms of starch melting under extrusion conditions and determine how each structural level is impacted by testing this hypothesis. In this purpose, two different starches, smooth pea and potato, different in amylose content and granular structure were chosen and the role of melting temperature T_m was monitored, especially by different moisture settings.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Smooth pea and potato starches were supplied by Roquette Frères (Lestrem, France). Their initial moisture content (MC, total wet basis), respectively 12.9% and 15.6% for smooth pea and potato starch, was

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7783815

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7783815

Daneshyari.com