4th International Conference on Energy and Environment Research, ICEER 2017, 17-20 July 2017, Porto, Portugal

Evaluation of the uncertainty in the scheduling of a wind and storage power plant participating in day-ahead and reserve markets

Jose L. Crespo-Vazquez[a,*], C. Carrillo[a], E. Diaz-Dorado[a]

[a] Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Vigo, Campus Lagoas-Marcosende, 36310, Vigo, Spain

Abstract

Increase the participation of wind energy in the power market is of paramount importance to increase the penetration of wind energy in the power systems. To adress this issue, we propose a system consisting on a wind farm and a generic energy storage system and develop a deterministic model of such a plant participating in day-ahead and reserve markets used to decide the operation strategy of the system and to evaluate the cost of the uncertainty linked to several parameters of the model. The resulting model is a deterministic Mixed Integer Convex Program and is used to analyze a real-world case of a wind farm located in northwestern Spain.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd.
Peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of the 4th International Conference on Energy and Environment Research.

Keywords: Data uncertainty; integration renewable energy; mixed-integer convex program; reserve market; scheduling; storage; wind energy

1. Introduction

One way to overcome the drawbacks associated with the use of renewable energy resources is to use energy storage systems (ESS) to be able to manage the generation from renewable energy resources appropriately. In this framework, this work considers a wind farm (WF) as renewable energy source and a generic ESS. The resulting system will be a Wind and Storage Power Plant (W&SPP).

---

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34-986-813912 .
  E-mail address: jcrespo@uvigo.es

The traditional way to operate a system of this kind is to consider such a system participating in the day-ahead energy market (DAM) following the simplified strategy of buying energy during low price periods to be sold during peak hours. Several authors have reported the lack of economic feasibility for such a strategy[1], proposing a more comprehensive participation in the electrical markets instead. In particular, [2] shows that the participation of a pump-hydro energy system (PHES), which is a particular case of ESS, in the reserve market (RM) is critical to get economic feasibility. The advantages of operating jointly a wind farm and a pump hydro plant as ESS in the DAM are shown in [3]. Just a few papers have considered the modeling of RM in the short term scheduling problem of a wind farm[4]. For example, [5] models the participation of a hydro-pump power system in the RM without considering the uncertainty effects in the results. Several papers have studied the impact of the uncertainty of wind power availability in different kind of problems. [6] assesses the impact of the wind uncertainty on ESSs and thermal units schedule in Unit Commitment problem. Thus, the main contributoins of this paper are the following:

- Develop a deterministic model of a W&SPP participating in both DAM and RM. Imbalances and regulation capabilities both upwards and downwards are allowed in both modes of operation and in both markets.
- Evaluate the increase in the net income that a W&SPP can get by participating in the RM.
- Evaluate the cost of the uncertainty linked to several parameters in the model, mainly the wind power available and the requirements of regulation by the SO in the RM.

Nomenclature		Continuous Variables	
T	Number of periods under consideration	P_t^{wind}	Wind power actually used in time t (MW)
l_t	Duration of each period.	E_t^{ess}	Energy stored in the ESS in time t (MWh)
$\hat{P}_t^{wind}$	Wind power available in time t (MW)	$P_t^{ess,in}$	Power entering the ESS in time t (MW)
E_0^{ess}	Initial energy stored in the ESS (MWh)	$P_t^{ess,out}$	Power delivered by the ESS in time t (MW)
η_{in}	Charging efficiency of the ESS.	SOC_t	State of charge of ESS in time t
η_{out}	Discharging efficiency of the ESS.	P_t	Power to/from W&SPP in time t (MW)
$\bar{E}^{ess}$	Maximum energy stored in the ESS (MWh)	$\hat{P}_t^{dam}$	Power offered in the DAM (MW)
$\bar{P}^{ess}$	Maximum power to/from ESS (MWh)	P_t^{dam}	Power actually delivered/taken in time t in the DAM (MW)
SOC_t^{min}	Minimun state of charge allowed for the ESS		
β_t^{dam}	Energy price in the DAM (€/MWh)	Δ_t^{dam}	Unbalance in DAM (MW)
$\lambda_t^{dam,up}$	Energy price of deviation up (€/MWh)	$\Delta_t^{dam,up}$	Deviation up in DAM (MW)
$\lambda_t^{dam,dw}$	Energy price of deviation down (€/MWh)	$\Delta_t^{dam,dw}$	Deviation down in DAM (MW)
γ_t^{sm}	Price of power reserve (€/MW)	$\hat{P}_t^{sm}$	Power committed for RM (MW)
$\beta_t^{sm,up}$	Energy price of energy under regulation up(€/MWh)	$\hat{P}_t^{sm,up}$	Power committed for regulation up (MW)
$\beta_t^{sm,dw}$	Energy price of energy under regulation down(€/MWh)	$\hat{P}_t^{sm,dw}$	Power committed for regulation down (MW)
$\beta_{desv,t}^{sm,up}$	Energy price of energy if deviating under regulation up(€/MWh)	$\hat{E}_{t,reg}^{sm,up}$	Energy required by SO for reg. up (MWh)
$\beta_{desv,t}^{sm,dw}$	Energy price of energy if deviating under regulation down(€/MWh)	$\hat{E}_{t,reg}^{sm,dw}$	Energy required by SO for reg. down(MWh)
$\pi_t^{sm,up}$	Percentage of reserves up required by SO.	$E_t^{sm,up}$	Energy actually offered for reg. up (MWh)
$\pi_t^{sm,dw}$	Percentage of reserves down required by SO.	$E_t^{sm,dw}$	Energy actually offered for reg. down (MWh)
$R_t^{sm,up}$	Ratio between reserves up and total reserves	$D_t^{sm,up}$	Deviation in regulation up in RM
$\varphi_t^{dam}, \gamma_t^{dam}$	Parameters for convex model.	$D_t^{sm,dw}$	Deviation in regulation down in RM
		Binary Variables	
		u_t	1 if ESS is charging. 0 otherwise