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#### Abstract

We consider a gravitating system with triangular mass distribution that can be used as approximation of gravitational field for small irregular celestial bodies. In such system, the locations of equilibrium points, that is, the points where the gravitational forces are balanced, are analyzed. The goal is to find the mass distribution which provides equilibrium in a pre-assigned location near the triangular system, and to study the stability of this equilibrium.


## 1. Introduction

Due to constantly growing interest to exploration of small celestial bodies, the importance of modelling complex gravitational fields increases. In such modelling, approximation of small irregular celestial bodies by gravitating bodies with triangular mass distributions can be used, making the dynamics analysis for triangular bodies especially relevant (see, e.g., [9,10,17,19-22]).

An important property of a complex non-central gravitational field is possible existence of critical points in which the gravitational forces are balanced; such positions are called equilibria. One can consider both direct and inverse problems for existence of such equilibria. Within the framework of the direct problem, the mass distribution is given, and the equilibria are to be found. In Ref. [14] non-trivial critical points of the gravitational field are found for a regular triangle with equal masses in its vertices (see also [2,12,13]). When the degree of instability of the central solution in the planar problem is equal to two, and the gravitational potential at this point reaches a local maximum, the three detected non-trivial equilibria are of the saddle type with degrees of instability equal to one. For the inverse problem, the purpose is to find the mass distributions that correspond to a pre-assigned equilibrium.

In the present paper, the inverse equilibrium problem is discussed. The mass distributions for a triangular rigid body are found depending on the location of the equilibrium points; stability of these equilibria is studied.

## 2. Statement of the problem

Consider three homogeneous balls $\mathscr{S}_{1}, \mathscr{S}_{2}$, and $\mathscr{S}_{3}$, defined by their centres $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$, and $Q_{3}$, fixed in the absolute space, radii $R_{1}, R_{2}$, and $R_{3}$, and masses $m_{1}, m_{2}$, and $m_{3}$ respectively; the balls don't intersect each other. The points $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$, and $Q_{3}$ belong to the plane $\Pi$ which is a symmetry plane of the system. The point $Q$ of mass $m$ moves in the gravitational field of the above balls. Denote
$\mathbf{r}_{i}=\overrightarrow{Q_{i} Q}, \quad \rho_{i}=\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}, \mathbf{r}_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad M=m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3} \neq 0, \quad \mu_{i}=m_{i} / M$,
then the potential energy of Newtonian attraction reads
$U_{G}=m M G U, \quad U=U_{1}+U_{2}+U_{3}$,
$U_{i}=\mu_{i} U_{i}^{\prime}, \quad U_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}-\frac{1}{\rho_{i}}, & \rho_{i} \geq R_{i} \\ \frac{\rho_{i}^{2}-3 R_{i}^{2}}{2 R_{i}^{3}}, & \rho_{i}<R_{i}\end{array}\right.$
The first expression in (2) corresponds to the location of the point $Q$ outside the ball $\mathscr{S}_{i}$; the second one corresponds to the position of $Q$ inside $\mathscr{G}_{i}$ (Fig. 1).

At first glance, examination of motion inside a body makes little sense; however "penetrable" bodies are used for modelling of dust clouds
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Fig. 1. Gravitational potential of a penetrable homogeneous ball (2).
and galaxies (see, e.g. [8]).
To study existence and stability of equilibria, the first and second derivatives of functions (2) have to be calculated. Let $O z x y$ be a reference frame (RF) fixed in the triangle; the plane $O z x$ coincides with the plane $\Pi$ Then
$\overrightarrow{O Q}_{i}=\mathbf{q}_{i}=\left(z_{i}, x_{i}, 0\right)^{T}, \quad \overrightarrow{O Q}=\mathbf{q}=(z, x, y)^{T}$,
and the expressions for derivatives are
$\frac{\partial U_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial z}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{z-z_{i}}{\rho_{i}^{3}}, & \rho_{i} \geq R_{i} \\ \frac{z-z_{i}}{R_{i}^{3}}, & \rho_{i}<R_{i}\end{array} \quad(z, x, y)\right.$
$\frac{\partial^{2} U_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial z^{2}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{\rho_{i}^{2}-3\left(z-z_{i}\right)^{2}}{\rho_{i}^{5}}, & \rho_{i} \geq R_{i} & \\ \frac{1}{R_{i}^{3}}, & \rho_{i}<R_{i} & (z, x, y)\end{array}\right.$
$\frac{\partial^{2} U_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial z \partial x}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}-3 \frac{\left(z-z_{i}\right)\left(x-x_{i}\right)}{\rho_{i}^{5}}, & \rho_{i} \geq R_{i} & (z, x, y) \\ 0, & \rho_{i}<R_{i}\end{array}\right.$
where notation $(z, x, y)$ denotes cyclical substitution of symbols $z, x$ and $y$.

Using (3), equilibria are found from the following system
$\frac{\partial U}{\partial p}=0, \quad p \in\{z, x, y\}$.
Since $\Pi$ is the plane of symmetry, the third equation of system (6) possesses solution $y=0$. Later on, we consider only these solutions $(z, x$, 0 ); they are located in the plane П. Taking into account the first and the second equations from (6), one can write down equilibrium equations as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=0, \quad \mu_{1} \frac{\partial U_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial p}+\mu_{2} \frac{\partial U_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial p}+\mu_{3} \frac{\partial U_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial p}=0 \quad p \in\{z, x\} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Solution to the inverse problem

Let us choose a point $Q_{0}\left(z_{0}, x_{0}, 0\right)$ on the plane $\Pi$ and find mass parameters $\mu_{i}, i=1,2,3$, such as $Q_{0}$ is an equilibrium. System (7) together with relation
$\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+\mu_{3}=1$
is linear with respect to $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}$. Using Cramer's rule, the solution of this system can be represented as
$\mu_{i}=\frac{D_{i}}{D}, \quad i=1,2,3$
$D_{1}=\frac{\partial U_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial z} \frac{\partial U_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial U_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial z} \quad(1,2,3)$
$D=\frac{\partial U_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\partial U_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial U_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial U_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\partial U_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial U_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial U_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\partial U_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial U_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial x}\right)$,
where $(1,2,3)$ denotes cyclical substitution of the respective indices. If $D \neq 0$, the solution of the inverse problem is found: for mass parameters $\mu_{i}, i=1,2,3$ from (9) $Q_{0}=\left(z_{0}, x_{0}, 0\right)$ is an equilibrium. Meanwhile, the sign of $\mu_{i}, i=1,2,3$ is not necessarily positive. For instance, if one assumes that the radii of the balls are very small, then, to provide equilibria at points located outside the triangle $Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3}$, some negative masses are required, which might appear strange. However, negative masses are applied in modelling of gravitational fields for bodies of complex shape, for example, to compensate for cavities or some volumes counted twice (see, e.g. [1]). Moreover, mechanics of systems with negative masses, both gravitational and inert, is the subject of active study in modern celestial mechanics [3-5,15] and physics [18].

## 4. Degree of instability

The degree of instability for the above equilibria can be found by examination of signs for the eigenvalues of Hessian for potential (1) (see, e.g. $[6,11,16])$. The respective characteristic polynomial reads
$P^{\prime}(\sigma)=-\sigma^{3}+p_{1} \sigma^{2}-p_{2} \sigma+p_{3}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}U_{z z}-\sigma & U_{z x} & U_{z y} \\ U_{x z} & U_{x x}-\sigma & U_{x y} \\ U_{y z} & U_{y x} & U_{y y}-\sigma\end{array}\right)$.

Here $U_{s p}=\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\delta s p,} s, p \in\{z, x, y\}$; the derivatives are calculated on the intended equilibrium $Q_{0}=\left(z_{0}, x_{0}, 0\right)$.

Calculations show that for $y=0$ some derivatives vanish, namely, $U_{z y}=U_{y z}=U_{x y}=U_{y x}=0$, and the polynomial $P^{\prime}(\sigma)$ takes the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
P^{\prime}(\sigma)=\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma\right) P_{2}(\sigma), \\
P_{2}(\sigma)=\sigma^{2}-c_{1} \sigma+c_{2},  \tag{11}\\
\sigma_{1}=U_{y y}
\end{gather*}
$$

$c_{1}=U_{x x}+U_{z z}, \quad c_{2}=U_{x x} U_{z z}-U_{z x} U_{x z}$.
Taking into account expressions (4), the value $\sigma_{1}=U_{y y}$ in the expanded form can be written as
$\sigma_{1}= \begin{cases}\frac{\mu_{1}}{\rho_{1}^{3}}+\frac{\mu_{2}}{\rho_{2}^{3}}+\frac{\mu_{3}}{\rho_{3}^{3}}, & \rho_{i} \geq R_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3, \\ \frac{\mu_{1}}{R_{1}^{3}}+\frac{\mu_{2}}{\rho_{2}^{3}}+\frac{\mu_{3}}{\rho_{3}^{3}}, & \rho_{1}<R_{1}, \\ \frac{\mu_{1}}{\rho_{1}^{3}}+\frac{\mu_{2}}{R_{2}^{3}}+\frac{\mu_{3}}{\rho_{3}^{3}}, & \rho_{2}<R_{2}, \\ \frac{\mu_{1}}{\rho_{1}^{3}}+\frac{\mu_{2}}{\rho_{2}^{3}}+\frac{\mu_{3}}{R_{3}^{3}}, & \rho_{3}<R_{3},\end{cases}$
If $\mu_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2,3$, the value of $\sigma_{1}$ is non-negative. Thus, the problem of studying the degree of instability is reduced to investigation of signs $\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$ of the polynomial $P_{2}(\sigma)$. Note, that
$\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{3}=U_{y y}+U_{x x}+U_{z z}$.
This sum is always zero outside the balls, so there are always values of opposite signs among $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$, and $\sigma_{3}$. Therefore, the equilibrium is unstable ("Earnshaw's theorem" [7]), and the degree of instability $\chi$ is either one or two: $\chi=1$ or $\chi=2$. (Note that inside any of the balls this is not the case.)

Let us consider the equilibrium points outside the balls. Assume $\sigma_{1}>0$. Then
$c_{1}=\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{3}<0$,
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