Accepted Manuscript

Greenhouse gas emissions related to biodiesel from traditional soybean farming compared to integrated crop-livestock systems

Elisa Maria Mano Esteves, Victor Paulo Peçanha Esteves, Davi José Bungenstab, Ofélia de Queiroz Fernandes Araújo, Cláudia do Rosário Vaz Morgado

Production

PII: S0959-6526(17)33256-0

DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.262

Reference: JCLP 11657

To appear in: Journal of Cleaner Production

Received Date: 5 January 2017

Revised Date: 16 November 2017 Accepted Date: 29 December 2017

Please cite this article as: Esteves EMM, Esteves VictorPauloPeç, Bungenstab DaviJosé, Araújo OféQueirozFernandes, Morgado CláRosáVaz, Greenhouse gas emissions related to biodiesel from traditional soybean farming compared to integrated crop-livestock systems, *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.262.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

8592 words from Introduction to Conclusions

Greenhouse gas emissions related to biodiesel from traditional soybean farming compared to integrated crop-livestock systems

Elisa Maria Mano Esteves ^{a,*}, Victor Paulo Peçanha Esteves ^b, Davi José Bungenstab ^c, Ofélia de Queiroz Fernandes Araújo ^b, Cláudia do Rosário Vaz Morgado ^a

E-mail address: elisa.esteves@poli.ufrj.br.

Highlights

- Life cycle assessment of soybean biodiesel in Central Brazil was based on primary data
- Emissions assessment from different integrated farming systems was carried out
- Sub-process division, system expansion and allocation were used to apportion emissions
- More frequent rotation (crop/livestock) and types of management affect emissions
- Integrated farming systems optimize land use with crops recovering degraded pastures

Abstract

Biodiesel has great potential to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, as an alternative to fossil diesel. However, its production occurs under different agricultural systems, with different levels of emissions in the farming phase. Integrated crop-livestock systems can play an important role in this sense, since they combine livestock with crop farming, optimizing land and input usage, with good potential to reduce total emissions from energy and food agriculture. This study compares integrated crop-livestock systems with traditional soybean farming systems regarding biodiesel production, through life-cycle assessment. Additionally, it compares different integrated crop-livestock systems in Central Brazil, to evaluate their impact regarding greenhouse gas emissions. The life cycle assessment performed adopts two approaches to apportion the farming phase emissions (sub-process division and system expansion), as well as two functional units (emissions per hectare and per kilogram of biodiesel). The system expansion approach appears to be the most suitable because the studied agropastoral systems have strong reciprocal relationship and exchange of benefits among the different farming activities. This approach also considers co-products as avoided products, showing that the whole integrated system is environmentally more attractive due to negative emissions. When analyzing only biodiesel production, results show no substantial difference between traditional and integrated systems. Therefore, the factors with the greatest impact on biodiesel production, concerning GHG emissions, are the frequency of rotation (pasture/crop) and type of management in the agricultural system.

Keywords

Biodiesel, Soybean, Greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions, Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), Integrated Croplivestock system (ICLS).

^aEnvironmental Engineering Program (PEA), Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

^bProgram in Chemical and Biochemical Process Technology (TPQB), Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

^cNational Center for Beef Cattle Research (CNPGC), Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation (Embrapa), Campo Grande, MS. Brazil

^{*}Corresponding author Tel.: +55 21 3938 8097.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8098150

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8098150

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>