

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/rser



Performance of pavements incorporating waste glass: The current state of the art



Ali Jamshidi ^{a,*}, Kiyofumi Kurumisawa ^b, Toyoharu Nawa ^b, Toshifumi Igarashi ^c

- ^a Japan Society for the Promotion of the Science (JSPS), Sustainable Eco-Material Laboratory Faculty of Engineering Hokkaido University, Kita 13, Nishi 8, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8628, Japan
- b Sustainable Eco-Material Laboratory, Faculty of Engineering Hokkaido University, Kita 13, Nishi 8, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8628, Japan
- ^c Faculty of Engineering Hokkaido University, Kita 13, Nishi 8, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8628, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 November 2015 Received in revised form 25 March 2016 Accepted 15 June 2016

Keywords:
Asphalt pavement
Concrete pavement
Concrete block pavement
Glass cullet
Sustainable production
Energy efficiency
European Horizon 2020

ABSTRACT

This paper discusses the engineering properties of waste glass (WG), including its structural and environmental performance, as an alternative material for the construction of various types of paving systems, including asphalt, concrete, and block pavements. As a first step, an overview of the asphalt, cement, and glass manufacturing industries is provided. Then, a large volume of data is analyzed from various sources in the literature. Particular emphasis is given to laboratory studies of the structural performance and durability of WG. Additionally, the effect of WG on the field performance of the pavements is discussed. The sustainability of the pavements is also evaluated in terms of energy consumption, greenhouse gas emissions, safety, and the heat island phenomenon. In conclusion, the use of WG can improve various phases of pavement life and structure by enhancing the structural performance, durability, environmental friendliness, and aesthetic features of pavements.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1.	Introd	ductionduction	212
2.	Backg	ground	213
	2.1.	Overview of asphalt industry	213
	2.2.	Overview of cement industry	214
	2.3.	Overview of glass industry and WG	215
3.	Variou	us phases of pavement life	217
4.		I: asphalt pavement	
	4.1.	Application of WG in asphalt pavement	
	4.2.	Mix design	
	4.3.	Structural performance	218
		4.3.1. Rutting	
		4.3.2. Fatigue	
	4.4.	Durability	
		4.4.1. Aging	. 218
		4.4.2. Moisture sensitivity	
		4.4.3. Permeability	
	4.5.	Eco-paving characteristics	
5.	Type	II: concrete pavements	
-	5.1.	Characterization of hydration of WG	
		Application of WG in concrete pavement.	

E-mail addresses: Alij_ep@yahoo.com, alijamshidi@eng.hokudai.ac.jp (A. Jamshidi), kurumi@eng.hokudai.ac.jp (K. Kurumisawa), nawa@eng.hokudai.ac.jp (T. Nawa), tosifumi@eng.hokudai.ac.jp (T. Igarashi).

^{*} Corresponding author.

	5.3.	Structural performance	220	
	5.4.	Durability	222	
		5.4.1. Alkali-silica reaction	222	
		5.4.2. Shrinkage	222	
		5.4.3. Workability	222	
		5.4.4. Thermal characteristics	223	
		5.4.5. Use of WG as raw material for cement production	224	
6.	Type I	III: concrete block pavement (CBP)	224	
	6.1.	Structural performance		
	6.2.	ASR	225	
	6.3.	Eco-CBP	226	
	6.4.	WG as alternative for joint and bedding material	226	
	6.5.	Aesthetic features	226	
7.	Use of	f WG in base, sub-base, and subgrade layers	226	
8.		investigation		
9.	Energ	gy analysis, environmental impact assessment, and safety	228	
10.	Concli	usion	229	
11.	Type l	I: asphalt pavement	229	
12.	Type l	II: concrete pavement.	229	
13.	Type I	III: CBP	229	
14.	Sugge	estions for further research.	230	
	14.1.	Asphalt pavements	230	
	14.2.	Concrete pavements	230	
	14.3.	CBP	231	
	14.4.	All pavement types	231	
	14.5.	Environmental management policy		
	14.6.	Public training and motivation .		
	14.7.	Miscellaneous	232	
Ack	nowled	dgment	232	
References				

1. Introduction

The volatile carbon-based energy carrier market, growing competition, and global commitments to the reduction of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions have brought about the recognition of infrastructure assets and construction technology as untapped opportunities. Energy efficiency, which covers sound plant-wide energy management practices associated with environmental management and protection strategies, offers additional advantages, as do sustainable design and construction based on reclaim, recycle, reuse, and reduce (4R) policies. Therefore, infrastructure assets and industrial products should fall under at least one of the 4R policies, as a minimum requirement, or preferably all of them under ideal conditions, leading to significant improvements in efficiency and productivity. For example, a 90% reduction in energy and material consumption produces a tenfold increase in resource productivity [1].

One of the largest demands by human society is the transportation system, supported by the most important transportation infrastructure assets, which can be considered to be the backbone of socio-economic development. However, more energy-efficient and sustainable transportation infrastructure assets are required as integral parts of a sustainable society. To meet this requirement, many advanced technologies have been developed. For example, the entire fuselage and most of the wings of the Boeing 787 Dreamliner are built from carbon fiber-reinforced plastics, which is four times more than the Boeing 777. This makes the Boeing 787 lighter, resulting in a 20% savings in jet fuel and air emissions, which is fully in harmony with green design [2]. As another example, the amount of energy consumption per seat on the newgeneration Japanese high-speed bullet train, Shinkansen, is 12.5% that of a Boeing 777, indicating its great environmental superiority [3]. The construction, maintenance, and rehabilitation of infrastructure assets depend on non-renewable natural resources.

There are also constant efforts to fulfill the requirements of 4R policies for infrastructure assets. Among the many transportation infrastructure assets and accessories, pavements are among the most valuable, because many materials supplied from non-renewable natural resources are used for their construction. One approach to complying with 4R policies is the use of waste materials in pavement. Thus, there are an increasing number of ecological, economic, and engineering incentives for using waste materials in pavement construction, including the following [4–6]:

- (i) A shortage of natural aggregate material resources,
- (ii) High cost of landfill,
- (iii) Commitment to the environment,
- (iv) Resource conservation,
- (v) Availability,
- (vi) Political pressure,
- (vii) Environmental safety,
- (viii) Efficient use of materials, and.
- (ix) Increased productivity.

Under such circumstances, the use of waste material under 4R policies provides economic value because using waste materials as raw materials increases the recycling rate. The role of 4R policies is pronounced when the amount of waste materials generated is considered. In 2000, global mining activity produced 6 Gt of mine wastes, compared with only 900 Mt of raw materials [7], which means that 1.5 t of waste material was generated in the production of 1 t of raw material. This amount of waste material is a concern when considering that approximately 60 Gt of raw materials was used annually across the globe in the 20th century. As a result of the large amount of waste material that has been produced, it is essential to utilize well-run sustainable construction programs to solve the growing waste disposal crisis.

Furthermore, sustainability and environmental stewardship are now in a conceptual stage as part of pavement analysis, design, and construction, because there are various analytical methods

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8112988

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8112988

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>