Physics Letters B ••• (••••) •••-•••



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B



www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

Components of polarization-transfer to a bound proton in a deuteron measured by quasi-elastic electron scattering

A1 Collaboration

D. Izraeli^a,*, I. Yaron^a, B.S. Schlimme^b, P. Achenbach^b, H. Arenhövel^b, A. Ashkenazi^a, J. Beričič^c, R. Böhm^b, D. Bosnar^d, E.O. Cohen^a, M.O. Distler^b, A. Esser^b, I. Friščić^{d,1}, R. Gilman^e, I. Korover^{a,f}, J. Lichtenstadt^a, I. Mardor^{a,g}, H. Merkel^b, D.G. Middleton^b, M. Mihovilovič^{c,b}, U. Müller^b, M. Olivenboim^a, E. Piasetzky^a, J. Pochodzalla^b, G. Ron^h, M. Schoth^b, F. Schulz^b, C. Sfienti^b, S. Širca^{i,c}, S. Štajner^c, S. Strauch^j, M. Thiel^b, A. Tyukin^b, A. Weber^b

^c Jožef Stefan Institute, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

^d Department of Physics, University of Zagreb, HR-10002 Zagreb, Croatia

^e Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08855, USA

^f Department of Physics, NRCN, P.O. Box 9001, Beer-Sheva 84190, Israel

^g Soreg NRC, Yavne 81800, Israel

^h Racah Institute of Physics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

ⁱ Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

^j University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 January 2018 Received in revised form 28 February 2018 Accepted 22 March 2018 Available online xxxx Editor: V. Metag

ABSTRACT

We report the first measurements of the transverse (P_x and P_y) and longitudinal (P_z) components of the polarization transfer to a bound proton in the deuteron via the ${}^2\text{H}(\vec{e},e'\vec{p})$ reaction, over a wide range of missing momentum. A precise determination of the electron beam polarization reduces the systematic uncertainties on the individual components to a level that enables a detailed comparison to a state-of-the-art calculation of the deuteron using free-proton electromagnetic form factors. We observe very good agreement between the measured and the calculated P_x/P_z ratios, but deviations of the individual components. Our results cannot be explained by medium modified electromagnetic form factors. They point to an incomplete description of the nuclear reaction mechanism in the calculation.

© 2018 The Author. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

were claimed to favor medium-modified proton form factors or

loosely bound nucleons. Even though it is often used as a 'free

neutron' target, measurements on the bound proton in ²H in quasi-

elastic kinematics are known to have marked differences in com-

parison to those on a free proton [5]. Such differences can be

ascribed to final state interactions (FSI) and other nuclear effects

in the deuteron like meson exchange currents (MEC) and isobar

configurations (IC), as well as to nuclear medium modifications of

the bound proton electromagnetic form factors (FFs). Since most of

the properties of the deuteron are described very well by calcula-

tions, it serves also as a benchmark for nuclear theory. As such it is

The deuteron (^{2}H) is the simplest nuclear system, with two

spin-dependent charge-exchange final-state interaction [2-4].

Measurements of the polarization transfer $\vec{P} = (P_x, P_y, P_z)$ from a polarized electron to a bound nucleon by the $A(\vec{e}, e'\vec{p})$ reaction and their comparison to those of a free proton were suggested as a powerful tool to observe modifications in the bound proton structure [1]. These require detailed calculations incorporating nuclear effects and overcoming a difficulty of separating nuclear effects from internal nucleon structure changes. Measurements on ⁴He compared to relativistic distorted-wave calculations

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: davidizraeli@post.tau.ac.il (D. Izraeli).

¹ Present address: MIT-LNS, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.03.063

 ^{64 0370-2693/© 2018} The Author. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by
65 SCOAP³.

18

21

31

2

JID:PLB AID:33679 /SCO Doctopic: Experiments

A1 Collaboration / Physics Letters B $\bullet \bullet \bullet$ ($\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$) $\bullet \bullet \bullet - \bullet \bullet \bullet$

ARTICLE IN PR

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101



Fig. 1. The kinematics for quasi-elastic scattering of a bound proton in a nucleus, defining the scattering and reaction planes.

important to establish that present state-of-the-art calculations indeed provide a correct description of the deuteron. Thus, new high precision polarization transfer data can add important information to further test and improve the calculations, since polarization observables generally provide more sensitive tests.

19 Measurements of the ratio of the polarization transfer com-20 ponents P_x to P_z (P_x/P_z) to a bound proton in ${}^2\text{H}(\vec{e}, e'\vec{p})$ over a wide region of the proton missing momentum were reported 22 in [6]. Measuring the ratio, instead of the individual components, 23 eliminates many systematic experimental uncertainties, particu-24 larly those due to electron beam polarization, and is sensitive to 25 the FF ratio. The theoretical calculation [7] that uses free proton 26 FFs reproduced very well the observed deviations from the free 27 proton ratio, suggesting that they stem mainly from FSI. However, 28 while the ratio of the polarization transfer components is sensitive 29 (almost linearly) to the electromagnetic FFs ratio G_F/G_M , some nu-30 clear effects may cancel out in the ratio. The measured individual polarization transfer components provide a more stringent test of 32 the calculation.

33 Moreover, it was shown that the deviations of P_x/P_z measured 34 on a bound proton in ²H from that on a free proton, were in 35 very good agreement with similar measurements on heavier nu-36 clei, when using the proton virtuality as a universal parameter for 37 these comparisons [8]. Such comparisons are improved by relating 38 the data of each nucleus to a realistic model of the deuteron data, 39 a process that requires the knowledge of the individual polariza-40 tion transfer components [9]. We introduce such a model below.

41 In this work we report a new analysis of the ${}^{2}H(\vec{e},e'\vec{p})$ reaction 42 measured at the Mainz Microtron (MAMI) over a wide range of missing momentum of the struck proton. Results of the polariza-43 44 tion transfer ratio P_x/P_z deduced from these measurements were 45 reported in [6]. In the new analysis, the beam polarization that 46 was measured periodically during the experiment was determined 47 in a continuous manner. The achieved accuracy was sufficient for 48 extracting the individual polarization transfer components P_x , P_y 49 and P_{τ} , with a precision that can challenge theory.

50 The kinematics for the quasi-free elastic scattering off a bound 51 nucleon is shown in Fig. 1. The reaction plane is determined by the 52 momentum transfer (\vec{q}) and the outgoing proton momentum (\vec{p}_p), 53 characterized by the spherical angles θ_{pq} and ϕ_{pq} . The incident and 54 scattered electron momenta that define the scattering plane are in-55 dicated by \vec{k} and $\vec{k'}$. The initial and outgoing proton momenta are 56 indicated by \vec{p}_i and \vec{p}_p , respectively. The missing momentum is 57 $\vec{p}_{\text{miss}} = \vec{q} - \vec{p}_p$. The missing momentum (p_{miss}) is taken to be pos-58 itive (negative) if a component of \vec{p}_{miss} is parallel (anti-parallel) to 59 the momentum-transfer vector. In the impulse approximation with 60 no FSI one has $\vec{p}_{miss} = -\vec{p}_i$. Following the convention of [6,8] the 61 polarization components reported here are perpendicular to the 62 scattering plane (\hat{y}) and in the scattering plane along (\hat{z}) and per-63 pendicular (\hat{x}) to \vec{q} .

64 The experiment was performed on the MAMI A1 beam line us-65 ing a liquid deuterium target and two of the A1 high-resolution spectrometers [10]. Polarized continuous wave (CW) electron beams of 600 MeV and 630 MeV were used with currents of 10 µA. The target was an oblong cell (50 mm long, 11.5 mm in diameter) filled with liquid deuterium. The spectrometers have momentum acceptances of 20-25% with solid angles of 28 msr and were used to detect the scattered electrons and the knocked out protons in coincidence. The proton spectrometer was equipped with a so called "focal-plane polarimeter" (FPP) placed behind its focal-plane, with a 7 cm thick carbon analyzer [10,11]. The spin dependent scattering of the polarized proton by the carbon analyzer enabled the determination of the transverse polarization components at the focal plane [11]. The polarization transfer components at the reaction point were obtained by correcting the measured components for the spin precession in the magnetic field of the spectrometer [11].

The measurements covered two Q² ranges and two beam energies, in order to span a wide range of p_{miss} . For further details see [12].

The beam polarization at MAMI is obtained by using strained GaAs photocathodes. The beam polarization increases throughout the usage of the single cathode, due to the decrease of its quantum efficiency [13,14]. The beam polarization was measured daily using a Møller polarimeter located upstream of the target cell [15.16]. The measurements during the three weeks of the experimental run are shown in Fig. 2, where the slow increase in time is clearly seen. The statistical uncertainty on each measurement is about 1.5%, but there is an overall systematic uncertainty of a few percent, due to the calibration of the detectors in the Møller polarimeter. These measurements were fitted by a linear function ($\chi^2/ndf = 60.2/61$).

In addition to the Møller measurements, the beam polarization was measured for each beam energy by Mott scattering [17]. The Mott and Møller measurements are consistent (see Fig. 2).

To determine the overall normalization of the beam polarization and the analyzing power, we use measurements of the polarization transfer to a free proton by ${}^{1}H(\vec{e}, e'\vec{p})$, which were performed in the beginning of the run (indicated by the red time interval in Fig. 2) at $Q^2 = 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$. Assuming the FF ratio G_E/G_M for a free proton from the parameterization of [18] (estimated uncertainty of 0.5%), we deduced the beam polarization from the polarization transfer for these measurements. The resultant overall normalization was 1.00 ± 0.01 . The uncertainty is dominated by the statistical uncertainty of our hydrogen measurements. The band in Fig. 2 shows the overall uncertainty of the beam polarization.

In the analysis of the polarization components, cuts were applied to identify coincident electrons and protons that originate from the deuterium target, and to ensure good reconstruction of tracks in the spectrometers and the FPP. Only events that scatter by more than 10° in the FPP were selected (to remove Coulomb scattering events in the FPP carbon analyzer). For each event we used the beam polarization obtained from the time-dependence fit.

Time-independent corrections to the polarization transfer measurements (acceptance, detector efficiency, target density, etc.) are largely canceled out by the frequent flips of beam helicity. Contributions to the systematic uncertainty due to the carbon analyzing power and FPP efficiency are well below the statistical uncertainty. The total systematic uncertainties in P_x and P_z are estimated to be about 2% and are due to beam polarization uncertainty (canceled in the P_x/P_z ratio) and the reaction vertex reconstruction 127 (which dominates both the momentum resolutions and the spin-128 precession evaluation). The systematic uncertainty on P_y is esti-129 130 mated to be comparable to the statistical one.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8186482

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8186482

Daneshyari.com