ARTICLE IN PRESS

METHODS

Methods xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Methods

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ymeth

Transcriptome-wide measurement of ribosomal occupancy by ribosome profiling

Florian Aeschimann^a, Jieyi Xiong^b, Andreas Arnold^a, Christoph Dieterich^{b,*}, Helge Grosshans^{a,*}

^a Friedrich Miescher Institute for Biomedical Research, Maulbeerstrasse 66, 4058 Basel, Switzerland ^b Max Planck Institute for Biology of Ageing, Joseph-Stelzmann-Straße 9b, 50931 Cologne, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

2 3
14 Article history:
15 Received 16 February 2015
16 Received in revised form 10 June 2015
17 Accepted 15 June 2015
18 Available online xxxx
19 Keywords:

- 20 Ribosome profiling
- 21 Translation
- 22 Translational control
- 23 Post-transcriptional regulation
- 24 Polysome profiling
- 25 Caenorhabditis elegans

ABSTRACT

Gene expression profiling provides a tool to analyze the internal states of cells or organisms, and their responses to perturbations. While global measurements of mRNA levels have thus been widely used for many years, it is only through the recent development of the ribosome profiling technique that an analogous examination of global mRNA translation programs has become possible. Ribosome profiling reveals which RNAs are being translated to what extent and where the translated open reading frames are located. In addition, different modes of translation regulation can be distinguished and characterized. Here, we present an optimized, step-by-step protocol for ribosome profiling. Although established in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, our protocol and optimization approaches should be equally usable for other model organisms or cell culture with little adaptation. Next to providing a protocol, we compare two different methods for isolation of single ribosomes and two different library preparations, and describe strategies to optimize the RNase digest and to reduce ribosomal RNA contamination in the libraries. Moreover, we discuss bioinformatic strategies to evaluate the quality of the data and explain how the data can be analyzed for different applications. In sum, this article seeks to facilitate the understanding, execution, and optimization of ribosome profiling experiments.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc.

45

5 6

3

9

46 **1. Introduction**

47 Microarrays and RNA sequencing methods are powerful tools to profile gene expression at the level of transcript abundance. 48 However, mRNAs can also be regulated at the level of translation, 49 leading to higher or lower amounts of protein than estimated from 50 the mRNA level. A more accurate measure of gene expression thus 51 52 requires knowledge of a gene's output at the protein level. In principle, this can be obtained by mass spectrometry. However, despite 53 rapid advances [1], mass spectrometry technology does not cur-54 rently allow the measurement of all the proteins of a cell. By con-55 trast, the recent development of the ribosome profiling technique 56 [2] has enabled global determination of the translational activity 57 of RNAs to the depth and precision of RNA sequencing experi-58 ments. This approach can thus improve our understanding of the 59 60 gene expression status of a cell and reveal specifically which genes 61 are post-transcriptionally regulated at the level of translation, providing a basis for studying the molecular mechanisms of transla-62 tional control in living cells. Here, in addition to providing a 63

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2015.06.013 1046-2023/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc. detailed protocol for ribosome profiling and its optimization, we seek to give an overview of some of the scientific questions that can be addressed by this technique, as well as some alternative approaches. It should be emphasized that ribosome profiling is intrinsically limited to measurements of translation rates and thus cannot be used to determine the actual protein levels in a cell, since these are the result of both protein production and turnover rate.

The number of ribosomes that cover a cellular mRNA has long been considered a proxy of its translational efficiency. Before the introduction of ribosome profiling, ribosome coverage was usually analyzed through so-called polysome profiling experiments. After treatment with cycloheximide, a chemical that arrests ribosomes during translation elongation [3,4], lysates are fractionated by ultracentrifugation in linear sucrose density gradients. These gradients separate mRNAs bound by multiple ribosomes (polysomes) in heavier fractions from mRNAs bound by fewer or single ribosomes (monosomes) in lighter fractions. RNA isolation of the different fractions allows the quantification of mRNAs associated with different ribosomal populations by reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) or Northern blotting. Translational efficiency can then be approximated by calculating the percentage of the mRNA associated with polysomes or

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

28

29

30

31

32

^{*} Corresponding authors. *E-mail addresses:* christoph.dieterich@age.mpg.de (C. Dieterich), helge.grosshans@fmi.ch (H. Grosshans).

2

F. Aeschimann et al./Methods xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

87 by estimating the ribosome occupancy of the mRNA, i.e. how many 88 ribosomes are bound to this mRNA species on average. By subject-89 ing the RNA from different fractions of such a sucrose gradient to 90 microarrays or RNA sequencing, a more global picture of transla-91 tional activity can be obtained [5–9]. Nevertheless, with polysome 92 profiling experiments, ribosome occupancy can be quantified with 93 only limited accuracy, because good separation among mRNAs 94 bound to distinct numbers of ribosomes by sucrose gradient 95 centrifugation is only possible for mRNAs associated with few 96 $(\leq 5-6)$ ribosomes. Additionally, high density RNP complexes, ter-97 med pseudo-polysomes in one instance [10], can contaminate 98 polysomal fractions. Since polysome profiling experiments also do not provide any information on the position of the ribosomes 99 on the mRNA, they cannot distinguish between ribosomes translat-100 101 ing the main open reading frame (ORF) and those located at 102 upstream open reading frames (uORFs). This is problematic, 103 because ribosomes initiating at uORFs are not translating the gene 104 product that is quantified and often even prevent ribosomes from 105 translating the main ORF [11].

A more accurate and global quantification of each gene's aver-106 107 age ribosome occupancy can be achieved using the ribosome pro-108 filing technique. Since its first description in 2009 [2], this method has been used in a multitude of experimental systems and model 109 organisms [12]. In a ribosome profiling experiment, the mRNA 110 111 regions bound by ribosomes at the time point of analysis can be 112 sequenced, mapped and quantified (Fig. 1). After treatment with 113 cycloheximide, lysates are incubated with an unspecific RNA 114 endonuclease. Whereas this will cause degradation of 'naked', 115 unstructured mRNA, cycloheximide-arrested ribosomes will phys-116 ically protect the small mRNA fragments to which they are bound 117 [13]. These surviving mRNA fragments of 28–30 nucleotides are 118 termed ribosome-protected fragments (RPFs). As polysomes will 119 be separated into individual monosomes during the digest, each 120 RPF will occur in a single ribosome. These monosomes can be puri-121 fied from the rest of the digested lysate by taking advantage of 122 either their high density (sucrose gradients, sucrose cushions) or 123 their large size (size-exclusion chromatography). After RNA extrac-124 tion from the purified monosomes, the RPFs are separated from 125 other RNAs by size using gel purification, ligated to adapters, 126 reverse transcribed, amplified, and sequenced. In parallel to the 127 RPFs, an aliquot of RNA from the undigested lysate is sequenced 128 to determine mRNA abundance levels. Division of the normalized RPF counts by the normalized RNA sequencing counts mapping 129 130 to a specific mRNA allows the calculation of ribosome occupancy levels. Similarly to polysome profiling experiments, the ribosome 131 132 occupancy of an mRNA serves as a proxy for its translational effi-133 ciency. In order to use ribosome occupancies for comparing trans-134 lational efficiencies of RNAs, the speed of translation has to be 135 similar among ribosomes on these RNAs. This criterion is often 136 met when the same transcript is examined under different biolog-137 ical or experimental conditions, so that ribosome occupancy will be a good measure of differential translation of a given mRNA. 138 However, some experimental conditions such as cold shock [14] 139 can change the speed of elongation. Therefore, although it is usu-140 ally presumed that the speed of elongation is the same between 141 two conditions under test, it should be remembered that, unless 142 143 tested, this is an assumption rather than a fact. The situation is less clear when ribosome occupancy is used to compare translational 144 efficiency among different transcripts, because different features 145 146 on specific mRNAs can affect translation elongation speed as we 147 will discuss below. Nonetheless, translation rates seem to be con-148 sistent between different groups of genes [15], suggesting that this 149 method has some general utility even for inter-transcript 150 comparisons.

Next to providing a measure for translational efficiency, ribo-some profiling experiments exhibit positional information about

every detected RNA-associated ribosome, i.e., they reveal the speci-153 fic position of a ribosome on an mRNA. This information can be 154 used to localize ORFs and uORFs with single-nucleotide resolution. 155 Due to this high resolution, it is possible to determine which read-156 ing frame of a gene is translated and if there are alternative or 157 overlapping reading frames within one gene [12,15,16]. One exam-158 ple is the identification of transcripts that contain two distinct and 159 active start codons, yielding proteins that may differ in activity due 160 to extended, truncated or out-of-frame ORFs [17]. Ribosome profil-161 ing data can also be used to find ORFs that have not been annotated 162 so far. Indeed, many novel ORFs and uORFs have been discovered 163 with ribosome profiling experiments, with the unexpected finding 164 that ribosomes at uORFs often initiate translation at non-AUG ini-165 tiation codons [2,15,18–21]. Unexpectedly, ribosome profiling has 166 enabled the identification of numerous ribosome-associated small 167 ORFs in zebrafish and mammalian cell lines, many of them present 168 in RNAs such as long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) previously 169 thought to have no coding potential [15,22–27]. However, whether 170 ribosomal engagement on these lncRNAs leads to production of 171 functional 'micropeptides' or small proteins, is purely regulatory, 172 or has no function at all has remained a controversial issue. 173 Interestingly, a recent study identified a conserved 46 amino acid 174 micropeptide translated from an annotated lncRNAs that regulates 175 skeletal muscle performance [28]. Additional support for the exis-176 tence of functional micropeptides stems from studies on uORFs. 177 For instance, in Arabidopsis thaliana, the control of expression of 178 downstream main ORFs was shown to depend on the peptide 179 sequences encoded in uORFs [29]. The encoded nascent micropep-180 tides act in cis and were proposed to stall ribosomes when interact-181 ing with components of the ribosome exit tunnel. 182

Ribosome profiling data can also provide insights into mechanisms of translational regulation. The number of RPF reads corresponding to a footprint on a specific codon reflects the average time ribosomes spend at this codon. This interpretation can be used to study the speed of the ribosome during the translation process. For example, ribosomes are slowed down at the fifth codon of the reading frame [30], at less frequently occurring codons [31–33] (although see also [34–36] for different conclusions on this issue). or at codons dependent on wobble base-pairing to interact with anti-codons on tRNAs [37]. Ribosomes were also found to slow down when incorporating positively charged amino acids ([32,34], but disputed by [38]), proline-rich sequences [38,39] or other specific amino acid sequences [39] into the nascent polypeptide. Very high peaks in RPF densities at a given codon can be caused by stalled ribosomes during translation elongation or translation termination [15,35,40]. Moreover, in the absence of the protein Dom34, stalled ribosomes can be detected near polyA tails after entering 3' UTRs [41].

Besides slow or stalled ribosomes, additional aspects of ribo-201 some behavior are revealed by tracing ribosome occupancy along 202 a certain reading frame. For example, ribosomes that change their 203 reading frame during translation elongation or that read through 204 stop codons can be observed in ribosome profiling data [16,42]. 205 RPF densities can also be used to distinguish between several 206 modes of translational inhibition. While an inhibition of transla-207 tion initiation leads to a uniform decrease of RPFs over the whole 208 ORF, inhibition of translation elongation with an unchanged initia-209 tion rate leads to an accumulation of ribosomes at the 5' end and to 210 a depletion of ribosomes at the 3' end of the ORF. Similarly, ribo-211 some drop-off reduces the footprints at the 3' end of the ORF to 212 a higher extent than at the 5' end of the ORF. Taking advantage 213 of such interpretations, miRNAs in zebrafish and mammalian cells 214 were shown to inhibit translation at the initiation step [43,44], and 215 ribosomes were shown to pause during translation elongation 216 under different stress conditions in yeast and mammalian cells 217 [45-47]. 218

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8340573

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8340573

Daneshyari.com