ARTICLE IN PRESS

Pharmacology, Biochemistry and Behavior xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Pharmacology, Biochemistry and Behavior



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/pharmbiochembeh

Nicotine enhances the expression of a sucrose or cocaine conditioned place preference in adult male rats

Deanne M. Buffalari ^{a,*,1}, Nana Yaa A. Marfo ^{b,1}, Tracy T. Smith ^b, Melissa E. Levin ^b, Matthew T. Weaver ^d, Edda Thiels ^c, Alan F. Sved ^a, Eric C. Donny ^b

^a Department of Neuroscience, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA

- 6 ^b Department of Psychology, 455 Langley Hall, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA
- 7 ^c Department of Neurobiology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA

8 ^d Department of Psychology, Mercyhurst University, Erie, PA 16546, USA

9 ARTICLE INFO

10 Article history:

1

3

- 11 Received 1 February 2014
- 12 Received in revised form 20 May 2014
- 13 Accepted 15 June 2014
- 14 Available online xxxx
- _____
- Q5 Keywords:
- Cocaine
 Conditioned place preference
- 18 Enhancement
- 19 Nicotine
- 20 Reinforcement
- 21 Reward
- 22 Sucrose

30 38

40 1. Introduction

ABSTRACT

Nicotine has been shown to enhance the motivational properties of non-nicotine stimuli. This reinforcement- 23 enhancing property of nicotine has the potential to promote the use of other illicit substances as well as maladap- 24 tive patterns of food intake. Therefore, the current study aimed to examine whether nicotine enhances 25 preference for contexts paired with cocaine or sucrose utilizing a place conditioning procedure. Separate groups 26 of adult male rats were administered with sucrose or cocaine in one of two compartments of a standard CPP 27 chamber in four consecutive days. Preference was then assessed following no injection, a single subcutaneous 28 (s.c.) injection of nicotine, and a s.c. saline injection. The animals preferred the chamber paired with either 29 sucrose or cocaine, as evident from an increased time spent in the paired chamber compared to baseline. Nicotine 30 further increased the time spent in the sucrose- or cocaine-paired chamber, consistent with a reinforcement- 31 enhancement effect. Previous results demonstrate an interaction between nicotine and intake of other drugs 32 or food. The present findings provide an additional mechanism that may underlie these effects and which may 33 have implications for drug dependence and obesity. 34

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Inc. 35

Despite widespread knowledge of its negative health consequences, 41 tobacco use constitutes the leading cause of preventable death in the 42United States (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2011). 06 44 Research into the reinforcing effects of nicotine suggests that while nicotine, the principal reinforcing agent in tobacco, is important in 45sustained tobacco dependence (Anthony et al., 1994; Caggiula et al., 462001; Goldberg et al., 1981; Rose and Corrigall, 1997), environmental 4748 stimuli play a critical role in nicotine reinforcement (Bevins and Caggiula, 2009; Conklin and Tiffany, 2001; Rose et al., 2000). This 49 work has demonstrated both the ability of nicotine to transform neutral, 5051non-drug stimuli into conditioned reinforcers (Geier et al., 2000; Palmatier et al., 2007a; Perkins et al., 1994; Rose and Behm, 1991; 52Rose and Levin, 1991) and the ability of nicotine to non-associatively 53 54enhance responding for other reinforcers (Barret and Bevins, 2013; 55Barrett and Bevins, 2012; Caggiula et al., 2009; Chaudhri et al., 2006b; 56Palmatier et al., 2006). Like nicotine, other drug of abuse, particularly 57psychostimulants, also impact the reinforcing properties of other

Q4 Q3

E-mail address: deanne@pitt.edu (D.M. Buffalari).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2014.06.013 0091-3057/© 2014 Published by Elsevier Inc. stimuli through both associative and non-associative effects (Chaudhri 58 et al., 2006b; Graves and Napier; Weiss et al., 2000; Zhou et al., 2005). 59

The reinforcement-enhancing property of nicotine may promote the 60 use of other substances such as rewarding, palatable food or other drugs 61 of abuse. It has previously been demonstrated in preclinical investiga- 62 tions that prolonged nicotine exposure enhances sensitization and 63 conditioned place preference to cocaine, as well as multiple markers 64 of neuronal activity following cocaine (Levine et al., 2011). Likewise, 65 both clinical and preclinical evidence point to interactions between nic- 66 otine and other drugs of abuse (Doyon et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2013; 67 Levine et al., 2011; Richter et al., 2002). For example, cigarette smoking 68 may intensify the subjective effects of cocaine and cocaine craving 69 (Brewer et al., 2013) and clinical data suggest a negative relationship 70 between smoking and cocaine abstinence (Shoptaw et al., 1996). 71 Nicotine also affects feeding behaviors (Jo et al., 2002). Nicotine has 72 anorectic effects on food consumption (Wellman et al., 2005) in rats, 73 but increases operant responding for sucrose pellets (Palmatier et al., 74 2012; Schassburger et al., 2013) or solution (Barret and Bevins, 2013), 75 which may indicate enhanced motivation to respond for palatable 76 food (Donny et al., 2011). 77

Although nicotine is known to enhance responding for drugs of 78 abuse and palatable food, studies of the interaction between nicotine 79 and reward-paired stimuli are limited. These studies are important 80

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 412 624 8384.

2

D.M. Buffalari et al. / Pharmacology, Biochemistry and Behavior xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

because they can provide insight into the manner in which nicotine 81 82 impacts reinforced behavior. For example, nicotine may alter the likelihood of seeking out contexts predictive of reinforcement independent 83 84 of any action on the rewarding outcome associated with the drug or food. The current study tested this hypothesis by examining the ability 85 of nicotine to enhance a context paired with either sucrose or cocaine. 86

87 We utilized a place conditioning procedure to investigate this inter-88 action between nicotine and food or drug cues. The bulk of the work to 89 date examining the nicotine reinforcement-enhancing effect has exclu-90 sively used behavioral models involving an operant response (but see 91Thiel et al. (2009)). While some of this work has made significant progress in delineating varied mechanisms by which nicotine may increase 92responding for non-nicotine reinforcers (Barret and Bevins, 2013; 93 94 Cassidy and Dallery, 2012), the evaluation of acquisition and expression of learned associations less clear in operant behavioral procedures, and 95 nicotine's effects on locomotor activity may serve as a confounding var-96 iable. Therefore, the ability to examine the reinforcement-enhancing 97 98 effects of nicotine in alternative models would be highly useful. The designed experiments address whether nicotine enhances the expression 99 of a preference for sucrose or drug-paired cues, while simultaneously 100 demonstrating whether such investigations are feasible using a place 101 conditioning procedure. We predicted that a single s.c. injection of 102 103 nicotine could enhance the preference for environments associated 104 with palatable food or drug reward.

2. Materials and methods 105

106 2.1. Subjects

107 Male, Sprague–Dawley rats (n = 36, Harlan Farms, Indianapolis, IN), were ordered to weigh 200-225 g upon arrival, were singly housed in 108 109suspended, wire mesh cages in a temperature and humiditycontrolled colony room on a reversed light/dark cycle (lights off 7 am) 110with ad lib access to food and water. They were handled and weighed 111 daily, and weights ranged between 265 and 317 g throughout testing. 112 All conditioning and testing took place during the dark hours of the 113 cycle. After one week of acclimatization, the rats remained on ad lib 114 water but were food restricted to 20 g of food per day. Rats in the 115 cocaine CPP study remained on this diet for the duration of the experi-116 ment, whereas animals in the sucrose CPP study were further restricted 117 to 15 g of food per day prior to initial sucrose exposure through the du-118 ration of the experiment. This measure was taken to encourage sucrose 119 consumption during the conditioning phase of the study. Practices 120 121 utilized in this study were approved by the University of Pittsburgh 122Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and carried out in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care 123124and Use of Laboratory Animals.

2.2. Drugs 125

Cocaine hydrochloride (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in sterile 1261270.9% saline solution. A 10 mg/kg/ml dose was selected based on previ-128ous studies demonstrating cocaine CPP (Harris and Aston-Jones, 2003). Nicotine hydrogen tartrate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in 1290.9% saline solution. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 7.0 (+0.2)130using dilute NaOH. The dose of nicotine used was 0.4 mg/kg/ml (free 131132base concentration) based on the results of previous studies demonstrating the reinforcement-enhancing effects of subcutaneous nicotine 133 injections (Caggiula et al., 2009; Wing and Shoaib, 2010). Both nicotine 134 and cocaine solutions were passed through a 0.22 µm filter to ensure 135sterility. 136

2.3. Sucrose pre-exposure 137

Rats designated as part of the sucrose CPP experiment received two, 138 139 2-h exposures to 25% sucrose in their home cage to reduce noveltyinduced hypophagia. The percent sucrose solution was chosen based 140 on previous literature (White and Carr, 1985), with the goal of attaining 141 a modest preference to allow for measurement of a possible nicotine- 142 enhancement effect. Sucrose was made by dissolving crystalline sucrose 143 (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) in tap water. Bottles were placed oppo-144 site cage water bottles during sucrose exposure. Bottles were weighed 145 before and after sucrose exposure to measure sucrose consumption. 146

2.4. Place conditioning

2.4.1. Conditioned place preference chamber

147

160

172

181

193

148Rats were conditioned and tested in CPP chambers (MED-CPP-RS, 149 Med Associates, Inc., St. Albans, VT). Each chamber had three compart- 150 ments with distinct wall patterns and floor textures. Compartments A 151 and B were equal in size and dimension and were separated by a 152 small middle compartment. Manually operated guillotine doors sepa- 153 rated all compartments. Lighting in compartments A and B were set at 154 0.2 lx each, whereas center chamber lighting was set to 8.3 lx to reduce 155 inherent preference for the small, center compartment, Infra-red photo 156 beam sensors in each chamber recorded how much time the animal 157 spent in each compartment during testing. Each chamber was encased 158 in a sound-attenuating cabinet. 159

2.4.2. Initial preference assessment

On day 1, all animals underwent a 20 min initial preference assess- 161 ment to establish baseline preference. Manually operated guillotine 162 doors were open during the testing to allow free access to all chamber 163 compartments. Rats were placed into the center compartment, allowed 164 to freely explore for 20 min, and then removed and returned to their 165 home cage. Time spent in each compartment was recorded. Rats (n = 1669) that spent more than 60% of their time in any single chamber during 167 the initial preference test were excluded from the study and not subject 168 to further procedures to maintain minimal contribution of novelty- 169 seeking or extreme bias to the procedures. Rats were randomly assigned 170 to sucrose (n = 14) or cocaine (n = 13) conditioning groups. 171

2.4.3. Conditioning sessions: sucrose

Conditioning sessions were counterbalanced by order and UCS- 173 paired compartment (random, nonbiased design) and conducted over 174 4 days with one UCS and one control session per day separated by 4 h. 175 The rats were placed directly into the conditioning compartment. Ten 176 minutes into each UCS conditioning session, bottles were inserted into 177 CPP compartments (control session – empty, sucrose session – 25% 178 sucrose). The rats were removed and returned to the home cage after 179 another 10 min (20 min total session). 180

2.4.4. Preference tests: sucrose

Three preference tests were conducted on consecutive days 24 h 182 after conditioning was complete. For each test, the rats were placed 183 into the center compartment of the apparatus and left undisturbed 184 with free access to all compartments (no bottles present) for 20 min. 185 Five minutes prior to preference test 2, each animal received a single 186 injection of 0.4 mg/kg nicotine. Five minutes before preference test 3, 187 each animal received a 0.3 ml injection of 0.9% saline. The animals 188 spent the 5 min between s.c. injections and the start of preference 189 tests in their home cages. The rats were returned to their home cage 190 after completion of the 20 min test. Time spent in each compartment 191 was recorded. 192

2.4.5. Conditioning sessions: cocaine

Conditioning sessions were counterbalanced by order and UCS- 194 paired compartment (random, nonbiased design) and conducted over 195 4 days with one UCS and one control session per day separated by 4 h. 196 This allowed for minimal carryover of cocaine effects to the control 197 session due to the short half-life of cocaine (Sun et al., 2002). Rats 198 began each conditioning session with a cocaine (10 mg/kg/ml, i.p.) or 199

Please cite this article as: Buffalari DM, et al, Nicotine enhances the expression of a sucrose or cocaine conditioned place preference in adult male rats, Pharmacol Biochem Behav (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2014.06.013

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8351156

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8351156

Daneshyari.com