## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

CSBJ-0196; No of Pages 9

#### Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal xxx (2017) xxx-xxx



#### ARTICLE INFO

028 Article history 029 Received 13 March 2017 030 Received in revised form 1 September 2017 031 Accepted 11 September 2017 Available online xxxx 032

033 Keywords: 034 Metagenomics 035 Phage studies 036 Biodiversity Species diversity 037 Metavirome data 038 Bioinformatics 039 040

026

027

041

042

043

044

045

046

047

048

049

050

051

052

053

054

055

056

057

058

059

061

062

063

### ABSTRACT

094 Assessing biodiversity is an important step in the study of microbial ecology associated with a given 095 environment. Multiple indices have been used to quantify species diversity which is a key biodiversity 096 measure. Measuring species diversity of viruses in different environments remains a challenge relative to 097 measuring the diversity of other microbial communities. Metagenomics has played an important role in elucidating viral diversity by conducting metavirome studies. However, the metavirome data are of high 098 complexity requiring robust data preprocessing and analysis methods. In this review existing bioinfor-099 matics methods for measuring species diversity using metavirome data are categorised broadly as either 100 sequence similarity-dependent methods or sequence similarity-independent methods. The former includes 101 a comparison of DNA fragments or assemblies generated in the experiment against reference databases for 102 quantifying species diversity, whereas estimates from the latter are independent of the knowledge of exist-103 ing sequence data. Furthermore, current methods and tools are discussed in detail with examples of their 104 applications and their limitations. Drawbacks of the state-of-the-art method are demonstrated through 105 results from a simulation. In addition, alternative approaches are proposed to overcome the challenges in 106 estimating species diversity measures using metavirome data.

107 © 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural 108 Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license 109 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). 110

#### 1. Introduction

Most viruses in the environment exist in the form of parasites that infect prokaryotes and hence are frequently termed phages or bacteriophages. Recent studies [1,2] have shown that despite being identified as parasites, viruses may have symbiotic relationships that are beneficial to the host as well. Viruses represent the most abundant biological entity in the biosphere with an estimated phage population of ~10<sup>31</sup> [3]. Many microbiological experiments conducted in the past highlight the effect that viruses have on different processes in our biosphere. Examples are their effects on

E-mail address: damayanthi@ce.pdn.ac.lk (D. Herath).

food web and organic carbon flow in the oceans [4], and population structure of bacterial communities in the human gut [5,6]. The influence of viruses on driving ecological functionalities and evolutionary changes of prokaryotes has been previously highlighted, as well as the effect of viruses on the gene transfer across species [7]. One study [8] has illustrated the connection between the diversity of viruses and climate change with eight case studies concluding that viruses are significantly influenced by climate change and in turn, are affecting biological processes contributing to climate changes. These studies stress the importance of studying viral ecology in different environments.

092

093

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

129

130

131

132

The conventional method of analysing the behaviour of viruses 124 involves infecting them into cultured prokaryotic hosts. Such 125 culture-dependent approaches are limited in applicability because a 126 large number of microbial hosts have not been cultured [9]. One way 127 of studying microbes in a culture-independent manner is the use of 128

Please cite this article as: D. Herath et al., Assessing Species Diversity Using Metavirome Data: Methods and Challenges. Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2017.09.001

<sup>060</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Melbourne Parkville Melbourne 3010 Australia

<sup>064</sup> https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2017.09.001

<sup>065</sup> 2001-0370/© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY 066 license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

2

### **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

taxonomic marker genes like 16S ribosomal RNA gene (16S rRNA)
that are conserved in genomes of all the species being studied [10].
However, due to the absence of such a conserved genomic region, the
traditional marker genes based methods such as Polymerase Chain
Reaction (PCR) and Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) cannot
be used to study viruses [9].

139 The emergence of Metagenomics helped in overcoming these 140 challenges in studying the dynamics of viruses in different environments. Metagenomics refers to the biotechnological and bioinfor-141 142 matics methods involved in culture-independent analysis of genetic material of all microbial organisms in an environmental sample. A 143 metagenome is the collection of genomic sequences of all the organ-144 isms in a given environment [9]. Advancements in high-throughput 145 DNA sequencing and assembling techniques [11-13] have made 146 metagenomics a popular approach for studying microbial ecology. 147 148 The major steps involved in a metagenomics study have been 149 previously reviewed [14] and include sample collection: extrac-150 tion of DNA and removal of unwanted genetic material such as 151 proteins, organelles and membranes; fragmentation of DNA using 152 enzymes or mechanical techniques; sequencing of DNA; and bioin-153 formatic analysis [14]. Metagenomics have a range of applications 154 such as production of novel enzymes, discovery of new antibiotics 155 and production of biosurfactants [15] and metagenomics related researches are being conducted around the world [16]. Moreover, 156 157 metagenomics is expected to be highly effective in enteric disease 158 diagnostics [17]. Bioinformatic analyses conducted on metagenomic 159 data helps in expanding our knowledge on microbes in terms of 160 taxonomic profiles, metabolic pathways and inter-species interac-161 tions etc. [18].

A metagenome of a viral population is termed a 'metavirome' [19]. 162 163 The first metavirome study was an experiment carried out to study the ecology of viruses in marine environments using samples 164 165 extracted from the two oceans Scripps Pier, CA and Mission Bay, 166 San Diego. [20,21]. Thereafter, many studies have been conducted to 167 analyse metaviromes of samples collected from different environ-168 ments such as sea water [20,22], marine sediments [23], soil [24], 169 human faeces [25,26] and the human gut [27–29].

170 Biodiversity is an important ecological parameter in understand-171 ing the dynamics of a given environment as there is a strong 172 relationship between biodiversity and the stability of an ecosys-173 tem [30]. It can be quantified in three ways:  $\alpha$ -diversity referring to 174 the diversity of a given sample or environment,  $\gamma$ -diversity quantify-175 ing the collective diversity of multiple environments and  $\beta$ -diversity 176 capturing the difference in diversity among environments [31]. 177 Implications of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  diversities have been reviewed compre-178 hensively [32,33]. One aspect often considered in a metagenomics 179 study is  $\alpha$ -diversity which is also termed 'species diversity'.

180 The definition of a virus species has been debated about [34,35], 181 and is being updated [36]. Generally, the term species is used 182 to refer to the lowest category in biological classification. How-183 ever, whether the term species should be referred to an individ-184 ual entity or an abstract class or category remains a debate [35]. 185 Initially, the concept of species was considered to be not applicable for viruses because the early definition of species as groups 186 of interbreeding natural populations which are reproductively isolated 187 188 from other such groups, may not be related to viruses [34]. The International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) which acts 189 190 as the body responsible for maintaining the virus taxonomy [37], has accepted the formal definition of a virus species as "a poly-191 192 thetic class of viruses that constitutes a replicating lineage and 193 occupies a particular ecological niche" [34,38]. A polythetic class 194 consists of members having multiple properties in common, but 195 may not be defined by a single property [39]. Metagenomics can 196 help in obtaining the assemblies of complete genome sequences of 197 new viruses, however the obtained assemblies may lack informa-198 tion of their biological properties raising the concern how to define

a virus species based on metagenomics data [36]. The term viral 199 genotype has been used in the first metagenomic experiment of 200 viruses [20] referring to in silico conditions assuring that sequences 201 of different phage genomes may not assemble together [20,40]. The 202 203 complexities in defining taxonomy of viruses as mentioned have 204 been reviewed comprehensively [35] and implications of metagenomics in defining taxonomy of viruses have been discussed [36]. 205 In 2016, ICTV endorsed a proposal made to classify viruses solely 206 based on metagenomics sequence data. This proposal recommends 207 retaining the ICTV definition of a virus species and using biologi-208 cal characteristics that may be inferred from sequence data such as 209 genome organization, replication strategy, presence of homologous 210 genes and host range or type of vector [36]. 211

Alternative approaches to quantify biodiversity instead of mea-212 sures of species diversity have been proposed [41,42]. An example 213 is the suggestion to use statistical properties of communities with 214 straightforward biological interpretations [41]. However, as far as 215 metavirome studies are considered, estimation of species diversity 216 is a key step in the bioinformatics analysis pipeline [43]. As far as 217 viral communities are considered species diversity indices estimates 218 are used to answer multiple questions. Examples are: use of species 219 diversity estimates to learn the relationship between species rich-220 ness and range size distributions in plants [44,45], demonstration of 221 factors leading to the differences between the ambient and induced 222 viral communities [46] considering species diversity of viruses, 223 and prediction of zoonotic potential of mammalian viruses [47], 224 modelling predator-prey dynamics based on rank-abundance distri-225 butions [48], use of evenness indices to determine factors affecting 226 227 horizontal gene transfer and functional microbiome evolution in chicken cecum microbiome [49]. 228

This review summarises the existing bioinformatics methods 229 and tools for quantifying viral diversity from metavirome data. The 230 widely considered species diversity measures in metavirome studies 231 are described in brief with their definitions. The existing methods 232 for estimating viral diversity measures are reviewed comparatively 233 and their limitations are identified. Furthermore, possible alterna-234 tive approaches are proposed to address the limitations in existing 235 methods. Previous reviews have summarised various bioinformatics 236 strategies used in existing methods for studying viruses [50,51]. This 237 review discusses further methods for measuring species diversity 238 from metavirome data with comparisons between them. 239 240

#### 2. Common Measures of Viral Diversity

Three commonly considered species diversity measures in243previous metavirome studies are species richness, Shannon-Wiener244index and evenness. They represent the key quantitative species245diversity measures: species richness, heterogeneity and equabil-246ity [52]. The rank-abundance distribution and the relative abundance247of genomes have been considered in addition (e.g.: [20,53-55]).248

241

242

249 Species richness is the total number of species in a population and is estimated from a sample, a representative subset of the 250 population. While two environments may have equal species rich-251 252 ness, if some species are dominant in number in one environment 253 (i.e. less diverse) these two environments should be considered as 254 different in diversity. Evenness captures how uniformly the species 255 are distributed in number in an environment and is related with the 256 relative abundance of species. If there are  $n_i$  number of individuals from *i*th species, its relative abundance,  $f_i = n_i / \sum_{i=1}^{M} n_i$  where *M* is species richness. Heterogeneity measures combine species richness 257 258 259 with evenness [52]. A commonly used heterogeneity measure is the 260 Shannon - Wiener index. Shannon - Wiener index [56]considers both 261 species richness and relative abundance and is defined as H' =262  $-\sum_{i=1}^{M} f_i \quad \ln \quad f_i.$ 263 264

Please cite this article as: D. Herath et al., Assessing Species Diversity Using Metavirome Data: Methods and Challenges, Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2017.09.001

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8408574

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8408574

Daneshyari.com