Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/canep

# Survival differences in childhood and young adult acute myeloid leukemia: A cross-national study using US and England data



Sherlly Xie<sup>a</sup>, Md Jobayer Hossain<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Biostatistics Core, Nemours Biomedical Research, A I duPont Hospital for Children, Wilmington, DE 19803, United States
<sup>b</sup> Department of Applied Economics and Statistics, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, United States

#### ARTICLE INFO

Acute myeloid leukemia

Keywords:

Childhood

Survival

England

US

Young adult

Prognostic factors

#### ABSTRACT

*Background:* Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a serious disease with complex etiology and marked variation in survival. Known prognostic factors include AML subtypes, age at diagnosis and sex. However, survival outcomes may vary across healthcare systems. In this study, we evaluated the survival patterns in individuals diagnosed with AML at ages 0–24 years in the US and England between prognostic features and across countries. *Methods:* We obtained data on 4387 and 2194 subjects from the US Surveillance Epidemiology and End Result registries and UK National Cancer Data Repository. Subjects were diagnosed and followed in 1995–2014. Kaplan-Meier curve and stratified Cox proportional hazards regression were used in the analysis.

*Results*: Overall risk of mortality was 23% lower in English patients compared to that in the US patients (adjusted hazard ratio (aHR), 95% confidence Interval (CI): 0.77, 0.71–0.84). Survival difference of similar extent was observed in subgroups of sex and age at diagnosis. However, mortality risks between two countries varied substantially across AML subtypes, especially in AML inv(16) (1.81, 0.61–5.34), AML with minimal differentiation (0.54, 0.25–1.17), AML without maturation (0.38, 0.20–0.74) and AML with maturation (0.52, 0.31–0.86).

*Conclusions:* Similar to the population trend, mortality risk across sex, age at diagnosis, and most AML subtypes was lower in England. Survival outcome for AML with and without maturation in England was better than the population trend, while that for AML inv(16) was worse. Our findings suggest that future etiologic and policy research may uncover the underlying mechanisms and contribute to closing these morality gaps.

# 1. Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a serious disease with complex etiology and marked variation in survival [1-5]. The five-year survival rate is about 60–70% [6], but it varies substantially by age at diagnosis and subtypes characterized based on morphology and cytogenetics [1,7-9]. Sex and socioeconomic factors also have been reported as having contributed to survival variation [2,4,5]. Favorable prognostic characteristics include younger age at diagnosis, acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL), inv(16), –t(8;21), t(15;17), trisomy 21, female sex, and higher socioeconomic status, while older age at diagnosis, genetic abnormalities such as del(5q) and t(9;22), male sex and lower socioeconomic status are indicators of poor prognosis[1-3,8-12]. In addition, survival variation was observed across interactive subgroups of AML subtypes, age at diagnosis and sex [2,9,13].

Survival outcomes associated with these prognostic features are modifiable and may vary with the standard of healthcare system. Timely diagnosis, tailored treatment and effective management can

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2018.03.001

reduce these variations. Examining survival patterns across healthcare settings could lead to improvment of overall survival. To this end, we conducted a study on survival outcome of childhood and young adult AML patients in the US and England who were diagnosed in 1995-2014. The US and England are two countries with similar degrees of human development [14] yet different healthcare systems with respect to insurance and clinical practice. In England, all citizens are covered by the National Health Service, and healthcare is free at delivery [15]. In the US, about 95% of children and 80% of adults 18-65 years are covered by public and private insurance, and citizens and permanent residents aged 65 years and older are eligible for Medicare, a government-run health insurance system [16]. Also, in England, new therapeutic options are evaluated by a central agency (National Institute for Health Care and Excellence) prior to becoming part of standard of care. In the US, new drugs are approved by the Food and Drug Administration, and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network and the American Society of Clinical Oncology publish suggested guidelines for the care of patients with AML. Thus, the US and England

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: 1600 Rockland Road, Wilmington, DE 19803, United States. E-mail address: jhossain@nemours.org (M.J. Hossain).

Received 8 August 2017; Received in revised form 2 March 2018; Accepted 3 March 2018 1877-7821/ © 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

vary in terms of access to care and standardization of care [15]. The aim of the present study is to evaluate survival of patients diagnosed with AML at ages 0–24 years in the US and England between prognostic features and across countries. Increased knowledge of survival patterns across prognostic features in different health systems may help tailor care and management to be more precise, thereby reducing mortality at the population level.

### 2. Material and methods

# 2.1. Study population

Eligible subjects were individuals diagnosed with AML in the US or England at ages 0–24 years and followed between 1995 and 2014. US data were obtained from the Surveillance Epidemiology and End Result (SEER) registry. English data were obtained from the UK National Cancer Data Repository (NCDR). Death certificate-only cases (n = 10from SEER, n = 14 from NCDR) were excluded because of missing follow-up time since diagnosis.

Data on 4387 patients in the US were used for analysis. Currently, seventeen participating registries located in geographically diverse regions across the country collect and record demographic, clinical treatment and outcome data on patients using standardized coding manual [17,18]. The SEER-covered population represents approximately 28% of the US population and is comparable to the general US population with regards to sex, race-ethnicity, measures of poverty and education [19]. Data were accessed under the SEER research data use agreement. Data on 2194 eligible patients in England were used for analysis. The National Cancer Registration and Analysis Service of Public Health England (PHE) collects patient-level demographic and vital information on all cases of cancer that occur in people living in the country. Inpatient and outpatient admissions and treatment details were sourced into the NCDR from the Hospital Episode Statistics. Data from primary care practices throughout the UK were linked through the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. More details on the NCDR have been published previously [20-22].

#### 2.2. Study variables

We investigated AML survival trends by clinical and demographic features. Variables common to both US and England data and parametrized in the same way were: vital status at the latest follow-up, follow-up duration, sex, age at diagnosis, number of primaries, year of diagnosis, and AML subtype classified by the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, third Edition (ICD-O-3)/WHO 2008 definitions.

Vital status at the latest follow-up was recorded as alive/censored or deceased. Follow-up duration between time of diagnosis and event was recorded in months. We had access to quantitative and interval data on age at diagnosis from US and England data, respectively. Based on the homogeneity of hazard risk of mortality, we grouped age at diagnosis as 0-9, 10-14, and 15-24 years in the analysis. Number of primaries was dichotomized into "single/multiple". Multiple primaries are defined as more than one synchronous cancer (diagnosed at the same time) or metachronous (diagnosed within 2 months apart) in the same individual [23]. Year of diagnosis was grouped into 5-year intervals: 1995-1999, 2000-2004, 2005-2009, and 2010-2014. The AML subtypes' ICD-O-3/WHO 2008 definitions and italicized abbreviations used in this study are as follows-9840/3: Acute erythroid leukemia (M6 type), AEL; 9861/3: Acute myeloid leukemia, AML-NOS; 9866/3: Acute promyelocytic leukemia (AML with t(15;17)(q22;q12)) PML/RARA, APL; 9867/3: Acute myelomonocytic leukemia, AMML; 9910/3: Acute megakaryoblastic leukemia, AMKL; 9871/3: AML with inv(16) (p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22), CBFB-MYH11, AML inv(16); 9872/ 3: Acute myeloid leukemia with minimal differentiation, AML with minimal differentiation; 9873/3: Acute myeloid leukemia without maturation, *AML without maturation*; 9874/3: Acute myeloid leukemia with maturation, *AML with maturation*; and other subtypes (ICD-O-3 codes 9865/3, 9869/3, 9895/3, 9896/3, 9897/3, 9898/3, 9911/3, 9920/3). An index of multiple deprivation (IMD) encompassing a range of domains such as income, employment, education, access/barriers to services, and living environment/housing was used to adjust for community-level socio-economic effects. The English IMD 2010 was a rank variable of 5 levels derived from 36 indicators [24]. We generated a comparable IMD as a rank variable using percentiles of the latent based linear combination of 22 socio-economic status (SES) indicators from the 2010–2014 Census American Community Survey. Supplementary Table S1 displayed SES variables and their corresponding weights used to derive the latent variable.

#### 2.3. Statistical analysis

Distribution of vital status was summarized by study variables. Kaplan-Meier curve was used to visualize the change in survival probability over time since diagnosis in each country among patients with a specific prognostic feature. Adherence to proportional hazard assumptions was determined based on the basis of smoothed plots of Schoenfeld residuals and log-negative log plots performed before and after stratifying on year interval of diagnosis [25]. Therefore, we stratified the estimation of hazard ratios (HRs) associated with covariates in the uni- and multivariable proportional hazards models by year of diagnosis intervals and reported the pooled HR across strata. To assess the relationship between mortality and the prognostic factors simultaneously, we estimated adjusted HRs and associated 95% confidence intervals (CI) in a multivariable model for each country that included all prognostic factors. To examine between-country mortality risk contrast in different patient groups-males, females, patients diagnosed at different ages, and those with specific AML subtypes, we conducted uni- and multi-variable stratified analysis. All analyses were two-tailed with the level of significance at 5%. All statistical analysis was performed using R Statistical Software, version 3.3.1 [26].

# 3. Results

A total of 4387 and 2194 individuals with AML in the US and England were included in the analysis, respectively. Table 1 presented the relationship between mortality and study variable by country. Crude mortality proportion was higher in the US (41.6%) than in England (41.1%). In both countries, male, being diagnosed at ages 15 to 24, multiple primaries, and higher degree of regional deprivation were associated with higher mortality risk. There were some differences in mortality of patients with different AML subtypes between countries. Among patients in England, AML subtypes with the highest mortality proportions were AMML (44.7%), AML-NOS (45.9%), and other subtypes (50%). In the US, the subtypes were AMML (48.5%), AEL (48.1%), and AML with minimal differentiation (60.7%). Mortality reduced in both countries over the years by about 20% during the study period. These differences in proportions were also reflected in the Kaplan-Meier curves in Fig. 1.

Shown in Table 2 are the mutually adjusted associations between prognostic factors and AML survival in each country, stratified by year of diagnosis. After adjustment, the association between older age at diagnosis, multiple primaries, specific AML subtypes, and higher degree of socioeconomic deprivation and higher risk of mortality remained in both countries, though the extent varied. For example, the risk of mortality among patients diagnosed at 15–24 years was 1.51 (95% CI: 1.35–1.69) times as high in the US and 1.38 (1.18–1.62) times as high in England, compared to the hazard isk of mortality among children diagnosed at ages 0–9 years in the same country. Among the factors examined, AML subtype showed the most variable pattern of association with mortality between countries. Compared to patients with APL in their respective countries, US patients with subtypes other than AML

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8432768

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8432768

Daneshyari.com