



Preoperative chemo(radio)therapy versus primary surgery for gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma: Systematic review with meta-analysis combining individual patient and aggregate data [☆]

Ulrich Ronellenfitsch ^{a,*}, Matthias Schwarzbach ^b, Ralf Hofheinz ^c, Peter Kienle ^a, Meinhard Kieser ^d, Tracy E. Slinger ^e, Bryan Burmeister ^f, David Kelsen ^g, Donna Niedzwiecki ^h, Christoph Schuhmacher ⁱ, Susan Urba ^j, Cornelis van de Velde ^k, Thomas N. Walsh ^l, Marc Ychou ^m, Katrin Jensen ^d

^a Department of Surgery, University Medical Center Mannheim, Medical Faculty Mannheim of the University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany

^b Department of General, Visceral, Vascular, and Thoracic Surgery, Klinikum Frankfurt Höchst, Frankfurt, Germany

^c Day Treatment Center (TTZ), Interdisciplinary Tumor Center Mannheim (ITM) and 3rd Department of Medicine, University Medical Centre Mannheim, Medical Faculty Mannheim of the University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany

^d Institute of Medical Biometry and Informatics, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

^e Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG), Cologne, Germany

^f University of Queensland, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Australia

^g Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center and Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA

^h Cancer and Leukemia Group B Statistical Center, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

ⁱ Department of Surgery, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany

^j Division of Hematology/Oncology, University of Michigan Medical Center, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

^k Department of Surgery, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

^l Department of Surgery, Connolly Hospital, Blanchardstown, Dublin, Ireland

^m Centre Régional de Lutte Contre le Cancer, Montpellier, France

Available online 22 June 2013

KEYWORDS

Gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma
Oesophageal cancer
Stomach cancer
Preoperative chemotherapy

Abstract Background: The prognosis of patients with gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma is poor. There is conflicting evidence regarding effects of preoperative chemotherapy on survival and other outcomes.

Methods: We conducted a meta-analysis with aggregate and individual patient data (IPD) to assess the effect of preoperative chemotherapy for gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma on survival and other outcomes. Two independent reviewers identified eligible randomised controlled trials (RCTs) comparing chemotherapy+/-radiotherapy followed by surgery with

[☆] This work was supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Grant number 01KG 0807).

* Corresponding author: Address: Department of Surgery, University Medical Centre Mannheim, Medical Faculty Mannheim of the University of Heidelberg, Theodor-Kutzer-Ufer 1-3, 68167 Mannheim, Germany. Tel.: +49 621 383 1501; fax: +49 621 383 2166.

E-mail address: ulrich.ronellenfitsch@umm.de (U. Ronellenfitsch).

Preoperative chemoradiotherapy
Systematic review
Individual patient data
meta-analysis

surgery alone for gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma. IPD was solicited from all trials. Meta-analyses were performed using the two stage method.

Results: We identified 14 RCTs (2422 patients). For eight RCTs (1049 patients; 43.3%) we obtained IPD. Preoperative chemotherapy was associated with longer overall survival (hazard ratio [HR] 0.81; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.73–0.89; $p < 0.0001$). There were larger treatment effects in tumours of the gastroesophageal junction and for chemoradiotherapy compared to chemotherapy, but the tests for subgroup differences were not statistically significant. Preoperative chemotherapy was associated with longer disease-free survival, higher likelihood of R0 resection and more favourable post-treatment tumour stage, but not perioperative complications.

Conclusion: Preoperative chemotherapy for locoregional gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma increases survival compared to surgery alone. It should be offered to all eligible patients. There appear to be larger survival advantages in tumours of the gastroesophageal junction and for chemoradiotherapy, but these findings require prospective confirmation.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Adenocarcinoma of the stomach, gastroesophageal junction and oesophagus ('gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma') ranks among the most common cancers.^{1–4} Radical surgery is the most effective curative treatment modality. Despite improved surgical techniques and perioperative management,^{1,4} five-year survival after resection is only 20–30% in patients with locoregionally advanced disease.^{5,6} These poor survival rates provide a strong rationale for the design of new treatment modalities. Preoperative chemotherapy, defined as chemotherapy before and, optionally, after surgery, has been tested in randomised controlled trials (RCTs).^{7–20} Results have been conflicting and inconclusive.

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses to date, that have summarised the evidence have either combined oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma or failed to include all three tumour sites of GE adenocarcinoma.^{21–24} Moreover, the analyses have been based on aggregate data, limiting their ability to sufficiently assess which patient and tumour characteristics might alter the treatment effect of preoperative chemotherapy. Therefore, we performed a new systematic comparison, based largely on individual patient data, of preoperative chemotherapy versus surgery alone for patients with locoregional resectable adenocarcinoma of the stomach, gastroesophageal junction and oesophagus.

2. Methods

The study was carried out according to Cochrane methodology and the previously published protocol.²⁵ The full publication in the Cochrane Library provides a more detailed description of the methods.²⁶

2.1. Inclusion criteria

We included RCTs in which patients fulfilled the following criteria: adenocarcinoma of the stomach, gastro-

esophageal junction or oesophagus (for trials including other histologies we sought to obtain data for patients with adenocarcinoma only); no previous treatment; resectable and not metastasised. We included all trials comparing surgery alone with surgery combined with preoperative chemotherapy. To be regarded as preoperative, chemotherapy needed to be administered before, and, optionally, after surgery. We also included trials in which patients received chemoradiotherapy.

2.2. Outcome measures

The primary outcome was time to death from the date of randomisation, based on intention-to-treat analysis. Secondary outcomes were disease-free survival, defined as time from a landmark 6 months after randomisation until recurrence or death; tumour-free resection margin; tumour stage at resection according to the Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) tumor node metastasis (TNM) classification of malignant tumours²⁷; perioperative morbidity and mortality; and frequency of non-administration of postoperatively planned chemotherapy for trials in which it was prescribed in the study protocol.

2.3. Identification of studies

We searched the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Database of Abstracts of Review of Effectiveness (DARE), Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR), Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (MEDLINE), Excerpta Medica Database (EMBASE) and Literatura Latinoamericana y del Caribe en Ciencias de la Salud (LILACS). There were no language restrictions. We combined the Cochrane Highly Sensitive Search Strategy for identifying randomised trials in MEDLINE²⁸ with pre-specified search terms. Moreover, we searched online databases and conference proceedings. We included data from published full articles until closure

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8444105>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8444105>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)