

Association of Pain Catastrophizing With Static Balance, Mobility, or Functional Capacity in Patients With Knee Osteoarthritis: A Blind Cross-sectional Study

Cid André Fidelis de Paula Gomes, PT, PhD,^a Almir Vieira Dibai-Filho, PT, PhD,^b Daniela Aparecida Biasotto-Gonzalez, PT, PhD,^a Fabiano Politti, PT, PhD,^a and Paulo de Tarso Camillo de Carvalho, PT, PhD^a

ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to investigate whether catastrophizing is associated with static balance, mobility, and functional capacity in patients with knee osteoarthritis.

Methods: A blind, cross-sectional study was conducted involving 60 volunteers (males and females), ages 40 to 80 years, with a diagnosis of knee osteoarthritis. Patients were recruited from a physical therapy clinic in the city of São Paulo, Brazil. The following measures were used for the evaluations: Pain-Related Self-Statement Scale, Functional Reach Test, Timed Up and Go Test, Lower Extremity Functional Scale, and Western Ontario and McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index. In statistical analysis, histograms were created to determine distribution of data. Spearman's correlation coefficients (r_s) were then calculated to determine the strength of the associations among the variables.

Results: No significant correlation was found between the Pain-Related Self-Statement Scale score and the other clinical measures employed in the present study: Functional Reach Test ($r_s = 0.151$; $P = .249$), Timed Up and Go Test ($r_s = -0.147$; $P = .264$), Lower Extremity Functional Scale ($r_s = 0.023$; $P = .860$), and Western Ontario and McMaster University Osteoarthritis ($r_s = -0.222$; $P = .088$).

Conclusions: In this study, catastrophizing was not associated with static balance, mobility, or functional capacity in patients with knee osteoarthritis. (*J Manipulative Physiol Ther* 2017;xx:1-5)

Key Indexing Terms: *Catastrophization; Pain; Postural Balance*

INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a progressive disorder resulting from the localized loss of joint cartilage and the formation of a new bone matrix.^{1,2} Knee OA often causes recurring pain, leading to restrictions in the performance of activities

of daily living, such as getting out of bed, standing up from a chair, walking, and going up and down stairs.³ A recent study suggested that pain sensitivity is found in individuals with knee OA and may be associated with severity of symptoms,⁴ as well as being directly involved in both peripheral and central processes.⁵

As central processes are strongly influenced by biologic and psychosocial aspects,⁵ 2 cognitive predictors seem to worsen functional incapacity in patients with OA: catastrophizing and pain-related fear.⁶ Catastrophizing is a negative mental state that arises in the context of actual or anticipated pain, leading to a tendency to excessive worrying and the amplification of the sensation of pain.^{6,7} Researchers have stated that catastrophizing is related to chronic diseases, including knee OA, and leads to further functional limitations.⁸⁻¹⁰ However, studies investigating the association between catastrophizing and specific measures (scales, questionnaires, and tests) for individuals with knee OA aimed at evaluating static balance, mobility, and functional capacity are scarce.

^a Department of Physical Therapy, Nove de Julho University, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

^b Department of Physical Education, Federal University of Maranhão, São Luís, MA, Brazil.

Corresponding author: Cid André Fidelis de Paula Gomes, PT, PhD, Department of Physical Therapy, Nove de Julho University, Av. Dr. Adolfo Pinto, 109, Água Branca, CEP 05001-100, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. Tel.: +55 11970941936. (e-mail: cid.andre@gmail.com).

Paper submitted May 25, 2016; in revised form August 10, 2017; accepted August 23, 2017.
0161-4754

© 2017 by National University of Health Sciences.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmpt.2017.08.002>

The aim of the present study was to investigate whether catastrophizing is associated with static balance, mobility, and functional capacity in patients with knee OA. The hypothesis tested is that catastrophizing exerts a significant negative influence on the clinical measures analyzed.

METHODS

Study Design and Ethical Considerations

A blind, cross-sectional study was conducted. One physiotherapist was in charge of recruitment, as well as the diagnosis and measurement of catastrophizing. Another physiotherapist was in charge of administering the other measures. A third researcher processed and analyzed the data. Patients were recruited from a physical therapy clinic in the city of São Paulo (SP, Brazil). Invitations to participate were made through telephone or personal contacts. All participants signed a statement of informed consent, and the study received approval from the Human Research Ethics Committee of Nove de Julho University number 24568013.0.0000.5511.

The inclusion criteria for the present investigation were based on studies involving individuals with OA and were based on the participation of patients who represent the population with OA to minimize the possibility of selection and volunteer bias. Participants were recruited using the waiting list for treatment at a physical therapy clinic that specialized in orthopedic and rheumatologic conditions. All assessment tools were administered personally and individually, with no time constraints, and the evaluations were scheduled on the basis of the availability of the participants to minimize non-response bias.

Sample

The sample size was calculated using the Ene program, version 3.0 (Autonomous University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain), with the study conducted by Zou et al¹¹ as reference. The calculation was based on the detection of moderate associations ($r = 0.50$) among the variables. Considering an 80% test power and 5% alpha, a minimum requirement of 30 patients was determined. The sample was doubled to increase statistical power, resulting in a total of 60 individuals.

Male and female individuals, ages 40 to 80 years, with knee pain in the previous 6 months and a diagnosis of unilateral knee OA, based on the criteria established by the American College of Rheumatology and radiographic confirmation (grade 2 or 3 of the Kellgren-Lawrence Classification), were included in the study.¹² The diagnosis of knee OA was determined through an examination by and the written opinion of a specialist in rheumatic diseases. The following exclusion criteria were adopted: history of knee trauma, cognitive impairment, psychological disorder, neurologic (sensory or motor) disorder, cancer, diabetes, any acute adverse health condition, signs of OA of the hip, and the use of a gait-assistance device. No participants had been submitted to physical therapy or medical therapy in the previous 6 months.

Pain-Related Self-Statement Scale

The Pain-Related Self-Statement Scale (PRSS) was used to assess catastrophizing. This scale has been adapted and validated for use in the Brazilian population.¹³ The PRSS consists of 9 items, each with response options with scores ranging from 0 (almost never) to 5 (almost always). The total score is determined by the sum of the items divided by the number of items answered. No cutoff points were established and higher scores indicated a greater degree of catastrophizing.

Functional Reach Test

The Functional Reach Test (FRT) defines maximum forward functional reach beyond one's arm length while maintaining a fixed foot base. The participant was barefoot with feet parallel to the wall and near the beginning of a metric tape, with the wrists in neutral position, elbows extended, and shoulders flexed at 90 degrees. The individual was instructed to lean the body forward without touching the tape. The movement of the wrist over the tape was read. Three readings were made, and the mean value was used for the statistical analysis. The FRT has demonstrated good reliability in patients with knee OA.¹⁴

Timed Up and Go Test

The Timed Up and Go Test (TUGT) simulates functional activities of daily living (sitting to standing, walking, and sitting down again). The chronometer was started after the verbal command "Go" and stopped when the participant returned to the initial sitting position. The test was performed twice. The first trial was to familiarize the participant with the procedure, and the time required to complete the second trial was recorded. An armless chair with an adjustable height was used to position the knees of each individual flexed at 90 degrees. The TUGT has demonstrated good reliability in patients with knee OA.¹⁵

Lower Extremity Functional Scale

The Lower Extremity Functional Scale (LEFS) is a scale consisting of 20 items developed to evaluate musculoskeletal dysfunction of the lower limbs and has been validated for use on the Brazilian population.¹⁶ Each item is scored as follows: 0 (extreme difficulty or unable to perform the activity), 1 (quite a bit of difficulty), 2 (moderate difficulty), 3 (a little bit of difficulty) and 4 (no difficulty). The maximum score is 80 points. The participants were asked to report their current problems with the lower limbs.¹⁶

Western Ontario and McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index

The Western Ontario and McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) is a specific index for the evaluation of pain, stiffness, and physical function in individuals with OA of the knee and/or hip and has been validated for use on the Brazilian population.¹⁷ This index offers separate scores for different subscales and contains 24 items divided into 3

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8560083>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8560083>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)