Accepted Manuscript

Title: The different methods of assessing glycemic variability, quality of glycemic control and glycemic risk cannot be interpreted as equivalent in clinical practice

Authors: Oscar M. Muñoz, Ana María Gómez, Maira García Jaramillo, Fabián Mauricio León Vargas, Álvaro J. Ruiz Morales

PII: \$1871-4021(18)30065-1

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2018.03.028

Reference: DSX 948

To appear in: Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews

Please cite this article as: Muñoz OM, Gómez AM, Jaramillo MG, Vargas FML, Ruiz Morales ÁJ, The different methods of assessing glycemic variability, quality of glycemic control and glycemic risk cannot be interpreted as equivalent in clinical practice, *Diabetes and Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research and Reviews* (2010), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2018.03.028

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

The different methods of assessing glycemic variability, quality of glycemic control and glycemic risk cannot be interpreted as equivalent in clinical practice.

Authors

Oscar M Muñoz M.D. MSc. Ph.D. (c)

Department of Internal Medicine
PhD Program in Clinical Epidemiology, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics
Pontificia Universidad Javeriana
Hospital Universitario San Ignacio
Carrera 7 No. 40-62, Bogotá, Colombia
o.munoz@javeriana.edu.co

Ana María Gómez M.D.

Endocrinology Unit Pontificia Universidad Javeriana Hospital Universitario San Ignacio Carrera 7 No. 40-62, Bogotá, Colombia anagomez@javeriana.edu.co

Maira García Jaramillo Ph.D.

Hospital Universitario San Ignacio Universidad EAN Carrera 7 No. 40-62, Bogotá, Colombia magarcia@universidadean.edu.co

Fabián Mauricio León Vargas. PhD

Hospital Universitario San Ignacio Universidad Antonio Nariño Calle 22 Sur No. 12D-81 fabianleon@uan.edu.co

Álvaro J Ruiz Morales. MD. MSc

Department of Internal Medicine Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics Pontificia Universidad Javeriana Carrera 7 No. 40-62, Bogotá, Colombia.

Corresponding author: Oscar M Muñoz, Hospital Universitario San Ignacio, Cra 7 No 40-62, Piso 7, Oficina 713, Bogotá, Colombia. Email: o.munoz@javeriana.edu.co

Figure and table count: 6 tables.

SUMMARY

Objective: Several methods are available to calculate glycemic variability (GV), quality of glycemic control (QGC) and glycemic risk (GR). However, clinicians do not easily interpret these data. This study evaluates whether the results of the different methods can be interpreted as equivalent.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8658727

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8658727

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>