

Letter to the Editor

The effect of stimulation rate on cervical vestibular evoked myogenic potential quality



The present study explored the effect of repetition rate on the quality, amplitude and presence of the cervical vestibular evoked myogenic potentials (cVEMPs) at a fixed tone-burst level.

cVEMPs are short-latency electromyographic (EMG) potentials elicited by stimulating the ear with high-level sound (around 95 dBA) and can provide diagnostic information about the vestibulo-colic reflex pathway. cVEMP is an inhibitory biphasic potential with an early positive-negative component arising at 13–23 ms after stimulus presentation (P13-N23 or P1-N1). The conventional approach for evaluating the presence of cVEMP responses is visual inspection by the audiologist of two or more runs to identify replications of significant peak and trough components in the waveform. This visual evaluation of the response is problematic when the signal-to-noise ratio is poor due to a small response relative to the physiological background noise.

Although some previous studies have subjectively explored the effects of rate on air conduction cVEMPs by measuring peak-to-peak amplitude and peak latency, none have used objective methods to assess the quality of responses. Previous work (Carnaúba et al., 2013; van Tilburg et al., 2016; Wu and Murofushi, 1999) have looked at parameters of the cVEMP such as amplitude, latency or threshold as a function of rate. However, these authors have not directly measured response quality. For a given cVEMP response, the response can contain high or low noise. Indeed a potential problem with subjective estimates of amplitude or latency is that noise and response can be confused and introduce measurement variability. Elberling and Don (1984) proposed the F_{sp} statistic as an objective estimate of response quality that increases with signal-to-noise ratio. By using the F_{sp} , an objective measure of quality is obtained.

Such objective response metrics as a function of rate have not been used before with cVEMP. Van Tilburg et al. (2016) only looked at two rates and did not map the rate function over many rates. They did not use objective methods to determine when a response was present. Wu and Murofushi (1999) did look at several rates, but only measured amplitude and latency subjectively and they did not measure quality objectively. Brantberg and Fransson (2001) attempted to objectively indicate response presence for the cVEMP. However they did not use statistical metrics of quality that can be compared across rates, such as the F_{sp} and their method for response detection is not a standard one for evoked response detection. They also only explored a limited set of rates. Our approach of bootstrapping the F_{sp} to obtain a p value is a more statistically robust measure of quality than previous approaches. Our work is therefore the first to objectively quantify response quality as a function of rate.

We also explored the effect of very high repetition rates on the cVEMP response. High repetition rates require a shorter recording time than low repetition rates for the same number of averages, so using high rates in evoking cVEMP could potentially lessen fatigue and the need to maintain neck tension for the subject. The number of epochs was fixed ($N = 150$) for all rates, so the duration of recording varied from rate to rate. As the peak level of the stimulus was fixed, if we had fixed the recording duration then high rate recordings would have resulted in excessive noise exposure for subjects. Quality would have increased for high rates recordings as more averages were taken in a fixed time, but at the cost of a high noise dose for subjects. In the current study we instead explored whether increasing rate could reduce recording time, but still obtain 100% detection of responses.

The present study was conducted using subjects with normal hearing and vestibular function and approved by the Human Experiment Safety and Ethics Committee of the University of Southampton's Institute of Sound and Vibration Research (ISVR). Responses were recorded from 18 subjects (18 ears) in the age range of 25–48 years.

The equipment used in this study to deliver the stimuli for VEMP measurement was the Cambridge Electronic Design CED 1401 data acquisition system and a signal software. A sampling rate (input and output) of 10 kHz was used. The output from the Digital to Analogue Converters (DAC) port was routed through a headphone amplifier (OBH-21) to control the intensity of the stimulus. Amplification of the signals was performed using an isolated amplifier (CED 1902) with a 1–3000 Hz bandpass filter and 1000 gain. The calibration of the stimuli was carried out through a Brüel and Kjar (B&K) type 2260 sound level meter (SLM), attached to the ear simulator (IEC-711). The cVEMP responses were recorded using three surface electrodes: active on the belly of the ipsilateral SCM muscle, reference on the upper sternum of the test side and ground on lower forehead. The EMG activity of the SCM muscle was visually monitored on an oscilloscope and kept between 80 and 100 mV.

500 Hz 1:2:1 (one cycle rise/fall and two cycles plateau) tone-bursts stimuli were presented using insert earphones (Ety-motic ER-3A) for repetition rates from 1 to 100 Hz at 119.2 dB PeSPL. 150 epochs were collected for all rates, so recording duration reduced with rate. The order of presentation was randomised.

Recording response quality was assessed using the F_{sp} . Although an F_{sp} threshold can be defined for a group to indicate response presence, the significance of a given F_{sp} value can vary across individuals as the degrees of freedom of subject data can vary. Response presence was therefore determined from bootstrapping methods based on random resampling of the data, to indicate whether the F_{sp} of a given recording was significantly different from that of random noise (Lv et al., 2007).




Fig. 1. Percentage of subjects with a cVEMP response as a function of repetition rates.




Fig. 2. The waveforms of cVEMP across repetition rates for a typical subject. In the images, the upward peak (positive, p13) and downward peak (negative, n23) of the VEMPs are labelled.




Fig. 3. F_{sp} values of cVEMP as a function of repetition rates. Error bars display ± 1 SE of the mean. The F_{sp} values of the non-responsive ears were set to unity for missing data (on average this is the F_{sp} obtained when no response if present in data).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8683322>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8683322>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)