



Available online at
ScienceDirect
www.sciencedirect.com

Elsevier Masson France
EM|consulte
www.em-consulte.com



Original article/Article original

In vitro susceptibility of *Candida spp.* to fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole and the correlation between triazoles susceptibility: Results from a five-year study

J. Lei ^a, J. Xu ^{b,*}, T. Wang ^{c,**}

^a Department of Laboratory, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, 710061 Xi'an, China

^b Department of Immunology and Pathogenic Biology, College of Medicine, Xi'an Jiaotong University, 710061 Xi'an, China

^c Department of Pharmacy, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, 710061 Xi'an, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 23 October 2017

Received in revised form 11 March 2018

Accepted 13 March 2018

Keywords:

Fluconazole

Itraconazole

Voriconazole

Candida spp.

Susceptibility

ABSTRACT

Candida spp. is a common cause of invasive fungal disease. The aim of this study was to examine the susceptibility of *Candida spp.* to fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole and explore the correlation between triazoles susceptibility. The antifungal susceptibility in the present study was measured by ATB Fungus 3 method, and the potential relationship was examined by obtaining the correlation of measured minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of *Candida spp.* isolates. A total of 2099 clinical isolates of *Candida spp.* from 1441 patients were analyzed. The organisms included 1435 isolates of *Candida albicans*, 207 isolates of *Candida glabrata*, 65 isolates of *Candida parapsilosis*, 31 isolates of *Candida krusei*, 268 isolates of *Candida tropicalis*. Voriconazole and itraconazole were more active than fluconazole and against *Candida spp.* in vitro. The fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole MIC₉₀ (MIC for 90% of the isolates) for all *Candida spp.* isolates was 4 mg/L, 1 mg/L and 0.25 mg/L, respectively. There was a moderate correlation between the fluconazole MIC_s for *Candida spp.* isolates and this for voriconazole ($R^2 = 0.475$; $P < 0.01$) and itraconazole ($R^2 = 0.431$; $P < 0.01$). Voriconazole MICs for the *Candida spp.* isolates also correlated with those for itraconazole ($R^2 = 0.401$; $P < 0.01$). These observations suggest that the in vitro susceptibility of *Candida spp.* to fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole exhibits a moderate correlation.

Published by Elsevier Masson SAS.

1. Introduction

Candida spp. is currently the most common cause of invasive fungal disease, which is associated with considerable mortality [1–4]. Antifungal susceptibility testing has become an important method in the management of patients with invasive candidiasis (IC) [2,5], and this method is widely accepted and readily available in the department of laboratory. Given the increasing number of antifungal agents with activity against *Candida spp.*, it is recognized that antifungal susceptibility testing of these pathogens may be useful in guiding the selection of antifungal agents for the treatment of IC [2,6,7]. Clinically, fluconazole is frequently used

to treat systemic infection caused by *Candida spp.* [8]. Itraconazole and voriconazole are used to treat invasive fungal disease caused by *Aspergillus spp.* and fluconazole-resistant *Candida spp.* [2]. However, few studies have investigated the correlation between triazoles susceptibility in a great number of clinical *Candida spp.* isolates. In this study, we examined the susceptibilities of 2099 *Candida spp.* isolates recovered from colonizing and invasive sites of patients to evaluate the epidemiology of *Candida* species and the clinical significance of triazole correlation between triazoles susceptibility in *Candida spp.* isolates.

2. Methods

2.1. Organisms

A single-centre clinical trial was conducted from December 2012 to August 2016 at the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University. Patients who were diagnosed with a possible,

* Corresponding author. Department of Immunology and Pathogenic Biology, College of Medicine, Xi'an Jiaotong University, 710061 Xi'an, China.

** Co-corresponding author. Department of Pharmacy, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, 710061 Xi'an, China.

E-mail addresses: xujiru@mail.xjtu.edu.cn (J. Xu), tao50125@163.com (T. Wang).

probable or proven invasive fungal disease were enrolled. Invasive fungal diseases were defined by the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Invasive Fungal Infections Cooperative Group and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group (EORTC/MSG) [9]. The isolates were obtained from all body sites, including blood, sputum, bronchoscopy, urine, ascitic fluid, bile and tissue biopsy specimens. During the 5-year period, a total of 2099 *Candida* clinical isolates obtained from 1441 patients were analyzed. The collection included the following numbers of isolates: 1435 isolates of *C. albicans*, 207 isolates of *C. glabrata*, 65 isolates of *C. parapsilosis*, 31 isolates of *C. krusei*, 268 isolates of *C. tropicalis*, 41 isolates of *C. guilliermondii*, 14 isolates of *C. sake*, 2 isolates of *C. dubliniensis*, 13 isolates of *C. lusitaniae*, 1 isolate of *C. colliculosa*, 3 isolates of *C. pelliculosa*, 1 isolate of *C. inconspicua*, 1 isolate of *C. lipolytica*, 3 isolates of *C. rugosa*, 3 isolates of *C. intermedia*, 4 isolates of *C. catenulata*, 2 isolates of *Sporobolomyces*, 5 isolates of *C. famata*.

2.2. Susceptibility testing

Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) values were determined for all strains isolated. An ATB FUNGUS 3 strip contains five antifungal drugs at different concentrations, but only three antifungal agents were involved in the present study: fluconazole (1–128 mg/L), itraconazole (0.125–4 mg/L) and voriconazole (0.0625–8 mg/L). Testing on the ATB FUNGUS 3 strip was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions and ATB FUNGUS 3 strips were read visually. MIC endpoints of each isolate were determined after 24 h of incubation at 35 °C [10].

2.3. Quality control

Quality control was ensured by testing the following strains: *C. albicans* (ATCC90028), *C. glabrata* (ATCC 64677), and *C. krusei* (ATCC 6258).

2.4. Statistical method

We examined correlations between the MICs of each triazoles by using the univariate linear regression model. Stata software (version 14.0; Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA) was used for statistical analyses.

Table 2

The distribution of fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole MIC values for all *Candida* spp. isolates.

MIC (mg/L)	Cumulative frequency (%)		
	Fluconazole	Itraconazole	Voriconazole
0.0625	0	0	78.89
0.125	0	80.32	85.14
0.25	0	83.85	91.00
0.5	0	88.28	93.90
1	81.99	92.57	96.57
2	85.95	93.76	97.05
4	91.00	100	97.86
8	92.52	100	100
16	94.85	100	100
32	95.62	100	100
64	96.86	100	100
128	100	100	100

3. Results and discussion

Table 1 and Table 2 summarizes the in vitro susceptibilities of 2099 isolates of *Candida* spp. to fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole, respectively, as determined by ATB FUNGUS 3 method. Yeast cultures came from 19 species, and the most common species is *C. albicans* ($n = 1435$, 68.37%). The five most common non-*C. albicans* species are *C. glabrata* ($n = 207$, 9.86%), *C. parapsilosis* ($n = 65$, 3.10%), *C. krusei* ($n = 31$, 1.48%), *C. tropicalis* ($n = 268$, 12.77%) and *C. guilliermondii* ($n = 41$, 1.95%) (Table 1). Table 2 showed the distribution of fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole MIC values for all *Candida* spp. isolates. Isolates of *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis* and *C. tropicalis* remain highly susceptible to voriconazole (> 90% susceptible) in the present study. Table 1 also details the distribution of MIC₅₀s (MIC for 50% of the isolates), MIC₉₀s (MIC for 90% of the isolates) and MICs of fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole for each species of *Candida* spp. Fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole were highly active against *C. albicans* in vitro, with 90% of isolates inhibited at an MIC of 1 mg/L, 0.125 mg/L and 0.0625 mg/L, respectively. The fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole MIC₅₀ values for all *Candida* spp. isolates was 1 mg/L, 0.125 mg/L and 0.0625 mg/L, respectively. The MIC₉₀ value for fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole was 4 mg/L, 1 mg/L and 0.25 mg/L, respectively, which suggested that voriconazole and itraconazole were more active than fluconazole

Table 1

In vitro activities of fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole against *Candida* spp. by the ATB FUNGUS 3 method.

Isolates	MIC (range [MIC50] [MIC90]) (mg/L)			
	No.	Fluconazole	Itraconazole	Voriconazole
<i>Candida albicans</i>	1435	1–128 [1] [1]	0.125–4 [0.125] [0.125]	0.0625–8 [0.0625] [0.0625]
<i>Candida glabrata</i>	207	1–128 [2] [16]	0.25–4 [0.25] [4]	0.0625–8 [0.125] [1]
<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	65	1–8 [1] [2]	0.25–4 [0.5] [1]	0.125–0.5 [0.125] [0.25]
<i>Candida krusei</i>	31	16–128 [16] [64]	0.125–4 [0.5] [1]	0.25–8 [0.25] [1]
<i>Candida tropicalis</i>	268	1–128 [1] [128]	0.125–4 [0.125] [4]	0.0625–4 [0.0625] [2]
<i>Candida guilliermondii</i>	41	2–32 [4] [4]	0.125–2 [0.5] [1]	0.0625–8 [0.125] [0.5]
<i>Candida sake</i>	14	1–32 [1] [2]	0.125–1 [0.125] [0.125]	0.0625–0.25 [0.0625] [0.125]
<i>Candida dubliniensis</i>	2	1–2	0.125	0.0625–2
<i>Candida lusitaniae</i>	13	1	0.125–2 [0.125] [2]	0.0625
<i>Candida colliculosa</i>	1	1	0.125	0.0625
<i>Candida pelliculosa</i>	3	1–2	0.125	0.0625–0.25
<i>Candida inconspicua</i>	1	1	0.125	0.0625
<i>Candida lipolytica</i>	1	2	0.25	0.0625
<i>Candida rugosa</i>	3	1	0.125	0.0625
<i>Candida intermedia</i>	3	1–16	0.125–4	0.125–8
<i>Candida catenulata</i>	4	1–128	0.125–0.25	0.0625–4
<i>Sporobolomyces</i>	2	32	2	2
<i>Candida famata</i>	5	1–8	0.125–1	0.0625–2
Overall isolates	2099	1–128 [1] [4]	0.125–4 [0.125] [1]	0.0625–8 [0.0625] [0.25]

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8716501>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8716501>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)