Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Biomechanics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jbiomech www.JBiomech.com

### Running with a load increases leg stiffness

Amy Silder<sup>a,\*</sup>, Thor Besier<sup>c</sup>, Scott L. Delp<sup>a,b,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departments of Bioengineering, Stanford University, United States

<sup>b</sup> Orthopaedic Surgery, Stanford University, United States

<sup>c</sup> Auckland Bioengineering Institute & Department of Engineering Science The University of Auckland, New Zealand

<sup>d</sup> Mechanical Engineering, Stanford University, United States

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Accepted 31 January 2015

Keywords: Motion analysis Joint kinematics Spring-mass model Leg stiffness

#### ABSTRACT

Spring-mass models have been used to characterize running mechanics and leg stiffness in a variety of conditions, yet it remains unknown how running while carrying a load affects running mechanics and leg stiffness. The purpose of this study was to test the hypothesis that running with a load increases leg stiffness. Twenty-seven subjects ran at a constant speed on a force-measuring treadmill while carrying no load, and while wearing weight vests loaded with 10%, 20%, and 30% of body weight. We measured lower extremity motion and created a scaled musculoskeletal model of each subject, which we used to estimate lower extremity joint angles and leg length. We estimated dimensionless leg stiffness as the ratio of the peak vertical ground reaction force (normalized to body weight) and the change in stance phase leg length (normalized to leg length at initial foot contact). Leg length was calculated as the distance from the center of the pelvis to the center-of-pressure under the foot. We found that dimensionless leg stiffness increased when running with load (p=0.001); this resulted from an increase in the peak vertical ground reaction force (p < 0.001) and a smaller change in stance phase leg length (p=0.025). When running with load, subjects had longer ground contact times (p < 0.020), greater hip (p < 0.001) and knee flexion (p = 0.048) at the time of initial foot contact, and greater peak stance phase hip, knee, and ankle flexion (p < 0.05). Our results reveal that subjects run in a more crouched posture and with higher leg stiffness to accommodate an added load.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

The mechanics of human running have often been characterized using a spring-mass model (e.g. Arampatzis et al., 1999; Blum et al., 2009; Donelan and Kram, 2000; Farley and Gonzalez, 1996; Lipfert et al., 2012; McMahon and Cheng, 1990). In a spring-mass model, the leg is treated as a massless linear spring, and the leg spring stiffness is related to the peak vertical ground reaction force and the change in stance phase leg length. Analyses of spring-mass models have suggested that leg stiffness increases in proportion to body mass among a wide range of animals (Farley et al., 1993). Running while carrying load is common in humans, but it is unknown how carrying load influences running mechanics and leg stiffness.

During the early stance phase of running, the distance between the center-of-mass and the foot decreases, as a result of flexion of the hip, knee, and ankle, and reaches a minimum near the middle of the stance phase (Cavagna et al., 1976; McMahon and Cheng, 1990). Leg stiffness is therefore related to lower extremity joint

E-mail address: silder@stanford.edu (A. Silder).

angles (Gunther and Blickhan, 2002; Kuitunen et al., 2002). Early studies of vertical hopping showed that leg stiffness decreased when subjects hopped with greater knee flexion angles (Greene and McMahon, 1979). Walking with progressively larger loads increases peak stance phase hip flexion (Silder et al., 2013), knee flexion (Birrell and Haslam, 2009; Silder et al., 2013), and ankle dorsiflexion angles (Silder et al., 2013), but it is unknown if subjects run with greater joint flexion when carrying a load.

Stance phase joint flexion angles and ground contact time can affect the peak vertical ground reaction force. McMahon et al. (1987) showed that when subjects ran with more lower extremity joint flexion (i.e. "Groucho running") ground contact time increased and the peak vertical ground reaction force decreased. During both walking and running, the peak vertical ground reaction force increases less than the added load (Silder et al., 2013; Teunissen et al., 2007). For example, when subjects were asked to walk with load equal to 30% of their body weight, the peak vertical ground reaction force increased by an average of only 15% (Silder et al., 2013), and when asked to run with 30% of body weight, the peak vertical ground reaction force increased only 12%, compared to no load (Teunissen et al., 2007). During walking, subjects mitigate the increase in ground reaction force by increasing ground contact time and increasing flexion of the lower extremity joints (Silder et al., 2013), but the effects of load carriage







<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence to: Sports Medicine, 341 Galvez Street, Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305-6175, United States.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.01.051 0021-9290/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

on ground contact time and lower extremity joint angles during running are unknown.

We were interested to see whether subjects show similar adaptations when running with load as they do when walking with load. We expected that the peak vertical ground reaction force would increase and therefore hypothesized that leg stiffness would also increase when running with load. We further hypothesized that subjects would increase ground contact time and flex their hip, knee, and ankle joints more when running with load. We sought to test these hypotheses to understand how subjects adapt to running with load.

#### 2. Methods

Twenty-seven recreational runners (16M, 11F;  $33 \pm 8$  years;  $70 \pm 9$  kg;  $1.75 \pm 0.09$  m) provided informed consent to participate in this study according to a protocol approved by the Stanford University Institutional Review Board. Subjects were excluded if they could not run comfortably for a minimum of one hour at a speed of 3 m/s or faster.

All running trials were conducted on a split-belt force-instrumented treadmill (Bertec Corporation; Columbus, OH, USA) at each subject's self-reported 10 km training pace (mean  $\pm$  SD=3.34  $\pm$  0.22 m/s). Subjects were not instructed to run with a particular foot strike pattern; inspection of each subject's running pattern during the data collection indicated that 25 of the 27 subjects ran with a heel-toe running pattern, and two ran with a forefoot strike pattern. Subjects completed four running trials; each trial was two minutes in duration. The trials were completed in random order and included carrying no load, or a load of 10%, 20%, or 30% of their body weight (BW). Subjects carried loads using an adjustable weight vest (HyperWare<sup>10</sup>, Austin, TX, USA). We chose this method of load carriage because it left the pelvis exposed for placement of motion capture markers, and the weight vests had approximately equal weight in the front and back, thereby producing a minimal change to the anterior–posterior center-of-mass location of the torso.

We estimated dimensionless leg stiffness,  $K_{\text{leg}}$ , as the ratio of the peak vertical ground reaction force normalized to body weight,  $F_{\text{max}}$ , to the change in leg length during stance phase, normalized to leg length at foot contact,  $l_o$ 

$$K_{\text{leg}} = \frac{F_{\text{max}}}{(l_0 - l_{\text{min}})/l_0},\tag{1}$$

where  $l_{min}$  is the minimum leg length during stance phase. Leg length was estimated as the distance from the center-of-pressure (Bullimore and Burn, 2006) to the center of the pelvis in a model derived from the musculoskeletal model described by Delp et al. (1990) (Fig. 1).

Lower body motion (measured at 100 Hz) and ground reaction forces (measured at 2000 Hz) were analyzed for 10 consecutive left limb gait cycles for each trial. Motion was measured using 29 retro-reflective markers with an eight-camera optical motion-capture system (Vicon, Oxford Metrics Group, Oxford, UK). Thirteen markers were attached bilaterally to anatomical landmarks on the pelvis and lower extremities; an additional 16 markers were used to aid in segment tracking. We used a scaled model to represent the pelvis and lower limbs for each subject, derived from Delp et al. (1990). The pelvis was the base segment and had six degrees-of-freedom; the hip was represented as a spherical joint with three



**Fig. 1.** Leg length was estimated by calculating the distance from the center-ofpressure to the center of the pelvis in a model derived from the musculoskeletal model described by Delp et al. (1990).

degrees-of-freedom; the knee was represented as a one degree-of-freedom joint in which non-sagittal rotations and tibiofemoral and patellofemoral translations were computed as a function of the sagittal knee angle (Walker et al., 1988); the ankle (talocrural) was represented as a revolute joint aligned with the anatomical axes (Delp et al., 1990). An upright static calibration trial and functional hip joint center trial (Piazza et al., 2004) were used to define body segment coordinate systems, marker locations, joint centers, and segment lengths for each subject. A global optimization inverse kinematics routine was used to compute pelvis position, pelvis orientation, and lower extremity joint angles at each time frame in the trials (Lu and O'Connor, 1999) using SIMM (Motion Analysis Corp, Santa Rosa, CA, USA; Delp and Loan, 2000).

For each subject, we averaged data from 10 consecutive strides from each testing condition. We then compared the effect of load on leg stiffness, stance phase leg length (at foot contact, minimum length, and change in length), ground contact time, and the hip, knee, and ankle angles at the time of initial foot contact and the peak stance phase joint angles. Statistical analyses were performed using repeated measures ANOVA (SPSS, IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) with significance established at p < 0.05. We investigated the main effect of load on leg stiffness using Tukey's HSD post-hoc test.

#### 3. Results

Dimensionless leg stiffness increased when running with load (p=0.001, Table 1). Leg stiffness increased because the peak vertical ground reaction force increased (p < 0.001), and the change in stance phase leg length decreased (p=0.025). Post-hoc analyses revealed that leg stiffness increased between running with no load and running with 20% (p=0.002) and 30% (p=0.006) of body weight. The only other significant pair-wise increase in leg stiffness was between the 10% and 30% load carriage conditions (p=0.046). As the amount of load carried increased, leg length at initial foot contact decreased (p=0.007) and minimum leg length during stance phase tended to decrease (p=0.051) (Fig. 2B, Table 1).

The percent increase in the peak vertical ground reaction force (normalized to body weight) was less than the 10% increase in added load between testing conditions. With each 10% increase in load, the peak vertical ground reaction force increased an average of 5%, 4%, and 4% (Fig. 2A, Table 1). With each 10% increase in load, leg stiffness increased an average of 2%, 11%, and 10% (Fig. 3).

Ground contact time increased when running with load (p < 0.020, Table 1), and lower extremity joint kinematics were significantly altered. Flexion of the hip (p < 0.001) and knee (p=0.048) increased at the time of initial foot contact, and peak stance phase hip flexion (p=0.021), knee flexion (p=0.020), and ankle dorsiflexion (p=0.004) angles increased when running with load (Fig. 4). For all except five subjects, peak stance phase hip flexion occurred at the time of initial foot contact.

#### 4. Discussion

This study tested the hypothesis that running at a constant speed while wearing weight vests with an additional 10%, 20%, and 30% of body weight would increase leg stiffness. In support of this hypothesis, dimensionless leg stiffness increased when running with load because of a simultaneous increase in the peak vertical ground reaction force and a decrease in the change in stance phase leg length. We also tested the hypothesis that running with load would increase ground contact time and peak stance phase lower extremity joint flexion angles. Our data support this hypothesis, showing that subjects ran with longer ground contact times and greater joint flexion when they carried a load.

Similar to our observations of walking with loads (Silder et al., 2013) and others' observations made of running with loads (Teunissen et al., 2007), the percent increase in the peak vertical ground reaction force was less than the added load (Fig. 2A, Table 1). When running with an additional 30% of body weight, the peak vertical ground reaction force increased an average of only 13%; this is similar to the 12% increase observed by

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/871984

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/871984

Daneshyari.com