Journal of Otology 13 (2018) 75-79

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Otology

journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/journal-of-otology/

Auditory characteristics of noise-exposed memberscrossing age-related groups[☆]

Liu Chenqing ^a, Ding Daxiong ^b, Zhu Yuhua ^a, Wang Hongyang ^a, Cheng Xiaoting ^c, Zhao Zhenhua ^d, Cao Juyang ^e, Zhai Suoqiang ^a, Yu Ning ^{a, *}

^a Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Institute of Otorhinolaryngology, PLA General Hospital, Beijing, 100853, China

^b Department of Otolaryngology, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College, Nanchong, 637100, China

^c Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, Fujian, 350005, China

^d Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Linyi People's Hospital, Shandong, 255000, China

e Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery of Chinese PlA General Hospital, Fuxing Road 28, Haidian District, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 April 2018 Received in revised form 17 May 2018 Accepted 17 May 2018

Keywords: Noise Hearing loss Age-related hearing loss Noise-induced hearing loss Tinnitus

ABSTRACT

Objective: To report audiological characteristics in a group of noise-exposed crew members on board ships.

Methods and materials: Clinical and audiological measurements including pure-tone thresholds, acoustic immittance results and tinnitus questionnaires were collected from both the ship crew members (study subjects) and their land based colleagues (controls).

Results: 1) Noise exposed crew members showed not only high frequency, but also low frequency hearing loss; 2) Hearing impairment increased with age, with 65.5% of crew members younger than 50 years showing normal hearing while only 14.9% of those older than 50 years had normal hearing; 3) hearing loss gradually increased with the extension of on board career time; and 4) Most study subjects reported high pitch tinnitus, significantly more than the control group although not significantly different among different age groups.

Conclusion: Noise induced hearing impairment from working on board ships shows specific frequency and age characteristics. Understanding these characteristics is important for advancing relevant studies and for effective prevention of noise-induced hearing loss in ship crew members.

© 2018 PLA General Hospital Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery. Production and hosting by Elsevier (Singapore) Pte Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND licenses (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Noise induced hearing loss (NIHL) is a common type of acquired hearing loss. About 15% of people from age 20–69 years are affected by high-frequency NIHL (Sha and Schacht, 2017). High-frequency hearing impairment is a typical audiological feature of NIHL, characterized by a sharp dip between 3 and 6 kHz (speech frequencies, especially 4 kHz). In extreme exposure situations, NIHL may cause auditory damage involving the whole audible frequencies. Long time exposure to noise on board a ship may induce permanent hearing loss and tinnitus (Yankaskas, 2013; Axelsson and Hamernik, 1987). Besides NIHL, aging process is another

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: yuning12@sina.com (Y. Ning).

etiology of acquired hearing loss. Age-related hearing loss (ARHL) is also characterized mainly by high-frequency hearing impairment.

OTOLOGY

In this study, we performed audiology testing and tinnitus measurement on a group of crew members who were exposed to noise on board ships (more than 200 days in one year) to decipher specific clinical and auditory characteristics of ship noise related NIHL, as well as its potential correlation with the aging factor.

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Ethic statement

Written consents were obtained from all participants recruited in this study. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Chinese PLA General Hospital.

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

A total of 103 screw members exposed to ship noise were

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joto.2018.05.003



^{*} This project was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) (2014CB943002), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81470700) and Noise Grant (BWS14J045).

Peer review under responsibility of PLA General Hospital Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery.

^{1672-2930/© 2018} PLA General Hospital Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery. Production and hosting by Elsevier (Singapore) Pte Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

recruited as the study subjects in this study, after excluding abnormal external ear or tympanic membranes and ototoxicity history. Another 34 of the study subjects' land based colleagues who worked in an essentially noise free environment served as controls. Exclusion criteria included: ear diseases, history of exposure to ototoxic drugs, family history of deafness, or working career on board a ship of less than four years.

2.3. Clinical and auditory evaluation

Study subjects were interviewed by a team of experienced otolaryngologists to identify medical evidence of hearing loss, tinnitus, vestibular symptoms, use of aminoglycosides, and other clinical abnormalities. Audiometric evaluation included pure tone audiometry using an Madsen Xeta audiometer (0.125 to 8 k Hz, maximum output: 120 dB HL) in a standard sound-proof chamber with a background noise level of less than 30 dBA. The pure tone air- and bone-conduction testing protocols followed the national standard GB/T 16403-1996 of China. Average thresholds at different frequencies were calculated among the subjects. The averaged threshold from 4 to 8 kHz was used to represent high frequency hearing, and that from 0.25 to 0.5 kHz for low-frequency hearing. Hearing loss was categorized as mild if PTA was 26-40 dB HL, moderate if 41-60 dB HL, severe if 61-80 dB HL and profound if > 80 dB HL, in accordance to hearing impairment grading by the World Health Organization.

In acoustic immittance measurement, tympanum pressure (-200 to +200 dPa) and acoustic compliance value were determined (Madsen OTOflex), as well as ipsilateral and contralateral acoustic reflexes.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the Prism 6.0 statistical analysis (GraphPad) software. Chi-squared test (with or without continuity correction) and Fisher's exact test were used for comparisons of rates and proportions, and Student t-test for comparing hearing thresholds between study and control subjects.

3. Results

3.1. General information

A total of 103 study subjects (206 ears) were recruited, with no female subjects, aging from 24 to 63 years (median = 54 years). The 34 control subjects included 14 females and 20 males, aging from 27 to 59 years (median = 52 years).

3.2. Auditory characteristics in ship crew members

3.2.1. Prevalence of hearing impairment

Among the 103 study subjects (206 ears), 143 ears (69.4%) showed various degrees of hearing impairment, which was mild in 112 ears (54.4%), moderate in 30 ears (14.6%) and severe in 4 ears

(1.95%).

3.2.2. Auditory thresholds across frequencies and age

The average threshold was 34.5, 32.9, 28.8, 24.5, 37.3 and 34.1 dB HL for 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4 and 8 kHz, respectively (Table 1), with the average threshold at 4 kHz being the worst, showing typical audiogram manifestation in NIHL. When compared to the control group, thresholds at 0.25, 0.5, 1 and 4 kHz were significantly worse (Fig. 1, Table 1).

Frequency specific thresholds also showed differences across different age groups (Fig. 2). While no significant difference was observed among age groups for thresholds at 2 and 8 kHz, thresholds at 0.25, 0.5 and 1 kHz were worse in ship noise exposed subjects than in controls among subjects over 50 years of age, but showed no statistical difference between the two groups for those younger than 50 years. When averaged across high frequencies, speech frequencies or all tested frequencies, thresholds showed differences between ship noise exposed subjects and controls only in those older than 55 years, while average threshold across low frequencies was different between the two groups also among those 50–55 years of age.

3.2.3. Hearing impairment in different age groups (Table 2)

Hearing loss severity was different across age groups (Corrected $\chi^2 = 54.632$, P = 0.000). While hearing loss severity was not significantly different between subjects 50–55 years of age and those older than 55 years (Corrected $\chi^2 = 0.610$, P = 0.894), with mild hearing impairment seen in 65.6% (59/90) and 60.3% (35/58) of the subjects, respectively; it was different when compared to those younger than 50 years (Corrected $\chi^2 = 47.803$, P = 0.000; Corrected $\chi^2 = 33.936$, P = 0.000), with normal hearing accounting for 65.5% (38/58) in the latter group, compared to 13.3% (12/90) in the 50–55 years group and 17.2% (10/58) in those older than 55 years.

3.2.4. Acoustic immittance measurement

Tympanogram patterns among the 103 ship noise-exposed crew members were types A, As and Ad, with no significant abnormality acoustic reflexes.

3.2.5. Career time and hearing loss

The relationship between hearing loss and working career time on board ships can be seen in Table 3 (the following table). As career time increased, hearing loss also gradually increased (P = 0.000 < 0.01) (See Fig. 3).

3.3. Coexisting tinnitus among different age groups (Table 2)

The total tinnitus prevalence among the noise exposed crew members was 54.4% (112/206). Tinnitus was reported to be low pitched in 16 ears (7.8%) and high pitched in 96 ears (46.6%). Tinnitus usually affected both ears, with or without hearing impairment on audiogram. Audiogram patterns were not significantly different among ship noise exposed subjects with low or high pitched tinnitus (Fig. 4). In the 34 control subjects without

Table 1

Comparison of hearing threshold at different-frequencies between ship noise-exposed crew members and controls.

Frequency (kHz)	P value	Mean1 ^a	Mean2 ^a	Difference	SE of difference	t ratio	df
0.25	1.071056e-010	34.5146	24.2647	10.2499	1.52559	6.71861	272.0
0.5	2.908769e-009	32.9126	24.5588	8.3538	1.36051	6.14022	272.0
1	4.966956e-006	28.8107	22.7206	6.09009	1.30702	4.65951	272.0
2	0.374878	24.5388	23.0147	1.52413	1.71475	0.888833	272.0
4	4.110005e-006	37.3058	25.9559	11.3499	2.41412	4.70148	272.0
8	0.0888202	34.1019	29.4118	4.69018	2.74638	1.70777	272.0

^a Mean1 = average threshold from noise-exposed crew members. Mean2 = average threshold from controls.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8806497

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8806497

Daneshyari.com