

Accepted Manuscript

Perceptual Changes with Monopolar and Phantom electrode stimulation

Silke Klawitter, David M. Landsberger, Andreas Büchner, Waldo Nogueira



PII: S0378-5955(17)30307-6

DOI: [10.1016/j.heares.2017.12.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2017.12.019)

Reference: HEARES 7477

To appear in: *Hearing Research*

Received Date: 29 June 2017

Revised Date: 17 December 2017

Accepted Date: 23 December 2017

Please cite this article as: Klawitter, S., Landsberger, D.M., Büchner, A., Nogueira, W., Perceptual Changes with Monopolar and Phantom electrode stimulation, *Hearing Research* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.heares.2017.12.019.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 Perceptual Changes with Monopolar and Phantom electrode stimulation

2 Silke Klawitter¹, David M. Landsberger³, Andreas Büchner², Waldo Nogueira²

3 ¹Medical University Hannover, Hannover, Germany

4 ²Medical University Hannover, Cluster of Excellence "Hearing4all", Hannover, Germany

5 ³New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY USA

6 Abstract

7 Phantom electrode (PE) stimulation is achieved by simultaneously stimulating out-of-phase from two adjacent
8 intra-cochlear electrodes with different amplitudes. If the basal electrode stimulates with a smaller amplitude
9 than the apical electrode of the pair, the resulting electrical field is pushed away from the basal electrode
10 producing a lower pitch. There is great interest in using PE stimulation in a processing strategy as it can be used
11 to provide stimulation to regions of the cochlea located more apically than the most apical contact on the
12 electrode array. The result is that even lower pitch sensations can be provided without additional risk of a
13 deeper insertion. However, it is unknown if there are perceptual differences between monopolar (MP) and PE
14 stimulation other than a shift in place pitch. Furthermore, it is unknown if the effect and magnitude of changing
15 from MP to PE stimulation is dependent on electrode location. This study investigates the perceptual
16 differences (including pitch and other sound quality differences) at multiple electrode positions using MP and
17 PE stimulation using both a multidimensional scaling procedure (MDS) and a traditional scaling procedure.
18 10 Advanced Bionics users reported the perceptual distances between 5 single electrode (typically 1, 3, 5, 7,
19 and 9) stimuli in either MP or PE ($\sigma=0.5$) mode. Subjects were asked to report how perceptually different each
20 pair of stimuli were using any perceived differences except loudness. Subsequently, each stimulus was
21 presented in isolation and subjects scaled how "high" or how "clean" each sounded.
22 Results from the MDS task suggest that perceptual differences between MP and PE stimulation can be
23 explained by a single dimension. The traditional scaling suggests that the single dimension is place pitch. PE
24 stimulation elicits lower pitch perceptions in all cochlear regions. Analysis of Cone Beam Computer
25 Tomography (CBCT) data suggests that PE stimulation may be more effective at the apical part of the cochlea.
26 PE stimulation can be used for new sound coding strategies in order to extend the pitch range for cochlear
27 implant (CI) users without perceptual side effects.

28

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8842417>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8842417>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)