Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Personality and Individual Differences

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/paid



Short Communication

Ability emotional intelligence and life satisfaction: Positive and negative affect as mediators



© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Natalio Extremera *, Lourdes Rey

University of Málaga, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

diverse well-being outcomes.

Article history: Received 21 April 2016 Received in revised form 19 June 2016 Accepted 21 June 2016 Available online 4 July 2016

Keywords: Emotional intelligence Affectivity Positive affect Negative affect Life satisfaction

1. Introduction

Over the past two decades, scholars and practitioners have become increasingly interested in studying emotional intelligence (EI) and its implications for important life outcomes. Accordingly, findings from diverse recent meta-analytic research have documented that EI is an important factor in both mental health and well-being criteria (Martins, Ramalho, & Morin, 2010; Sánchez-Álvarez, Extremera, & Fernández-Berrocal, 2016; Schutte, Malouff, Thorsteinsson, & Rooke, 2007). Currently there are two predominant models of EI: trait and ability models (Mayer, Roberts, & Barsade, 2008). While trait models describe EI as a broader conception of intelligence that combines social skills, traits, and dispositional behavior, ability models defined EI as a set of skills, such as the ability to perceive emotions, to access and generate emotions, to understand emotions, and to reflectively regulate emotions. These emotional abilities are considered to play a key role in promoting positive emotions and might even help to increase people's global cognitive evaluation of their satisfaction with their own lives (Sánchez-Álvarez et al., 2016). For example, previous studies, using EI performance measures, have found a weak to moderate association of ability EI with life satisfaction, after controlling for other socioemotional variables, cognitive intelligence, and personality traits (Mayer, Caruso, & Salovey, 1999). More recent work has reported modest associations between ability EI and life satisfaction both in cross-sectional (Brackett, Rivers, Shiffman, Lerner, & Salovey 2006) and

E-mail address: nextremera@uma.es (N. Extremera).

prospective design (Extremera, Ruiz-Aranda, Pineda-Galán, & Salguero, 2011). Nevertheless, although previous research has documented the positive relationship between ability EI and life satisfaction, the identification of additional variables that mediate the above relationship will help researchers determine the mechanisms through which EI affects well-being. Some authors have suggested that this relationship might be mediated by affective processes, among others (Kong & Zhao, 2013; Sánchez-Álvarez, Extremera, & Fernández-Berrocal, 2015). Accordingly, two important factors that may mediate the link between EI and life satisfaction are positive and negative affect.

1.1. Positive and negative affect and life satisfaction

The present study examined the link between ability emotional intelligence (EI), positive and negative affect, and

life satisfaction in a relatively wide sample of 721 Spanish undergraduate students. Data were collected using the

Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test, the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule, and the Satisfac-

tion with Life Scale. Correlational results indicated that ability El was significantly and positively associated

with life satisfaction and positive affect, and inversely with negative affect. Thus, positive and negative affect were significantly associated with life satisfaction in the expected way. Importantly, path analyses indicated

that both positive and negative affect played a fully mediating role in the link between EI and life satisfaction.

These results add to the small but growing literature about EI, assessed by a performance-based measure, and

well-being, and encourage further research about affective processes by which these emotional skills influence

Past theoretical and empirical work has examined the associations between affectivity and life satisfaction (Schimmack, 2008). Following the affect-as-information perspective (Schwarz & Clore, 2007), people typically rely on their affect balance to form judgments of how satisfied they are with their lives. In fact, prior research has confirmed a positive correlation between positive affect and life satisfaction and an inverse association between negative affect and life satisfaction (Kuppens, Realo, & Diener, 2008). Furthermore, previous experimental and crosscultural evidence has confirmed that affectivity has a causal influence on life satisfaction judgments (Kuppens et al., 2008; Schwarz & Clore, 2007).

As El is a mental ability to process affective information, emotional skills may change the balance of affective experiences from negative to positive, leading to higher life satisfaction (Zeidner, Matthews, & Roberts, 2012). That is, El has been theorised to be critical for effective emotional and social functioning, conferring enhanced positive affect

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Social Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, University of Malaga, Campus de Teatinos s/n., Málaga, 29071, Malaga, Spain.

and reduced negative affect and may serve as a precursor to attaining increased levels of life satisfaction. Accumulating research literature has confirmed the mediator role of affectivity between self-reported EI and life satisfaction both in undergraduate (Kong & Zhao, 2013) and adolescent samples (Sánchez-Álvarez et al., 2015), however, the contribution of these findings to our understanding of EI and life satisfaction is quite limited because these studies have exclusively relied on EI self-report measures that do not directly tap people's emotional skills and might substantially increase variance unrelated to emotional processing (Brackett et al., 2006). In fact, recent meta-analysis has confirmed that the magnitude of the relationship between EI and well-being dimensions is measure dependent, as these criteria outcomes are more strongly correlated with trait (self-report) than with ability (performance) measures of EI (Sánchez-Álvarez et al., 2016). Using EI performance measures to identity further emotional processes associated with EI that may increase life satisfaction not only would mitigate problems associated with common methods variance but also would provide a stringent test of the practical importance of ability EI as a predictor of well-being outcomes.

Based on the available evidence on the significant associations between ability EI, affectivity and life satisfaction, we hypothesized that: (1) ability EI will be positively related to life satisfaction and positive affect and inversely related to negative affect; (2) positive affect would mediate the association between EI and life satisfaction and (3) negative affect would mediate the association between EI and life satisfaction.

2. Method

2.1. Participants and procedure

The participants were 721 undergraduate students from a southern university of Spain (206 males; 512 females; 3 unreported) who were told that their participation was completely voluntary and confidential and that they would receive extra credit towards their course grade. Their mean age was 21.81 years (SD = 5.27). With respect to ethnicity, all participants were White/Caucasian.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Emotional intelligence

The Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT v.2.0; Mayer, Salovey, & Caruso, 2002) is a 141-item ability scale designed to measure the four branches of Mayer and Salovey's theory of EI. The MSCEIT yields a total emotional intelligence score; the four branch scores comprise this total score, providing an overall index of the respondent's emotional intelligence (Mayer et al., 2002). Since previous research has found high correlations between the overall and branch scores (Brackett & Mayer, 2003), in the present study we used overall EI scores as a global construct.¹ EI was measured using a well-validated Spanish version of MSCEIT (Sánchez-Garcia, Extremera, & Fernández-Berrocal, in press). In the present sample, the internal reliability for total scale was 89.

2.2.2. Affect and negative affect. Positive and negative affect schedule

Positive and Negative affect was measured by Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) which is a 20-item self-report measure, with 10 items assessing for positive affect and 10 items for negative affect (Watson, Clark, & Tellegen, 1988). Respondents are asked to rate how they feel for each item across a 5-point Likert-type scale. The Spanish PANAS was used in this study (Sandin et al., 1999). In this study, the reliabilities for the positive and negative affect scales were 0.83 and 0.85, respectively.

2.2.3. Satisfaction with life

Satisfaction with life was measured by Satisfaction with life Scale (SWLS; Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985). This scale comprises five self-referencing statements on global life satisfaction. Participants completed the Spanish version of the SWLS (Atienza, Balaguer, & Garcia-Merita, 2003). In the present study, the alpha coefficient for the SWLS was 0.84.

3. Results

3.1. Descriptive analyses

Pearson correlations, means, and standard deviations of the different scales are presented in Table 1. As expected, ability EI was significantly and positively correlated with positive affect and life satisfaction and negatively correlated with negative affect. In addition, positive affect was positively and moderately related to life satisfaction and negative affect was negatively and significantly related to life satisfaction.

3.2. Multiple mediation analysis

In order to examine the mediator role of positive and negative affect in the relationship between EI and life satisfaction, we used the procedure described by Preacher and Hayes (2008). To avoid the possibility that associations between EI and life satisfaction could be confounded by socio-demographic factors, we also controlled for age and gender in the subsequent analysis. Then, using the estimates on the basis of these 5000 bootstrap samples, the mean direct and indirect effects and their confidence intervals (CIs) are calculated. These CIs are used to determine whether each effect is statistically significant. For each effect, we examined the 95% CI, and if the value of 0 did not fall within the range of the CI for that effect, then the finding was statistically significant at p < 0.05.

Table 2 summarizes the results for the multiple mediator analysis, indicating the path coefficients and confidence intervals for each effect being tested in the model. The results show significant indirect mediating effects for positive and negative affect. It should be noted that the pathways described by these mediators fully accounted for the relation between El and life satisfaction given that the pathway for the direct effect in this analysis was not statistically significant. Taken together, results showed that greater El was associated significantly with higher levels of positive affect and lower levels of negative affect, which, in turn, predicted higher levels of life satisfaction.

4. Discussion

Recent meta-analytic research on EI has found a significant relationship with health and well-being. However, we know little about the affective processes through which EI operates in well-being, with most

Table 1

Means, standard deviations, C	Cronbach's alpha and	intercorrelations among measures.
-------------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------------

Variables	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4
 Ability El Positive affect 	99.90	14.36 0.60	_ 0.11 ^{**}	-		
3. Negative affect	3.51 2.25	0.73	-0.19**	-0.18**	-	
4. Life satisfaction	4.97	1.09	0.13**	0.40**	-0.34**	-

** p < 0.01.

¹ Additional analyses confirmed the existing pattern of high relationships described in prior work (from 0.65 to 0.79 with overall EI; see Brackett & Mayer, 2003). Similarly, in our study associations ranging from 0.62 (for understanding) to 0.76 (for perception) between overall EI score and EI branches. These high correlations suggested the use of overall EI scores in our study. Due to the dearth of research focused on the EI branches, positive and negative affect and life satisfaction, developing branch-based hypotheses is outside the scope of this paper.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/889681

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/889681

Daneshyari.com