



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jpaa

A lower bound for higher topological complexity of real projective space

Donald M. Davis

Department of Mathematics, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA 18015, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 September 2017

Received in revised form 1 November 2017

Available online xxxx

Communicated by C.A. Weibel

MSC:

55M30; 68T40; 70B15

ABSTRACT

We obtain an explicit formula for the best lower bound for the higher topological complexity, $TC_k(RP^n)$, of real projective space implied by mod 2 cohomology.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Main theorem

In [2], Farber introduced the notion of topological complexity, $TC(X)$, of a topological space X . This can be interpreted as one less than the minimal number of rules, called *motion planning rules*, required to tell how to move between any two points of X .¹ This became central in the field of topological robotics when X is the space of configurations of a robot. This was generalized to higher topological complexity, $TC_k(X)$, of a topological space X by Rudyak in [3]. This can be thought of as one less than the minimal number of rules required to tell how to move consecutively between any k specified points of X ([3, Remark 3.2.7]). In [1], the study of $TC_k(P^n)$ was initiated, where P^n denotes real projective space. Using \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients for all cohomology groups, define the k th zero-divisor cup-length $zcl_k(X)$ to be the maximal integer q such that there exist elements $y_1, \dots, y_q \in \ker(\Delta^* : H^*(X)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow H^*(X))$ with nonzero product; i.e., $y_1 \cdots y_q \neq 0$. Here $\Delta : X \rightarrow X^k$ is the diagonal map. It is standard ([3, Proposition 3.4] or [1, Proposition 2.2]) that

$$TC_k(X) \geq zcl_k(X).$$

In [1, Lemma 5.2], it was shown that

$$zcl_k(P^n) = \max\{a_1 + \cdots + a_{k-1} : (x_1 + x_k)^{a_1} \cdots (x_{k-1} + x_k)^{a_{k-1}} \neq 0\}$$

E-mail address: dmd1@lehigh.edu.

¹ Farber's definition did not include the "one less than" part, but most recent papers have defined it as we are doing here.

Table 1
Values of $\text{zcl}_k(n)$.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
$\text{zcl}_2(n)$	1	3	3	7	7	7	7	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	31	31
$\text{zcl}_3(n)$	2	6	6	12	14	14	14	24	26	30	30	30	30	30	30	48	50
$\text{zcl}_4(n)$	3	8	9	16	19	21	21	32	35	40	41	45	45	45	45	64	67
$\text{zcl}_5(n)$	4	10	12	20	24	28	28	40	44	50	52	60	60	60	60	80	84
$\text{zcl}_6(n)$	5	12	15	24	29	35	35	48	53	60	63	72	75	75	75	96	101
$\text{zcl}_7(n)$	6	14	18	28	34	42	42	56	62	70	74	84	90	90	90	112	118
$\text{zcl}_8(n)$	7	16	21	32	39	48	49	64	71	80	85	96	103	105	105	128	135

in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, \dots, x_k]/(x_1^{n+1}, \dots, x_k^{n+1})$. Here $x_i = p_i^*(x)$, where $p_i : (P^n)^k \rightarrow P^n$ is projection onto the i th component, and x is the nonzero element of $H^1(P^n)$. Clearly $(x_i + x_k) \in \ker(\Delta^*)$. The goal is to find large nonzero products of powers of these classes.

Our main theorem, [Theorem 1.2](#), gives an explicit formula for $\text{zcl}_k(P^n)$, and hence a lower bound for $\text{TC}_k(P^n)$. It requires the following specialized notation.

Definition 1.1. If $n = \sum \varepsilon_j 2^j$ with $\varepsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}$ (so the numbers ε_j form the binary expansion of n), let

$$Z_i(n) = \sum_{j=0}^i (1 - \varepsilon_j) 2^j,$$

and let

$$S(n) = \{i : \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{i-1} = 1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_{i+1} = 0\}.$$

Thus $Z_i(n)$ is the sum of the 2-powers $\leq 2^i$ which correspond to the 0's in the binary expansion of n . Note that $Z_i(n) = 2^{i+1} - 1 - (n \bmod 2^{i+1})$. The i 's in $S(n)$ are those that begin a sequence of two or more consecutive 1's in the binary expansion of n . Also, $\nu(n) = \max\{t : 2^t \text{ divides } n\}$.

Theorem 1.2. For $n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 3$,

$$\text{zcl}_k(P^n) = kn - \max\{2^{\nu(n+1)} - 1, 2^{i+1} - 1 - kZ_i(n) : i \in S(n)\}. \tag{1.3}$$

It was shown in [\[1\]](#) that, if $2^e \leq n < 2^{e+1}$, then $\text{zcl}_2(P^n) = 2^{e+1} - 1$, which follows immediately from our [Theorem 1.6](#).

In [Table 1](#), we tabulate $\text{zcl}_k(P^n)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 17$ and $2 \leq k \leq 8$.

The smallest value of n for which two values of i are significant in [\(1.3\)](#) is $n = 102 = 2^6 + 2^5 + 2^2 + 2^1$. With $i = 2$, we have $7 - k$ in the max, while with $i = 6$, we have $127 - 25k$. Hence

$$\text{zcl}_k(P^{102}) = 102k - \begin{cases} 127 - 25k & 2 \leq k \leq 5 \\ 7 - k & 5 \leq k \leq 7 \\ 0 & 7 \leq k. \end{cases}$$

For all k and n , $\text{TC}_k(P^n) \leq kn$ for dimensional reasons ([\[1, Prop 2.2\]](#)). Thus we obtain a sharp result $\text{TC}_k(P^n) = kn$ whenever $\text{zcl}_k(P^n) = kn$. [Corollary 3.4](#) tells exactly when this is true. Here is a simply-stated partial result.

Proposition 1.4. If n is even, then $\text{TC}_k(P^n) = kn$ for $k \geq 2^{\ell+1} - 1$, where ℓ is the length of the longest string of consecutive 1's in the binary expansion of n .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8897356>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8897356>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)