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#### Abstract

In the paper, the authors significantly and meaningfully simplify two families of nonlinear ordinary differential equations in terms of the Stirling numbers of the first and second kinds. (c) 2017 Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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## 1. Motivations and main results

In this section, we state three motivations and our main results of current paper.

### 1.1. First motivation

In [1, Theorems 1 and 2], the authors spent five pages to elementarily, recurrently, and inductively prove that the ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mp}^{(N)}=\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} \ln (1+\lambda)\right)^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} a_{i-1}^{\mp}(N) F_{\mp}^{i}, \quad N=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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have a solution

$$
F_{\mp}=F_{\mp}(t)=\frac{1}{(1+\lambda)^{t / \lambda} \mp 1},
$$

where $a_{0}^{\mp}(N)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}^{\mp}(N)=(-1)^{(j \mp j) / 2}{ }_{j!} \sum_{i_{j}=0}^{N-j} \sum_{i_{j-1}=0}^{N-j-i_{j}} \cdots \sum_{i_{1}=0}^{N-j-i_{j} \cdots \cdots-i_{2}}(j+1)^{i_{j}} j^{i_{j-1}} \cdots 2^{i_{1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq N$. With the aid of the quantities $a_{j}^{\mp}(N)$ in (2), the authors further obtained in [1, Theorems 3 and 6 , Corollaries 4,5 , and 7 ] several identities and explicit expressions of the modified degenerate Euler numbers $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n}(\lambda)$, the higher order modified degenerate Euler numbers $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n}(\lambda)$, the Euler numbers $E_{n}$, the higher order Euler numbers $E_{n}$, the modified degenerate Bernoulli numbers $\tilde{\beta}_{n}(\lambda)$, the higher order modified degenerate Bernoulli numbers $\tilde{\beta}_{n}^{(r)}(\lambda)$, the Bernoulli numbers $B_{n}$, and the higher order Bernoulli numbers $B_{n}^{(r)}$, which can be generated respectively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{(1+\lambda)^{t / \lambda}+1} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n}(\lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}, & {\left[\frac{2}{(1+\lambda)^{t / \lambda}+1}\right]^{r}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{n}^{(r)}(\lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}, } \\
\frac{2}{e^{t}+1} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}, & \left(\frac{2}{e^{t}+1}\right)^{r}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n}^{(r)} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}, \\
\frac{t}{(1+\lambda)^{t / \lambda}-1}= & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\beta}_{n}(\lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}, & {\left[\frac{t}{(1+\lambda)^{t / \lambda}-1}\right]^{r}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\beta}_{n}^{(r)}(\lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}, } \\
\frac{t}{e^{t}-1}= & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}, & \left(\frac{t}{e^{t}-1}\right)^{r}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n}^{(r)} \frac{t^{n}}{n!},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r \in \mathbb{N}$. This means that the quantities $a_{j}^{\mp}(N)$ in (2) play an important role in the paper [1].
It is clear that the quantities $a_{j}^{\mp}(N)$ in (2) are formulated by $j$ multiple sums. To the best of our knowledge, one cannot understand and compute easily such a $j$ multiple sum. Then we guess that, making use of some different methods from the one employed in the paper [1], the quantities $a_{j}^{\mp}(N)$ in (2) should be reformulated simply, meaningfully, and significantly in terms of some mathematical quantities.

### 1.2. Second motivation

In the paper [2], Theorem 1 reads that the function

$$
F_{q}(t)=\frac{1}{q e^{t}+1}
$$

satisfies

$$
(N-1)!F_{q}^{N}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k}(N) F_{q}^{(k-1)}
$$

for $N \geq 1$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}(N)=(-1)^{N+k} s(N, k) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}(N)=\frac{N!}{k!} \sum_{\substack{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k} \geq 1 \\ \ell_{1}+\cdots+\ell_{k}=N}} \frac{1}{\ell_{1}+\cdots+\ell_{k}}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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