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#### Abstract

Let $c: V \cup E \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ be a (not necessarily proper) total colouring of a graph $G=(V, E)$ with maximum degree $\Delta$. Two vertices $u, v \in V$ are sum distinguished if they differ with respect to sums of their incident colours, i.e. $c(u)+\sum_{e \ni и} c(e) \neq c(v)+\sum_{e \ni v} c(e)$. The least integer $k$ admitting such colouring $c$ under which every $u, v \in V$ at distance $1 \leq d(u, v) \leq r$ in $G$ are sum distinguished is denoted by $\mathrm{ts}_{r}(G)$. Such graph invariants link the concept of the total vertex irregularity strength of graphs with so-called 1-2-Conjecture, whose concern is the case of $r=1$. Within this paper we combine probabilistic approach with purely combinatorial one in order to prove that $\operatorname{ts}_{r}(G) \leq(2+o(1)) \Delta^{r-1}$ for every integer $r \geq 2$ and each graph $G$, thus improving the previously best result: $\mathrm{ts}_{r}(G) \leq 3 \Delta^{r-1}$.
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## 1. Introduction

The cornerstone of the field of vertex distinguishing graph colourings is the graph invariant called irregularity strength. For a graph $G=(V, E)$ it is usually denoted by $s(G)$ and can be defined as the least integer $k$ so that we may construct an irregular multigraph, i.e. a multigraph with pairwise distinct degrees of all vertices, of $G$ by replacing each edge of $G$ with at most $k$ copies, see [8]. This study thus originated from the basic fact that no graph $G$ with more than one vertex is irregular itself and related research on possible alternative definitions of an irregular graph, see e.g. [7]. Equivalently, $s(G)$ is also defined as the least $k$ so that there exists an edge colouring $c: E \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ such that for every pair $u, v \in E, u \neq v$, the sum of colours incident with $u$ is distinct from the sum of colours incident with $v$. Note that $s(G)$ exists only for graphs without isolated edges and with at most one isolated vertex. It is known that $s(G) \leq n-1$, where $n=|V|$, for all such graphs, except for $K_{3}$, see [1,21]. This tight upper bound can however be improved in the case of graphs with minimum degree $\delta \geq 1$ to $s(G) \leq 6\left\lceil\frac{n}{\delta}\right\rceil$ (that yields a better result whenever $\delta>12$ and for $\delta \in[7,12]$ if $n$ is larger than a small constant depending on $\delta$ ), see [16], and to $s(G) \leq(4+o(1)) \frac{n}{\delta}+4$ for graphs with $\delta \geq n^{0.5} \ln n$, see [19]. Many interesting results, concepts and open problems concerning this graph invariant can also be found e.g. in [6,9-13,16,18,23], and many others.

In [5], Bača et al. introduced a total version of the concept above. Given any graph $G=(V, E)$ and a (not necessarily proper) total colouring $c: V \cup E \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{c}(v):=c(v)+\sum_{u \in N(v)} c(u v) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the weight of any vertex $v \in V$, which shall also be called the sum at $v$ and denoted simply by $w(v)$ in cases when $c$ is unambiguous from context. The least $k$ for which there exists such colouring with $w(u) \neq w(v)$ for every $u, v \in V, u \neq v$, is called the total vertex irregularity strength of $G$ and denoted by $\operatorname{tvs}(G)$. In [5] it was proved, among others, that for every

[^0]graph $G$ with $n$ vertices, $\left\lceil\frac{n+\delta}{\Delta+1}\right\rceil \leq \operatorname{tvs}(G) \leq n+\Delta-2 \delta+1$. Until now the best upper bounds (for graphs with $\delta>3$ ) assert that $\operatorname{tvs}(G) \leq 3\left\lceil\frac{n}{\delta}\right\rceil+1$, see $[3]$, and $\operatorname{tvs}(G) \leq(2+o(1)) \frac{n}{\delta}+4$ for $\delta \geq n^{0.5} \ln n$, see [20]. Many other results e.g. for particular graph families can also be found in $[4,22,24,29]$ and other papers.

In this article we consider a distant generalization of $\operatorname{tvs}(G)$ from [25], motivated among others by the study on distant chromatic numbers, see e.g. [17] for a survey concerning these. For any positive integer $r$, two distinct vertices at distance at most $r$ in $G$ shall be called $r$-neighbours. We denote by $N^{r}(v)$ the set of all $r$-neighbours of $v \in V$ in $G$, and set $d^{r}(v)=\left|N^{r}(v)\right|$. The least integer $k$ for which there exists a total colouring $c: V \cup E \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ such that there are no $r$-neighbours $u, v$ in $G$ which are in conflict, i.e. with $w(u)=w(v)$ (cf. (1)), we call the $r$-distant total irregularity strength of $G$, and denote by $\mathrm{ts}_{r}(G)$. It is known that $\mathrm{ts}_{r}(G) \leq 3 \Delta^{r-1}$ for every graph $G$, see [25], also for a comment implying that a general upper bound for $\mathrm{ts}_{r}(G)$ cannot be (much) smaller than $\Delta^{r-1}$. In this paper we combine the probabilistic method with algorithmic approach similar to those in e.g. [3,15,20,25] to prove that in fact $\mathrm{ts}_{r}(G) \leq(2+o(1)) \Delta^{r-1}$ (for $r \geq 2$ ).

Theorem 1. For every integer $r \geq 2$ there exists a constant $\Delta_{0}$ such that for each graph $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta \geq \Delta_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ts}_{r}(G) \leq 2 \Delta^{r-1}+3 \Delta^{r-\frac{4}{3}} \ln ^{2} \Delta+4, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
\mathrm{ts}_{r}(G) \leq(2+o(1)) \Delta^{r-1}
$$

for all graphs.
It is also worth mentioning that the case of $r=1$ was introduced and considered separately in [27], where the well known 1-2-Conjecture concerning this invariant was introduced. It is known that $\mathrm{ts}_{1}(G) \leq 3$ for all graphs, see Theorem 2.8 in [15], even in case of a natural list generalization of the problem, see [31], though it is believed that the upper bound of 2 should be the optimal general upper bound in both cases, see [27,28,30].

A general upper bound for an analogous graph invariant to $\mathrm{ts}_{r}(G)$ but defined for edge colourings can be found in [25]. This was improved in [26] by means of a probabilistic approach of a similar flavour as the one presented in this paper.

## 2. Probabilistic tools

We shall use probabilistic approach in the first part of the proof of Theorem 1, based on the Lovász Local Lemma, see e.g. [2], combined with the Chernoff Bound, see e.g. [14] (Th. 2.1, page 26). We recall these below.

Theorem 2 (The Local Lemma). Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be events in an arbitrary probability space. Suppose that each event $A_{i}$ is mutually independent of a set of all the other events $A_{j}$ but at most $D$, and that $\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i}\right) \leq p$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. If

$$
e p(D+1) \leq 1,
$$

then $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \bar{A}_{i}\right)>0$.
Theorem 3 (Chernoff Bound). For any $0 \leq t \leq n p$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(\operatorname{BIN}(n, p)>n p+t)<e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{3 n p}} \text { and } \operatorname{Pr}(\operatorname{BIN}(n, p)<n p-t)<e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2 n p}} \leq e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{3 n p}}
$$

where $\operatorname{BIN}(n, p)$ is the sum of $n$ independent Bernoulli variables, each equal to 1 with probability $p$ and 0 otherwise.
Note that if $X$ is a random variable with binomial distribution $\operatorname{BIN}(n, p)$ where $n \leq k$, then we may still apply the Chernoff Bound above, even if we do not know the exact value of $n$, to prove that $\operatorname{Pr}(X>k p+t)<e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{3 k p}}$ (for $t \leq\lfloor k\rfloor p$ ).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Fix an integer $r \geq 2$. Within our proof we shall not specify $\Delta_{0}$. Instead, we shall assume that $G=(V, E)$ is a graph with sufficiently large maximum degree $\Delta$, i.e. large enough so that all inequalities below are fulfilled.

We first partition $V$ into a subset of vertices with relatively small degrees and a subset of those with large degrees:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\left\{u \in V: d(u) \leq \Delta^{\frac{2}{3}}\right\} ; \\
& B=\left\{u \in V: d(u)>\Delta^{\frac{2}{3}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, for every $v \in V$, we denote $S(v)=N(v) \cap S, s(v)=|S(v)|, B(v)=N(v) \cap B, b(v)=|B(v)|$.
Now we randomly order the vertices of $V$ into a sequence. For this goal, associate with every vertex $v \in V$ a random variable $X_{v} \sim U[0,1]$ having the uniform distribution on $[0,1]$ where all these random variables $X_{v}, v \in V$ are mutually independent, or in other words pick a (real) number uniformly at random from the interval $[0,1]$ and associate it with $v$ for
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