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## A B S TRACT

Divided symmetrization of a function $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is symmetrization of the ratio

$$
D S_{G}(f)=\frac{f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{\prod\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)},
$$

where the product is taken over the set of edges of some graph $G$. We concentrate on the case when $G$ is a tree and $f$ is a polynomial of degree $n-1$, in this case $D S_{G}(f)$ is a constant function. We give a combinatorial interpretation of the divided symmetrization of monomials for general trees and probabilistic game interpretation for a tree which is a path. In particular, this implies a result by Postnikov originally proved by computing volumes of special polytopes, and suggests its generalization.
© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let $V$ be a set of variables, $|V|=m$, say, $V=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$ (but further, we need and allow sets such as $\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{9}\right\}$ ). It is convenient to think that $V$ is well ordered: $x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{m}$. For a rational function $\varphi$, with coefficients in some field, of variables from $V$, define its symmetrization as

$$
\operatorname{Sym} \varphi=\sum_{\pi} \varphi\left(\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{m}\right)
$$

where summation is taken over all $m$ ! permutations $\pi$ of the variables.
Let $f$ be polynomial of degree $d$ in the variables from $V$. Then, its divided symmetrization

$$
D S(f):=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\frac{f}{\prod_{x, y \in V, x<y}(x-y)}\right)
$$

is also polynomial of degree not exceeding $d-m(m-1) / 2$. In particular, it vanishes identically when $d<m(m-1) / 2$. The reason why $D S(f)$ is a polynomial is the following. Fix variables $x, y$ and partition all summands into pairs corresponding to permutations ( $\pi, \sigma \pi$ ), where $\sigma$ is a transposition of $x$ and $y$. We see that in the sum of any pair, the multiple $x-y$ in the denominator gets cancelled. Thus every multiple is cancelled and so we get polynomial. The symmetrization operators have applications, for instance, in the theory of symmetric functions, see Chapter 7 of the A. Lascoux's book [2].

[^0]Let $G(V, E)$ be a graph on the set of vertices $V$. We view $E$ as a set of pairs $(x, y) \in V^{2}, x<y$. We may consider partial symmetrization in $G$, that is,

$$
D S_{G}(f)=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\frac{f}{\prod_{(x, y) \in E}(x-y)}\right)
$$

Of course this is a polynomial again of degree at most $d-|E|$ due to the obvious formula

$$
D S_{G}(f)=D S\left(f \cdot \prod_{x<y,(x, y) \notin E}(x-y)\right)
$$

If we restrict $D S_{G}$ to polynomials of degree at most $|E|$, we get a linear functional. The kernel $K_{G}$ of this functional is particularly structured. First of all, all polynomials of degree less then $d$ lie in $K_{G}$. Next, if $f$ has a symmetric factor, i.e., $f=g h$, where $g$ is symmetric and non-constant, then $f \in K_{G}$. This is true because of the formula $D S_{G}(g h)=g D S_{G}(h)$, and the second multiple being equal to 0 since $\operatorname{deg} h<|E|$.

Assume that $G$ is disconnected. That is, $V=U \sqcup W$, and there are no edges of $G$ between $U$ and $W: E=E U \sqcup E W$, where $E U, E W$ are sets of edges joining vertices of $U, W$ respectively. Denote the corresponding subgraphs of $G$ by $G U=(U, E U)$ and $G W=(W, E W)$. Note that both $U, W$ are well ordered sets of variables and thus the above definitions still apply to the subgraphs GU, GW.

Any polynomial $f$ may be represented as a sum $\sum u_{i} w_{i}$, where the polynomials $u_{i}$ depend only on variables from $U$, while $w_{i}$ depends only on variables from $W$ (and, of course, the degree $\operatorname{deg} u_{i}+\operatorname{deg} w_{i}$ of each summand does not exceed $\operatorname{deg} f$ ). Assume that $\operatorname{deg} f \leqslant|E|$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D S_{G}(f)=\binom{m}{|U|} \sum_{i} D S_{G U}\left(u_{i}\right) \cdot D S_{G W}\left(w_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the binomial factor comes from fixing the sets of variables $\pi(U)$ and $\pi(V)$. If deg $u_{i}<|E U|$ then the symmetrization $D S_{G U}\left(u_{i}\right)$ is just 0 , analogously if $\operatorname{deg} w_{i}<|E W|$. If $\operatorname{deg} u_{i}=|E U|$, $\operatorname{deg} w_{i}=|E W|$, then both $D S_{G U}\left(u_{i}\right), D S_{G W}\left(w_{i}\right)$ are constants and therefore do not depend on the sets of variables $\pi(U), \pi(V)$ ). It follows that $f \in K_{G}$ if for any $i$ either $u_{i} \in K_{G U}$ or $w_{i} \in K_{G W}$. As already noted above, it is so unless $\operatorname{deg} u_{i}=|E U|, \operatorname{deg} w_{i}=|E W|$. If $f$ has a factor symmetric in the variables from $U$, then $D S_{G U}\left(u_{i}\right)=0$.

Next observation. If $E^{\prime} \subset E$ and $f=h \cdot \prod_{(x, y) \in E^{\prime}}(x-y)$ then $D S_{G}(f)=D S_{G \backslash E^{\prime}}(h)$. Combining this with our previous argument, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 1. If $E^{\prime} \subset E$ and $U \subset V$ is a connected component in $G \backslash E^{\prime}, f$ is divisible by $h \prod_{(x, y) \in E^{\prime}}(x-y)$, where $h$ is symmetric in variables from $U$, then $f \in K_{G}$.

Denoting by $I_{G}$ the set of polynomials $v$ such that $v h \in K_{G}$ provided that deg $v h \leqslant|E|$ (it is sort of an ideal, but the set of polynomials with restricted degree is not a ring), we have found some elements in $I_{G}$ : all symmetric polynomials and all polynomials like those in Lemma 1.

Next, we consider the case of partial divided symmetrization w.r.t. tree $G$ on $n$ vertices of a polynomial $f, \operatorname{deg} f=n-1$. This is a linear functional and we give combinatorial formulae for its values in a natural monomial base.

## 2. Tree

Definition 1. Let $T=(V, E)$ be a tree on a well ordered set $V,|V|=n$. Let $C:=\prod_{x \in V} x^{w(x)+1}$ be a monomial of degree $n-1$, where we call $w(x) \in\{-1,0,1,2, \ldots\}$ a weight of a vertex $x$. The total weight of all vertices equals -1 . For each edge $e=(x, y) \in E, x<y$, consider two connected components of the graph $T \backslash e$. The total weight is negative for exactly one of them. If this component contains $y$, call edge e regular, else call it inversive. Define sign $\operatorname{sign}(C)$ as $(-1)^{\text {\{number of inversive edges\} }}$. Call a permutation $\pi$ of the set $V$ to be C-acceptable if for all edges $e=(x, y), \pi(x)<\pi(y)$ if and only if $e$ is regular.

Theorem 2. The partial divided symmetrization $D S_{T}(C)$ of the monomial $C$ equals the number of $C$-acceptable permutations times $\operatorname{sign}(C)$.

Proof. Induction on $n$. The base case $n=1$ is obvious. Assume that $n>1$ and the assertion is valid for $n-1$. For any monomial $C$ denote by $\tau(C)$ the number of $C$-acceptable permutations times $\operatorname{sign}(C)$. We need to check that $\tau(C)=D S_{T}(C)$ for all $C$. To this end, it suffices to verify the following properties of $\tau$ and $D S_{T}$ :
(i) $\tau(C)-D S_{T}(C)$ does not depend on $C$;
(ii) $\sum_{x \in V} \tau\left(x^{n-1}\right)=0=\sum D S_{T}\left(x^{n-1}\right)$.

We start with (i). In turn, it suffices to prove that $\tau\left(C_{1} x\right)-D S_{T}\left(C_{1} x\right)=\tau\left(C_{1} y\right)-D S_{T}\left(C_{1} y\right)$, where $C_{1}$ is a monomial of degree $n-2$ and $e=(x, y) \in E, x<y$, is an edge of $T$. We have

$$
D S_{T}\left(C_{1} x\right)-D S_{T}\left(C_{1} y\right)=D S_{T}\left(C_{1}(x-y)\right)=D S_{T \backslash e}\left(C_{1}\right)
$$
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