# Explicit bounds for graph minors ${ }^{*}$ 

Jim Geelen ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Tony Huynh ${ }^{\text {b }}$, R. Bruce Richter ${ }^{\text {a }}$<br>${ }^{\text {a }}$ Department of Combinatorics and Optimization, University of Waterloo, 200<br>University Avenue West, Waterloo, ON, N2L 3G1, Canada<br>${ }^{\text {b }}$ Department of Mathematics, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Avenue Franklin Roosevelt 50, 1050 Brussels, Belgium

## A R T I C L E I N F O

## Article history:

Received 7 May 2013
Available online xxxx

## Keywords:

Graphs
Surfaces
Linkages
Minors


#### Abstract

Let $\Sigma$ be a surface with boundary $\operatorname{bd}(\Sigma), \mathcal{L}$ be a collection of $k$ disjoint $\operatorname{bd}(\Sigma)$-paths in $\Sigma$, and $P$ be a non-separating $\operatorname{bd}(\Sigma)$-path in $\Sigma$. We prove that there is a homeomorphism $\phi: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ that fixes each point of $\operatorname{bd}(\Sigma)$ and such that $\phi(\mathcal{L})$ meets $P$ at most $2 k$ times. With this theorem, we derive explicit constants in the graph minor algorithms of Robertson and Seymour (1995) [10]. We reprove a result concerning redundant vertices for graphs on surfaces, but with explicit bounds. That is, we prove that there exists a computable integer $t:=t(\Sigma, k)$ such that if $v$ is a ' $t$-protected' vertex in a surface $\Sigma$, then $v$ is redundant with respect to any $k$-linkage.
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## 1. Introduction

In [12], Robertson and Seymour prove the remarkable theorem that every minor-closed property of graphs is characterized by a finite set of excluded minors.

Theorem 1.1. For every minor-closed class of graphs $\mathcal{C}$, there exists a finite set of graphs $\mathrm{ex}(\mathcal{C})$, such that a graph is in $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if it does not contain a minor isomorphic to a member of $\operatorname{ex}(\mathcal{C})$.

Robertson and Seymour also prove an important algorithmic counterpart to this theorem in $[10,13]$.

Theorem 1.2. For any fixed graph $H$, there exists a polynomial-time algorithm to test if an input graph $G$ contains a minor isomorphic to $H$.

Together, these two theorems imply that there exists a polynomial-time algorithm to test for membership in any minor-closed class of graphs. Of course, the existence of such an algorithm is highly non-constructive as $\operatorname{ex}(\mathcal{C})$ is explicitly known for only a few minor-closed classes $\mathcal{C}$.

The running time of the algorithm from [10] depends on a function $t(k, \Sigma)$ for irrelevant vertices for $k$-linkage problems in a surface $\Sigma$. Robertson and Seymour clearly state that $t(k, \Sigma)$ is computable, but give no indication how to compute it. In the special case that $\Sigma$ is the sphere, Adler, Kolliopoulos, Krause, Lokshtanov, Saurabh, and Thilikos [1] do obtain an explicit function (of $k$ ).

In addition, Kawarabayashi and Wollan [3] recently gave a simpler algorithm and shorter proof for the powerful graph minor decomposition theorem in [11]. Their approach yields explicit constants for the decomposition algorithm, but again implicitly assumes that $t(k, \Sigma)$ is computable.

In this paper, we show that $t(k, \Sigma)$ is indeed computable, thereby obtaining explicit bounds for graph minors. Before stating our main theorems, we require a few definitions. In this work we use $\Sigma(a, b, c)$ to denote the surface that is the (2-dimensional) sphere with $a$ handles, $b$ crosscaps, and $c$ boundary components, which we call holes. We set $g(\Sigma(a, b, c)):=2 a+b$ and holes $(\Sigma(a, b, c))=c$.

A curve $\gamma$ in a surface $\Sigma$ is a continuous function $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \Sigma$. A curve $\gamma$

- has ends $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(1)$;
- is a path if it is injective (or constant);
- is a simple closed curve if $\gamma(0)=\gamma(1)$ and is injective on $(0,1]$;
- is separating if $\Sigma-\gamma([0,1])$ is disconnected and non-separating otherwise.

Let $X \subseteq \Sigma$.

- The boundary and interior of $X$ will be denoted $\operatorname{bd}(X)$ and $\operatorname{int}(X)$, respectively.
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