



Subterranean karst environments as a global sink for atmospheric methane

Kevin D. Webster^{a,b,*}, Agnieszka Drobniak^c, Giuseppe Etiope^{d,e}, Maria Mastalerz^c, Peter E. Sauer^f, Arndt Schimmelmann^f

^a School of Natural Resources and the Environment, University of Arizona, 1064 E Lowell St, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA

^b Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Arizona, 1041 E Lowell St, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA

^c Indiana Geological Survey, Indiana University, 611 North Walnut Grove Ave., Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA

^d Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione Roma 2, Italy

^e Faculty of Environmental Science and Engineering, Babes-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

^f Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Indiana University, 1001 E 10th St., Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 June 2016

Received in revised form 19 November 2017

Accepted 10 December 2017

Available online xxxx

Editor: H. Stoll

Keywords:

cave
greenhouse gas
karst
methane
methanogenesis
methanotrophy

ABSTRACT

The air in subterranean karst cavities is often depleted in methane (CH₄) relative to the atmosphere. Karst is considered a potential sink for the atmospheric greenhouse gas CH₄ because its subsurface drainage networks and solution-enlarged fractures facilitate atmospheric exchange. Karst landscapes cover about 14% of earth's continental surface, but observations of CH₄ concentrations in cave air are limited to localized studies in Gibraltar, Spain, Indiana (USA), Vietnam, Australia, and by incomplete isotopic data. To test if karst is acting as a global CH₄ sink, we measured the CH₄ concentrations, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$, and $\delta^2\text{H}_{\text{CH}_4}$ values of cave air from 33 caves in the USA and three caves in New Zealand. We also measured CO₂ concentrations, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$, and radon (Rn) concentrations to support CH₄ data interpretation by assessing cave air residence times and mixing processes. Among these caves, 35 exhibited subatmospheric CH₄ concentrations in at least one location compared to their local atmospheric backgrounds. CH₄ concentrations, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$, and $\delta^2\text{H}_{\text{CH}_4}$ values suggest that microbial methanotrophy within caves is the primary CH₄ consumption mechanism. Only 5 locations from 3 caves showed elevated CH₄ concentrations compared to the atmospheric background and could be ascribed to local CH₄ sources from sewage and outgassing swamp water. Several associated $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ and $\delta^2\text{H}_{\text{CH}_4}$ values point to carbonate reduction and acetate fermentation as biochemical pathways of limited methanogenesis in karst environments and suggest that these pathways occur in the environment over large spatial scales. Our data show that karst environments function as a global CH₄ sink.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Atmospheric methane (CH₄) is a greenhouse gas and its concentration is increasing in the atmosphere (Dlugokencky et al., 2011; Sussmann et al., 2012; Ciais et al., 2013). The present globally averaged CH₄ concentration is 1.87 ppmv which is 2.5 times higher than preindustrial levels (Nisbet et al., 2016). The increase in atmospheric CH₄ is due to an imbalance between CH₄ sources and sinks. Anthropogenic and natural sources combine to contribute about 680 Tg a⁻¹ of CH₄ to the atmosphere while reactions with hydroxyl (-OH) and chlorine radicals in the troposphere

and stratosphere remove about 600 Tg a⁻¹ (Kirschke et al., 2013). Methanotrophic consumption in soils, the next largest sink, removes 30 Tg a⁻¹ (Kirschke et al., 2013). Despite improvements in estimating individual sources and sinks of atmospheric CH₄, the associated errors remain large (Kirschke et al., 2013). Recent studies suggest that caves may act as an additional CH₄ sink (Mattey et al., 2013; Fernandez-Cortes et al., 2015; McDonough et al., 2016; Webster et al., 2016; Lennon et al., 2017).

Caves and associated karst landscapes may be an important overlooked sink for atmospheric CH₄ because they are estimated to cover as much as 10 to 20% of the continental surface with more precise estimates suggesting about 13.8% (Palmer, 1991; Ford and Williams, 2007). Karst landscapes are frequently associated with the chemical dissolution of limestones, but can form in any soluble rock body. The resulting caves, solution-enlarged fractures, and internal drainage networks that function to trans-

* Corresponding author at: Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, The University of Arizona, 1041 E Lowell St, Tucson, Arizona, 85719.

E-mail address: kevdwebs@email.arizona.edu (K.D. Webster).

port mass from high elevations to low elevations also allow for subsurface-surface atmospheric exchange (Kowalczyk and Froelich, 2010; Garcia-Anton et al., 2014). The total volume and surface area of karst conduits able to interact with the atmosphere is unknown, in part due to small fractures and the difficulty of imaging the subsurface with geophysical methods. Karst caves, due to their accessibility, provide opportunities for non-invasive, *in-situ* analyses and sampling.

Cave and karst landscapes form in two common ways, each of which influences karst's capacity to act as a CH₄ sink. Epigenetic karst forms through the interaction of limestone with carbonic acid derived from the dissolution of atmospheric and soil CO₂ into surface waters. By contrast, hypogenic caves form when corrosive water from deep sources migrates into and dissolves limestone bedrock. Epigenetic caves are more widespread, and atmospheric to subatmospheric CH₄ concentrations of 1.8 ppmv to <0.1 ppmv have been observed in these settings (Mattey et al., 2013; Fernandez-Cortes et al., 2015; McDonough et al., 2016; Webster et al., 2016; Lennon et al., 2017). For comparison, in some hypogenic caves elevated CH₄ concentrations from 2 ppmv to 1% have been observed in association with CH₄-rich springs or seeps related to fluid migration from deep hydrocarbon-bearing sedimentary rocks, i.e. seepage processes that are widespread on Earth (Sarbu et al., 1996; Hutchens et al., 2004; Jones et al., 2012; Webster et al., 2017). The dominance of epigenetic karst suggests these regions are functioning as a CH₄ sink at the global scale, but more observations are needed.

Different hypotheses have been put forward to explain the low CH₄ concentrations observed in epigenetic cave air. The combination of subatmospheric CH₄ concentrations and the stable carbon isotopic ratio of CH₄ in the air of caves in Gibraltar led to the hypothesis that microorganisms were responsible for the removal of CH₄ (Mattey et al., 2013). In turn, low CH₄ concentrations in Spanish caves, in the presumed absence of CH₄-consuming (methanotrophic) bacteria, led to the hypothesis that CH₄ oxidation was induced by ions and ·OH generated by the radioactive decay of radon and daughter nuclides (Fernandez-Cortes et al., 2015). Since these initial observations, datasets from caves in Australia, the USA, and Vietnam have pointed towards methanotrophic CH₄ oxidation (McDonough et al., 2016; Webster et al., 2016; Lennon et al., 2017; Waring et al., 2017).

The chemical composition of cave air results from the mixing of the atmosphere and air from the overlying soils and epikarst. These processes should also influence the CH₄ concentrations of cave air. Previous studies have shown that CH₄ concentrations have been inversely correlated with CO₂ concentrations in cave air (Mattey et al., 2013; Fernandez-Cortes et al., 2015; McDonough et al., 2016; Webster et al., 2016). Cave air CO₂ concentrations are positively correlated with radon (Rn) concentrations and Rn is known to track cave air residence time (Cunningham and LaRock, 1991; Batiot-Guilhe et al., 2007; Kowalczyk and Froelich, 2010; Mattey et al., 2010; Gregorič et al., 2011, 2014). Additionally, the stable C isotope composition of CO₂ ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$), can track the sources of CO₂ in the environment. For example, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ values of -24‰ are associated with soil CO₂, while atmospheric CO₂ has $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ values ranging from -8.5‰ to -10‰ (Amundson et al., 1998; Keeling et al., 2010; Peyraube et al., 2013). Thus CO₂, Rn, and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ in cave air can help determine the influence of cave air mixing processes on CH₄.

The stable C and H isotope compositions of CH₄ ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ and $\delta^2\text{H}_{\text{CH}_4}$) also provide tools for understanding the sources and sinks of CH₄ in caves because different CH₄ sources are associated with characteristic $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ and $\delta^2\text{H}_{\text{CH}_4}$ values. For example, CH₄ produced from carbonate reduction has $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ and $\delta^2\text{H}_{\text{CH}_4}$ values that range from -112 to -60‰ VPDB and from -350 to -100‰ VSMOW respectively (Whiticar, 1999). Atmospheric CH₄

has $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ and $\delta^2\text{H}_{\text{CH}_4}$ values around -47.5 and -100‰ (Miller et al., 2002; Townsend-Small et al., 2012). The $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ and $\delta^2\text{H}_{\text{CH}_4}$ values of CH₄ can also be altered through secondary processes such as oxidation and mixing. The oxidation pathways of CH₄ by methanotrophs or the ·OH have fractionation factors that cause the residual CH₄ to show increases in $\delta^2\text{H}_{\text{CH}_4}$ values of 8.5‰ for every 1‰ increase in $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ value and increases in $\delta^2\text{H}_{\text{CH}_4}$ values of 72‰ for every 1‰ increase in $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ value, respectively (Feisthauer et al., 2011; Saueressig et al., 2001). Mixing between two different CH₄ sources creates a linear trend between the two members. Thus, measuring the $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ and $\delta^2\text{H}_{\text{CH}_4}$ of cave air should allow for the determination of cave air CH₄ sources.

The objective of the present work is to extend the karst CH₄ dataset and test the hypothesis that karst systems act as a CH₄ sink on a global scale. To this aim, we studied CH₄ concentrations, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$, and $\delta^2\text{H}_{\text{CH}_4}$ in cave air from 33 epigenetic caves in the USA and three epigenetic caves in New Zealand. CO₂, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$, and Rn were also measured to support CH₄ data interpretation *via* assessing cave air residence times and mixing processes. Data analysis is focused on determining CH₄ concentrations, origin, mixing processes and isotopic fractionations.

2. Methods

2.1. Sampling and analyses

Air samples from the study caves were collected over a time-span of roughly four years (Fig. 1; Table 1). Study caves fell into three broad groups, those from the Appalachian fold and thrust belt (16); those in gently warped intracratonic basins of the USA (17); and those from the North Island of New Zealand (3). Cave air was sampled using *in-situ* and discrete methods. *In-situ* CH₄, CO₂, and Rn abundance analyses were carried out using a suite of instruments (Table 2). Discrete samples of cave air were collected in pre-evacuated 50-mL serum vials, in 1 to 3-L Tedlar® bags, or in 4-L glass bottles. CH₄ and CO₂ concentrations of discrete samples were measured *via* gas chromatography.

We assessed cave air mixing processes through a variety of techniques. A qualitative estimate on cave air residence time was obtained by comparing CH₄ to CO₂ concentrations at individual locations in each cave. Additionally, we measured the Rn concentrations of caves 32 through 36 to assess the relationship between cave air residence time, CH₄ concentrations, and CO₂ concentrations. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ data were used to assess the sources of CO₂ and thus of air entering the caves. We also assessed the distance from each sampling location to cave entrances as another tool to understand cave air mixing processes.

CH₄ and CO₂ concentrations from discrete air samples were measured at Indiana University using a Varian 450 gas chromatograph (GC) (Varian – Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, California). The GC was fitted with a flame ionization detector (FID) for CH₄ and a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) for CO₂. Standard gas mixtures from Air Liquide America Specialty Gasses LLC (Plumsteadville, Pennsylvania) were used for 3-point calibration curves to convert signals measured on the GC to concentrations. CH₄ standards measured on the GC had errors of ± 5 to $\pm 14\%$ of the reported concentrations. CH₄ concentrations are reported with the uncertainty associated with the standard curve unless the calculated uncertainty was ≤ 0.1 ppmv. Samples with calculated uncertainties ≤ 0.1 ppmv were assigned uncertainties of 0.1 ppmv based on replicate measurements. The uncertainty associated with standard curves for CO₂ concentrations varied from $< \pm 1$ to 5%. CO₂ concentrations were assigned uncertainties based on their associated standard curve.

The stable carbon isotope ratios of CH₄ and CO₂ and hydrogen stable isotope ratios of CH₄ were measured on a ThermoFinnigan

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8907117>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8907117>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)