# Author's Accepted Manuscript

Has U.S. monetary policy tracked the efficient interest rate?

Vasco Cúrdia, Andrea Ferrero, Ging Cee Ng, Andrea Tambalotti



www.elsevier.com/locate/jme

 PII:
 S0304-3932(14)00145-7

 DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2014.09.004

 Reference:
 MONEC2729

To appear in: Journal of Monetary Economics

Received date: 17 August 2011 Revised date: 12 September 2014 Accepted date: 17 September 2014

Cite this article as: Vasco Cúrdia, Andrea Ferrero, Ging Cee Ng, Andrea Tambalotti, Has U.S. monetary policy tracked the efficient interest rate?, *Journal of Monetary Economics*, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2014.09.004

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

## Has U.S. Monetary Policy Tracked the Efficient Interest Rate?<sup> $\Rightarrow$ </sup>

Vasco Cúrdia<sup>a</sup>, Andrea Ferrero<sup>b</sup>, Ging Cee Ng<sup>c</sup>, Andrea Tambalotti<sup>d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco <sup>b</sup>University of Oxford <sup>c</sup>University of Chicago <sup>d</sup>Federal Reserve Bank of New York

### Abstract

Interest rate decisions by central banks are universally discussed in terms of Taylor rules, which describe policy rates as responding to inflation and some measure of the output gap. We show that an alternative specification of monetary policy, in which the interest rate tracks the Wicksellian efficient rate of return as the primary indicator of real activity, fits the U.S. data better than otherwise identical Taylor rules. This result holds for a variety of specifications of the other ingredients of the policy rule, including the output gap, and of private agents' behavior.

*Keywords:* U.S. monetary policy, Interest rate rules, DSGE models, Bayesian model comparison *JEL Classification:* E43, E58, C11

#### 1 1. Introduction

Interest rate rules are the tool of choice for economists and practitioners when describing the conduct of monetary policy. Following Taylor (1993), these rules usually model the short-term interest rate as reacting to deviations of inflation from a target, and of output from some measure of "potential." A very large literature has shown that these two arguments, usually coupled with some inertia in the policy rate, provide an accurate description of the observed evolution of the Federal Funds rate in the United States over the last several decades (e.g., Clarida et al., 2000; Coibion and Gorodnichenko, 2011). This paper proposes an alternative characterization of the factors influencing this evolution. Its main

finding is that policy rules in which the interest rate is set to track a measure of the efficient real rate—the
real interest rate that would prevail if the economy were perfectly competitive—fit the data better than rules
in which the output gap is the primary measure of real economic activity. We refer to the former as W rules,
from Wicksell (1898), who famously cast the problem of monetary policy as an attempt to track a "neutral"
interest rate solely determined by real factors.<sup>1</sup>

To the best of our knowledge, this paper is the first to demonstrate the empirical plausibility of interest rate rules that respond to the efficient real rate.<sup>2</sup> Although these rules have not been previously examined

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup> $\diamond$ </sup>We thank Ricardo Reis, Robert King (the editors) and an anonymous referee for their extremely valuable suggestions on how to sharpen the focus of the paper, and Alejandro Justiniano, Thomas Lubik, Ed Nelson, Giorgio Primiceri, Keith Sill, Chris Sims, and several seminar and conference participants for their comments. Matthew Hom provided excellent research assistance. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the position of the Federal Reserve Banks of New York or San Francisco, or the Federal Reserve System.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: Federal Reserve Bank of New York, 33 Liberty Street, 3rd Floor, New York, NY 10045. Phone: (212) 720-5657; Fax: (212) 720-1844

*Email address:* andrea.tambalotti@ny.frb.org (Andrea Tambalotti)

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ We do not call these rules Wicksellian because Woodford (2003) and Giannoni (2014) already use this term to refer to interest rate rules that respond to the price level, rather than to inflation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Neiss and Nelson (2003) is an early attempt to evaluate the properties of the efficient real rate in a calibrated DSGE model. Trehan and Wu (2007) discuss the biases in the reduced-form estimation of policy rules with a constant intercept, when in fact the central bank responds to a time-varying equilibrium real rate, but they do not estimate this response.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/966499

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/966499

Daneshyari.com